Stefan Carlsson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6457995/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

257450 214800 2,436 65 24 47 h-index citations g-index papers 65 65 65 2955 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 216-225.	1.9	347
2	MRI-Targeted or Standard Biopsy in Prostate Cancer Screening. New England Journal of Medicine, 2021, 385, 908-920.	27.0	184
3	Interim Results from the IMPACT Study: Evidence for Prostate-specific Antigen Screening in BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. European Urology, 2019, 76, 831-842.	1.9	148
4	A Multinational, Multi-institutional Study Comparing Positive Surgical Margin Rates Among 22 393 Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Patients. European Urology, 2014, 66, 450-456.	1.9	116
5	Surgery-related Complications in 1253 Robot-assisted and 485 Open Retropubic Radical Prostatectomies at the Karolinska University Hospital, Sweden. Urology, 2010, 75, 1092-1097.	1.0	107
6	Degree of Preservation of the Neurovascular Bundles During Radical Prostatectomy and Urinary Continence 1 Year after Surgery. European Urology, 2015, 67, 559-568.	1.9	107
7	Nationwide Population Based Study of Infections after Transrectal Ultrasound Guided Prostate Biopsy. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1116-1122.	0.4	84
8	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	1.9	84
9	Prostate cancer screening using a combination of risk-prediction, MRI, and targeted prostate biopsies (STHLM3-MRI): a prospective, population-based, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 1240-1249.	10.7	83
10	Prostate Cancer Diagnostics Using a Combination of the Stockholm3 Blood Test and Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging. European Urology, 2018, 74, 722-728.	1.9	70
11	Undertreatment of Men in Their Seventies with High-risk Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2015, 68, 53-58.	1.9	69
12	LAPPRO: A prospective multicentre comparative study of robot-assisted laparoscopic and retropubic radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2011, 45, 102-112.	1.4	63
13	Erectile Function and Oncologic Outcomes Following Open Retropubic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Results from the LAParoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology, 2018, 73, 618-627.	1.9	62
14	Capture rate and representativity of The National Prostate Cancer Register of Sweden. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2015, 54, 158-163.	1.8	61
15	Functional and Oncologic Outcomes Between Open and Robotic Radical Prostatectomy at 24-month Follow-up in the Swedish LAPPRO Trial. European Urology Oncology, 2018, 1, 353-360.	5.4	61
16	Thromboembolic Complications in 3,544 Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy with or without Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 117-125.	0.4	58
17	Health Economic Analysis of Open and Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Prostate Cancer Within the Prospective Multicentre LAPPRO Trial. European Urology, 2018, 74, 816-824.	1.9	58
18	Intravesical Nitric Oxide Delivery for Prevention of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2005, 49, 2352-2355.	3.2	56

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. European Urology, 2021, 80, 650-660.	1.9	46
20	Oncological and functional outcomes 1 year after radical prostatectomy for veryâ€lowâ€risk prostate cancer: results from the prospective <scp>LAPPRO</scp> trial. BJU International, 2016, 118, 205-212.	2.5	38
21	Quality of Life After Open Radical Prostatectomy Compared with Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 389-398.	3.1	38
22	Oncologic Outcomes After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Large European Single-centre Cohort with Median 10-Year Follow-up. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 351-359.	3.1	32
23	Preoperative staging using magnetic resonance imaging and risk of positive surgical margins after prostate-cancer surgery. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2019, 22, 391-398.	3.9	28
24	Rehospitalization after Radical Prostatectomy in a Nationwide, Population Based Study. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 112-119.	0.4	25
25	Comparison of 3D printed prostate models with standard radiological information to aid understanding of the precise location of prostate cancer: A construct validation study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0199477.	2.5	24
26	Surgeon heterogeneity significantly affects functional and oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy in the Swedish LAPPRO trial. BJU International, 2021, 127, 361-368.	2.5	24
27	90-Day readmission after radical prostatectomy—a prospective comparison between robot-assisted and open surgery. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 26-33.	1.0	23
28	Prostate cancer diagnosis, staging, and treatment in Sweden during the first phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2021, 55, 184-191.	1.0	21
29	Prostate cancer in kidney transplant recipients – a nationwide register study. BJU International, 2020, 125, 679-685.	2.5	19
30	Postoperative urinary continence after robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2006, 40, 103-107.	1.4	18
31	Mini Review on the Use of Clinical Cancer Registers for Prostate Cancer: The National Prostate Cancer Register (NPCR) of Sweden. Frontiers in Medicine, 2019, 6, 51.	2.6	18
32	Survival Among Men at High Risk of Disseminated Prostate Cancer Receiving Initial Locally Directed Radical Treatment or Initial Androgen Deprivation Therapy. European Urology, 2017, 72, 345-351.	1.9	16
33	Postoperative mortality 90 days after robotâ€assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and retropubic radical prostatectomy: a nationwide populationâ€based study. BJU International, 2016, 118, 302-306.	2.5	14
34	Detection of Prostate Cancer Using a Multistep Approach with Prostate-specific Antigen, the Stockholm 3 Test, and Targeted Biopsies: The STHLM3 MRI Project. European Urology Focus, 2017, 3, 526-528.	3.1	14
35	Vesicourethral Anastomotic Stenosis After Open or Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Retropubic Prostatectomy—Results from the Laparoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 317-324.	3.1	14
36	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 1: early detection, diagnostics, staging, patient support and primary management of non-metastatic disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 265-273.	1.0	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Psychological Well-being and Private and Professional Psychosocial Support After Prostate Cancer Surgery: A Follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 Months After Surgery. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 418-425.	3.1	12
38	Longâ€term adverse effects after retropubic and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Nationwide, populationâ€based study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 500-506.	1.7	12
39	Does a novel diagnostic pathway including blood-based risk prediction and MRI-targeted biopsies outperform prostate cancer screening using prostate-specific antigen and systematic prostate biopsies? - protocol of the randomised study STHLM3MRI. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027816.	1.9	11
40	Urinary continence recovery and oncological outcomes after surgery for prostate cancer analysed by risk category: results from the LAParoscopic prostatectomy robot and open trial. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3239-3249.	2.2	11
41	Synchronous rectal and prostate cancer – The impact of MRI on incidence and imaging findings. European Journal of Radiology, 2015, 84, 563-567.	2.6	10
42	Preparedness for side effects and bother in symptomatic men after radical prostatectomy in a prospective, non-randomized trial, LAPPRO. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2016, 55, 1467-1476.	1.8	10
43	Habits and self-assessed quality of life, negative intrusive thoughts and depressed mood in patients with prostate cancer: a longitudinal study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 353-359.	1.0	10
44	The Value of an Extensive Transrectal Repeat Biopsy with Anterior Sampling in Men on Active Surveillance for Low-risk Prostate Cancer: A Comparison from the Randomised Study of Active Monitoring in Sweden (SAMS). European Urology, 2019, 76, 461-466.	1.9	10
45	Development and validation of nonâ€guided bladderâ€neck and neurovascularâ€bundle dissection modules of the RobotiXâ€Mentor® fullâ€procedure roboticâ€assisted radical prostatectomy virtual reality simulation. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2021, 17, e2195.	2.3	10
46	Association of surgeon and hospital volume with short-term outcomes after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Nationwide, population-based study. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0253081.	2.5	10
47	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 2: recurrent, metastatic and castration resistant disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 278-284.	1.0	10
48	Current routines for transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: A web-based survey by the Swedish Urology Network . Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2012, 46, 405-410.	1.4	9
49	Nationwide, populationâ€based study of post radical prostatectomy urinary incontinence correction surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 117, 321-327.	1.7	8
50	Social constraints and psychological wellâ€being after prostate cancer: A followâ€up at 12 and 24Âmonths after surgery. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 668-675.	2.3	7
51	Risk of Recurrent Disease 6 Years After Open or Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in the Prospective Controlled Trial LAPPRO. European Urology Open Science, 2020, 20, 54-61.	0.4	7
52	How badly did it hit? Self-assessed emotional shock upon prostate cancer diagnosis and psychological well-being: a follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 months after surgery. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2017, 56, 984-990.	1.8	6
53	Populationâ€based, nationwide registration of prostatectomies in Sweden. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 120, 803-812.	1.7	6
54	Triple treatment of high-risk prostate cancer. A matched cohort study with up to 19 years follow-up comparing survival outcomes after triple treatment and treatment with hormones and radiotherapy. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 102-108.	1.0	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Associations between intraoperative factors and surgeons' self-assessed operative satisfaction. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 61-68.	2.4	6
56	Degree of Preservation of Neurovascular Bundles in Radical Prostatectomy and Recurrence of Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 30, 25-33.	0.4	6
57	Corrigendum re: "Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial―[Eur Urol 2015;68:216–25]. European Urology, 2017, 72, e81-e82.	1.9	4
58	Accurate prediction tools in prostate cancer require consistent assessment of included variables. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 260-266.	1.0	3
59	Accuracy in local staging of prostate cancer by adding a three-dimensional T2-weighted sequence with radial reconstructions in magnetic resonance imaging. Acta Radiologica Open, 2018, 7, 205846011875460.	0.6	3
60	The value of a first MRI and targeted biopsies after several years of active surveillance for low-risk prostate cancer $\hat{a} \in \text{``results from the SAMS trial. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 318-322.}$	1.0	2
61	Do negative intrusive thoughts at diagnosis predict impaired quality of life, depressed mood and waking up with anxiety 3, 12 and 24 months after radical prostatectomy? – a longitudinal study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 220-226.	1.0	2
62	Erectile function after robotic nerve sparing and semi-sparing of the neurovascular bundles. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2007, 1, 191-195.	1.8	1
63	Lymph swelling after radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection. BJU International, 2022, 129, 695-698.	2.5	1
64	Reply to Wei Zhang So, Ziting Wang, and Ho Yee Tiong's Letter to the Editor re: Anna Lantz, David Bock, Olof Akre, et al. Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. Eur Urol 2021;80:650–60. European Urology, 2021, 81, e43-e43.	1.9	0
65	Learning curve for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a large prospective multicentre study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 182-190.	1.0	О