Ruth M Farrell

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

62	1,018	394421	454955
papers	citations	19 h-index	30 g-index

65	65	65	963
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	On Gender and Reproductive Decision-Making in Uterine Transplantation. American Journal of Bioethics, 2018, 18, 3-5.	0.9	96
2	Deceased Donor Uterine Transplantation. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016, 128, 837-842.	2.4	77
3	First birth from a deceased donor uterus in the United States: from severe graft rejection to successful cesarean delivery. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 223, 143-151.	1.3	72
4	How Patients View Probiotics. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, 2012, 46, 138-144.	2.2	47
5	What Women Want: Lead Considerations for Current and Future Applications of Noninvasive Prenatal Testing in Prenatal Care. Birth, 2014, 41, 276-282.	2.2	46
6	The use of noninvasive prenatal testing in obstetric care: educational resources, practice patterns, and barriers reported by a national sample of clinicians. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2016, 36, 499-506.	2.3	43
7	A first look at women's perspectives on noninvasive prenatal testing to detect sex chromosome aneuploidies and microdeletion syndromes. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2015, 35, 692-698.	2.3	40
8	Uterine transplant: new medical and ethical considerations. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 581-582.	13.7	39
9	Guidelines for standardized nomenclature and reporting in uterus transplantation: An opinion from the United States Uterus Transplant Consortium. American Journal of Transplantation, 2020, 20, 3319-3325.	4.7	37
10	Pregnant Women in Trials of Covidâ€19: A Critical Time to Consider Ethical Frameworks of Inclusion in Clinical Trials. Ethics & Human Research, 2020, 42, 17-23.	0.9	37
11	Itâ€™s More Than a Blood Test: Patientsâ€™ Perspectives on Noninvasive Prenatal Testing. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2014, 3, 614-631.	2.4	36
12	Framing the diagnosis and treatment of absolute uterine factor infertility: Insights from in-depth interviews with uterus transplant trial participants. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2019, 10, 23-35.	1.6	31
13	Uterus transplantation: state of the art in 2021. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, 2021, 38, 2251-2259.	2.5	30
14	Patient-Centered Prenatal Counseling: Aligning Obstetric Healthcare Professionals With Needs of Pregnant Women. Women and Health, 2015, 55, 280-296.	1.0	28
15	Supporting Womenâ€™s Autonomy in Prenatal Testing. New England Journal of Medicine, 2017, 377, 505-507.	27.0	27
16	Risk and uncertainty: Shifting decision making for aneuploidy screening to the first trimester of pregnancy. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 429-436.	2.4	26
17	What patients are reading about noninvasive prenatal testing: an evaluation of Internet content and implications for patientâ€centered care. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2014, 34, 986-993.	2.3	23
18	Uterine Transplantation: Surgical Innovation in the Treatment of Uterine Factor Infertility. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2018, 40, 86-93.	0.7	21

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Meeting patients' education and decisionâ€making needs for first trimester prenatal aneuploidy screening. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2011, 31, 1222-1228.	2.3	19
20	Evolving ethical issues with advances in uterus transplantation. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 222, 584.e1-584.e5.	1.3	19
21	Characterizing women with interest in uterine transplant clinical trials in the United States: who seeks information on this experimental treatment?. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2017, 216, 190-191.	1.3	18
22	Adolescents' Access and Consent to the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: A Critical Aspect for Immunization Success. Pediatrics, 2007, 120, 434-437.	2.1	17
23	Balancing Risks: The Core of Women's Decisions About Noninvasive Prenatal Testing. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2015, 6, 42-53.	1.6	15
24	Patient-Centered Obstetric Care in the Age of Cell-Free Fetal DNA Prenatal Screening. Journal of Patient Experience, 2018, 5, 26-33.	0.9	15
25	The future of human uterine transplantation: can minimally invasive techniques provide a uterus suitable for transplant?. Fertility and Sterility, 2017, 108, 243-244.	1.0	11
26	â€˜Someone should oversee itâ€™: patient perspectives on the ethical issues arising with the regulation of probiotics. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 250-261.	2.6	10
27	Uterine transplantation. Fertility and Sterility, 2014, 101, 1244-1245.	1.0	9
28	Offering Prenatal Screening in the Age of Genomic Medicine: A Practical Guide. Journal of Women's Health, 2017, 26, 755-761.	3.3	9
29	Balancing Needs and Autonomy: The Involvement of Pregnant Womenâ€™s Partners in Decisions About cfDNA. Qualitative Health Research, 2019, 29, 211-221.	2.1	9
30	Meeting Report: Second World Congress of the International Society of Uterus Transplantation, Cleveland. Transplantation, 2020, 104, 1312-1315.	1.0	9
31	Making the most of the first prenatal visit: The challenge of expanding prenatal genetic testing options and limited clinical encounter time. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2020, 40, 1265-1271.	2.3	9
32	Genetic Counselorsâ€™ Perspectives About Cellâ€Free DNA: Experiences, Challenges, and Expectations for Obstetricians. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 1374-1385.	1.6	8
33	Genetic Screening and Testing in an Episode-Based Payment Model. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2014, 124, 987-991.	2.4	7
34	Online direct-to-consumer messages about non-invasive prenatal genetic testing. Reproductive Biomedicine and Society Online, 2015, 1, 88-97.	1.8	7
35	Expanded indications for noninvasive prenatal genetic testing: Implications for the individual and the public. Ethics, Medicine and Public Health, 2016, 2, 383-391.	0.9	7
36	Implications of Ohio's 20-Week Abortion Ban on Prenatal Patients and the Assessment of Fetal Anomalies. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2017, 129, 795-799.	2.4	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Pandemic Influenza and Pregnancy: An Opportunity to Reassess Maternal Bioethics. American Journal of Public Health, 2009, 99, S231-S235.	2.7	6
38	The Call for a Closer Examination of the Ethical Issues Associated with Uterine Transplantation. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2018, 25, 933-935.	0.6	6
39	Jordan M. Philips Keynote Speaker: Uterine Transplantation. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2015, 22, 311-312.	0.6	5
40	The personal utility of cfDNA screening: Pregnant patients' experiences with cfDNA screening and views on expanded cfDNA panels. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2020, 29, 88-96.	1.6	5
41	The impact of the emergence of COVIDâ€19 on women's prenatal genetic testing decisions. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2021, 41, 1009-1017.	2.3	5
42	The Successes and Challenges of Implementing Telehealth for Diverse Patient Populations Requiring Prenatal Care During COVID-19: Qualitative Study. JMIR Formative Research, 2022, 6, e32791.	1.4	5
43	Advancing the Science of Uterine Transplantation: Minimizing Living Donor Risk on a Path to Surgical Innovation. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2019, 26, 577-579.	0.6	4
44	Prioritizing Women's Health in Germline Editing Research. AMA Journal of Ethics, 2019, 21, E1071-1078.	0.7	4
45	Uterine Transplantation: A Minimally Invasive Approach. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 2018, 25, 551-552.	0.6	3
46	Decision-making for prenatal genetic screening: how will pregnant women navigate a growing number of aneuploidy and carrier screening options?. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2021, 21, 806.	2.4	3
47	Considering Reprogenomics in the Ethical Future of Fetal Therapy Trials. American Journal of Bioethics, 2022, 22, 71-73.	0.9	3
48	Key Ethical Issues in Prenatal Genetics. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America, 2018, 45, 127-141.	1.9	2
49	Key Ethical Considerations in the Study and Clinical Translation of Uterine Transplantation. Current Transplantation Reports, 2016, 3, 410-415.	2.0	1
50	Supporting Womenâ€™s Autonomy in Prenatal Testing. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2018, 73, 14-16.	0.4	1
51	Beyond babies: Implications of human genome editing for women, children, and families. Accountability in Research, 2021, , 1-10.	2.4	1
52	Reply. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2021, 224, 133-134.	1.3	0
53	Comment on: â€œThe Evolution of Transplantation From Saving Lives to Fertility Treatment: DEUTS (Dallas UtErus Transplant Study), Testa et al. Ann Surg. 2020 Sept; 272(3):411â€“417â€•and Related Commentary. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e868.	4.2	0
54	An insider perspective from Mayer-Rokitansky-KÃ¼ster-Hauser syndrome patients on uterus transplantation. Fertility and Sterility, 2021, 115, 911-912.	1.0	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Two Peas in a Pod. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
56	Divide and Conquer: Teasing Out the Cause of Heart Defects in Down Syndrome. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
57	Fanning the Flames: Understanding Menopausal Hot Flushes. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
58	Adolescents Are Not Just Small Adults. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
59	Finding a Needle in a Haystack. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
60	Vitamin D May Do the Uterus Good. Science Translational Medicine, 2011, 3, .	12.4	0
61	Women, Children, Families and the Translation of Genomics in Reproductive Medicine. The International Library of Bioethics, 2022, , 3-13.	0.2	0
62	Incorporating patient perspectives in the science of uterus transplantation. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, , .	2.3	0