## Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6339856/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Determinants of international trade flows. Journal of Development Economics, 1986, 20, 107-123.	4.5	301
2	Stock prices and the effective exchange rate of the dollar. Applied Economics, 1992, 24, 459-464.	2.2	300
3	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1985, 67, 500.	4.3	292
4	The J-Curve: a literature review. Applied Economics, 2004, 36, 1377-1398.	2.2	271
5	Nonlinear ARDL Approach and the J-Curve Phenomenon. Open Economies Review, 2016, 27, 51-70.	1.6	182
6	Bilateral J-Curve between U.S. and her trading partners. Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 1999, 135, 156-165.	0.8	179
7	Long-run price elasticities and the Marshall–Lerner condition revisited. Economics Letters, 1998, 61, 101-109.	1.9	161
8	Is there a long-run relation between the trade balance and the real effective exchange rate of LDCs?. Economics Letters, 1991, 36, 403-407.	1.9	156
9	Nonlinear ARDL approach, asymmetric effects and the J-curve. Journal of Economic Studies, 2015, 42, 519-530.	1.9	137
10	Exports, growth and causality in LDCs. Journal of Development Economics, 1991, 36, 405-415.	4.5	129
11	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: New evidence from US-Malaysia trade at the industry level. Economic Modelling, 2017, 63, 86-103.	3.8	115
12	German monetary unification and the stability of the German M3 money demand function. Economics Letters, 2000, 66, 203-208.	1.9	112
13	The black market exchange rate vs. the official rate in testing PPP: Which rate fosters the adjustment process?. Economics Letters, 2008, 99, 40-43.	1.9	112
14	Stability of the money demand function in Asian developing countries. Applied Economics, 2005, 37, 773-792.	2.2	106
15	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on stock prices?. Global Finance Journal, 2016, 31, 57-72.	5.1	105
16	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. Southern Economic Journal, 2006, 72, 542.	2.1	102
17	Exchange rate sensitivity of demand for money and effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policies. Applied Economics, 1990, 22, 917-925.	2.2	96
18	Exchange rate sensitivity of Japan's bilateral trade flows. Japan and the World Economy, 2004, 16, 1-15.	1.1	92

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	More evidence on the J curve from LDCs. Journal of Policy Modeling, 1992, 14, 641-653.	3.1	87
20	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. Southern Economic Journal, 2006, 72, 542-559.	2.1	86
21	Nominal and real effective exchange rates of middle eastern countries and their trade performance. Applied Economics, 2001, 33, 103-111.	2.2	84
22	ARDL Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis. Review of Development Economics, 2004, 8, 483-488.	1.9	83
23	On the relation between stock prices and exchange rates: a review article. Journal of Economic Studies, 2015, 42, 707-732.	1.9	81
24	INCOME AND PRICE ELASTICITIES OF TRADE: Some New Estimates. International Trade Journal, 2005, 19, 165-178.	0.9	80
25	The black market exchange rate and demand for money in Iran. Journal of Macroeconomics, 1996, 18, 171-176.	1.3	79
26	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in the United States. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2019, 12, 1.	2.3	78
27	Do Real Exchange Rates Follow a Nonlinear Mean Reverting Process in Developing Countries?. Southern Economic Journal, 2008, 74, 1049-1062.	2.1	78
28	The Effects of Exchangeâ€Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade between the United States and Mexico. Southern Economic Journal, 2009, 75, 1019-1044.	2.1	78
29	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for 22 LDCs: 1971:1–1990:4. Applied Economics, 1995, 27, 591-604.	2.2	74
30	Bilateral J-curve between India and her trading partners. Applied Economics, 2003, 35, 1037-1041.	2.2	69
31	Revisiting purchasing power parity in African countries: panel stationary test with sharp and smooth breaks. Applied Financial Economics, 2014, 24, 1429-1438.	0.5	69
32	Relative Responsiveness of Trade Flows to a Change in Prices and Exchange Rate. International Review of Applied Economics, 2003, 17, 293-308.	2.2	67
33	Exchange-rate volatility and international trade performance: Evidence from 12 African countries. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2018, 58, 14-21.	6.6	66
34	Purchasing power parity based on effective exchange rate and cointegration: 25 LDCs' experience with its absolute formulation. World Development, 1993, 21, 1023-1031.	4.9	65
35	The J-curve in the emerging economies of Eastern Europe. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 2523-2532.	2.2	62
36	The J-curve dynamics of U.S. bilateral trade. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2004, 28, 32-38.	1.8	61

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Do exchange rates follow a random walk process in Middle Eastern countries?. Economics Letters, 1998, 58, 339-344.	1.9	60
38	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between US and China. Applied Economics, 2008, 40, 2735-2747.	2.2	59
39	How stable is M2 money demand function in Japan?. Japan and the World Economy, 2001, 13, 455-461.	1.1	58
40	Stability of M2 money demand function in industrial countries. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 2075-2083.	2.2	57
41	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Brazil. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 2013, 25, 70-93.	3.5	52
42	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	1.1	51
43	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	1.1	49
44	THE BILATERAL J-CURVE: AUSTRALIA VERSUS HER 23 TRADING PARTNERS. Australian Economic Papers, 2005, 44, 110-120.	2.2	48
45	UNITED STATES HINA TRADE AT THE COMMODITY LEVEL AND THE YUANâ€DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATE. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2007, 25, 341-361.	1.7	48
46	Asymmetric J-curve in the commodity trade between Pakistan and United States: evidence from 41 industries. Eurasian Economic Review, 2020, 10, 163-188.	3.0	48
47	The demand for money in Japan: Evidence from cointegration analysis. Japan and the World Economy, 1996, 8, 1-10.	1.1	47
48	Productivity Bias Hypothesis and The Purchasing Power Parity: a review article. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2005, 19, 671-696.	6.6	47
49	Transaction Costs and the Interest Parity Theorem. Journal of Political Economy, 1985, 93, 793-799.	4.5	46
50	Currency substitution in Thailand. Journal of Policy Modeling, 2001, 23, 141-145.	3.1	45
51	Demand for international reserves: a review article. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 1209-1226.	2.2	45
52	PURCHASING POWER PARITY IN LESSâ€DEVELOPED AND TRANSITION ECONOMIES: A REVIEW PAPER. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2009, 23, 617-658.	6.6	44
53	The impact of economic and monetary uncertainty on the demand for money in emerging economies. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 3278-3287.	2.2	44
54	Mexican bilateral trade and the J-curve: An application of the nonlinear ARDL model. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 50, 23-40.	6.6	44

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The J-curve: Malaysia versus her major trading partners. Applied Economics, 2010, 42, 1067-1076.	2.2	42
56	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between the U.S. and Malaysia. Research in International Business and Finance, 2011, 25, 127-155.	5.9	42
57	A Time-Series Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis in Purchasing Power Parity. Kyklos, 1992, 45, 227-236.	1.4	41
58	Dynamics of the U.S. Trade With Developing Countries. Journal of Developing Areas, 2004, 37, 1-11.	0.4	41
59	Bilateral J-curve between the UK vis-Ã-vis her major trading partners. Applied Economics, 2006, 38, 879-888.	2.2	41
60	Black market exchange rates versus official exchange rates in testing purchasing power parity: an examination of the Iranian rial. Applied Economics, 1993, 25, 465-472.	2.2	40
61	Testing PPP in the non-linear STAR framework. Economics Letters, 2007, 94, 104-110.	1.9	40
62	Asymmetric causality between the U.S. housing market and its stock market: Evidence from state level data. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2018, 18, e00095.	3.5	40
63	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATE THE LONG-RUN TRADE ELASTICITIES IN LDCs. International Economic Journal, 1998, 12, 89-96.	1.1	39
64	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs: Errata. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1989, 71, 553.	4.3	38
65	Effects of exchange rate risk on exports: crosscountry analysis. World Development, 1992, 20, 1173-1181.	4.9	38
66	Openness, size, and the savingâ $\in$ "investment relationship. Economic Systems, 2005, 29, 283-293.	2.2	38
67	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in developing countries. Applied Economics, 1991, 23, 1377-1384.	2.2	36
68	Black market exchange rate and the productivity bias hypothesis. Economics Letters, 2006, 91, 243-249.	1.9	36
69	Export growth and output growth: An application of bounds testing approach. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2007, 31, 1-11.	1.8	36
70	Exchange rate sensitivity of US bilateral trade flows. Economic Systems, 2008, 32, 129-141.	2.2	36
71	Time-Series Support for Balassa's Productivity-Bias Hypothesis: Evidence from Korea. Review of International Economics, 1996, 4, 364-370.	1.3	35
72	Does black market exchange rate volatility deter the trade flows? Iranian experience. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 2249-2255.	2.2	34

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	On the impact of financial development on income distribution: time-series evidence. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 1248-1271.	2.2	34
74	On the relation between exchange rates and stock prices: a non-linear ARDL approach and asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2018, 42, 112-137.	1.8	34
75	How Stable is the Demand for Money in China?. Journal of Economic Development, 2007, 32, 21-34.	0.3	34
76	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices: an asymmetric analysis. Quantitative Finance and Economics, 2019, 3, 412-424.	3.1	34
77	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for developing countries: 1973:1-1997:3. Applied Economics, 2000, 32, 411-428.	2.2	33
78	Exchange Rate Risk and Commodity Trade Between the U.S. and India. Open Economies Review, 2008, 19, 71-80.	1.6	33
79	On the relation between currency depreciation and domestic investment. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2010, 32, 645-660.	0.6	33
80	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between UK and China. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 4369-4378.	2.2	33
81	The S-curve Dynamics of US Bilateral Trade. Review of International Economics, 2007, 15, 430-439.	1.3	32
82	Are devaluations contractionary in MENA countries?. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 139-150.	2.2	32
83	The J-curve and NAFTA: evidence from commodity trade between the US and Mexico. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 1579-1593.	2.2	32
84	Do changes in the fundamentals have symmetric or asymmetric effects on house prices? Evidence from 52 states of the United States of America. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2912-2936.	2.2	32
85	Short-run and long-run determinants of income inequality: evidence from 16 countries. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2008, 30, 463-484.	0.6	31
86	Kuznets inverted-U hypothesis revisited: a time-series approach using US data. Applied Economics Letters, 2008, 15, 677-681.	1.8	31
87	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production: Evidence from Nonlinear ARDL Approach. Australian Economic Papers, 2016, 55, 181-191.	2.2	31
88	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balance? Evidence from U.S.–Korea commodity trade. Journal of Asian Economics, 2016, 45, 15-30.	2.7	30
89	Bilateral S-curve between Japan and her trading partners. Japan and the World Economy, 2007, 19, 483-489.	1.1	29
90	Policy Uncertainty and House Prices in the United States. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2017, 23, 73-85.	0.9	29

6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Turkey. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2018, 51, 97-112.	5.0	29
92	Long-Run Elasticities of the Demand for Money in Korea:Evidence from Cointegration Analysis. International Economic Journal, 1994, 8, 83-93.	1.1	28
93	Are Devaluations Contractionary in Africa?. Global Economic Review, 2013, 42, 1-14.	1.1	27
94	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: New evidence from Malaysia-Singapore commodity trade. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2016, 14, 211-226.	3.5	27
95	Housing prices and real effective exchange rates in 18 OECD countries: A bootstrap multivariate panel Granger causality. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2018, 60, 119-126.	6.6	27
96	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Australia: an Asymmetry Analysis. Australian Economic Papers, 2018, 57, 456-469.	2.2	27
97	Stability of the Demand for Money in an Unstable Country: Russia. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2000, 22, 619-629.	0.6	26
98	A new criteria for selecting the optimum lags in Johansen's cointegration technique. Applied Economics, 2003, 35, 875-880.	2.2	26
99	Is there J-Curve effect in Africa?. International Review of Applied Economics, 2012, 26, 73-81.	2.2	26
100	Revisiting Purchasing Power Parity in OECD. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 4323-4334.	2.2	26
101	Asymmetry cointegration between the value of the dollar and sectoral stock indices in the U.S. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2016, 46, 78-86.	4.5	26
102	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from industry trade between U.S. and U.K Applied Economics, 2020, 52, 2679-2693.	2.2	26
103	How sensitive are Malaysia's bilateral trade flows to depreciation?. Applied Economics, 2006, 38, 1279-1286.	2.2	25
104	S-Curve dynamics of trade between U.S. and China. China Economic Review, 2010, 21, 212-223.	4.4	25
105	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: Evidence from Japan. Economic Systems, 2012, 36, 326-335.	2.2	25
106	Exchange rate volatility and its impact on domestic investment. Research in Economics, 2013, 67, 1-12.	0.8	25
107	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 1151-1157.	2.2	25
108	Re-testing Prebisch–Singer hypothesis: new evidence using Fourier quantile unit root test. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 441-454.	2.2	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	An asymmetric analysis of the J urve effect in the commodity trade between China and the US. World Economy, 2019, 42, 2854-2899.	2.5	25
110	SHORT-RUN AND LONG-RUN EFFECTS OF CURRENCY DEPRECIATION ON THE BILATERAL TRADE BALANCE BETWEEN PAKISTAN AND HER MAJOR TRADING PARTNERS. Journal of Economic Development, 2009, 34, 19-41.	0.3	25
111	The demand for money in an open economy: the United Kingdom. Applied Economics, 1991, 23, 1037-1042.	2.2	24
112	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations in LDCs?. Economics Letters, 2002, 74, 385-391.	1.9	24
113	Impact of Exchange Rate Uncertainty on Trade Flows: Evidence from Commodity Trade between the United States and the United Kingdom. World Economy, 2008, 31, 1097-1128.	2.5	24
114	Economic and Monetary Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in China. Chinese Economy, 2012, 45, 26-37.	2.0	24
115	Short run and long run effects of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between Pakistan and Japan. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 52, 131-142.	6.6	24
116	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on Trade Flows: Evidence from Africa. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2020, 56, 913-939.	3.1	24
117	On the impact of exchange rate volatility on Tunisia's trade with 16 partners: an asymmetry analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 357-378.	5.0	24
118	Are devaluations contractionary in emerging economies of Eastern Europe?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2008, 41, 61-74.	5.0	23
119	Exchangeâ€rate volatility and US–Hong Kong industry trade: is there evidence of a â€~third country' effect?. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 2629-2651.	2.2	23
120	Impact of exchange rate volatility on the commodity trade between Pakistan and the US. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2017, 50, 161-187.	5.0	23
121	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balances of Asian countries?. Applied Economics, 2017, 49, 4668-4678.	2.2	23
122	Asymmetric Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade Between Pakistan and China. Global Business Review, 2023, 24, 510-534.	3.1	23
123	The Fourier Quantile Unit Root Test with an Application to the PPP Hypothesis in the OECD. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2017, 63, 295-317.	0.1	23
124	Inflationary effects of changes in effective exchange rates: LDCs experience. Applied Economics, 1992, 24, 465-471.	2.2	22
125	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in Spain. Applied Economics, 1998, 30, 607-612.	2.2	22
126	Exchange-rate risk and U.S.–Japan trade: Evidence from industry level data. Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, 2008, 22, 518-534.	2.7	22

Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between U.S. and China: is there a third country effect. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2012, 36, 555-586.	1.8	22
128	Exchange-rate risk and UK-China trade: evidence from 47 industries. Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies, 2014, 7, 2-17.	1.4	22
129	Does exchange rate volatility hurt domestic consumption? Evidence from emerging economies. International Economics, 2015, 144, 53-65.	3.1	22
130	Commodity trade between Pakistan and the US: is there evidence of the J-curve?. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 957-965.	2.2	22
131	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on Turkish bilateral trade balances. Economic Systems, 2017, 41, 279-296.	2.2	22
132	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on Domestic Investment in G7 Countries. Open Economies Review, 2019, 30, 675-693.	1.6	22
133	Effects of exchange rate flexibility on the demand for international reserves. Economics Letters, 1987, 23, 89-93.	1.9	21
134	The J-Curve: Evidence from commodity trade between Canada and the U.S Journal of Economics and Finance, 2008, 32, 207-225.	1.8	21
135	The impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between the US and Thailand. International Review of Applied Economics, 2012, 26, 515-532.	2.2	21
136	Policy uncertainty and consumption in G7 countries: An asymmetry analysis. International Economics, 2020, 163, 101-113.	3.1	21
137	LONG-RUN ELASTICITIES OF THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN KOREA: EVIDENCE FROM COINTEGRATION ANALYSIS. International Economic Journal, 1994, 8, 83-93.	1.1	21
138	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in the United States. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2016, 62, 37-49.	0.1	21
139	Demand for international reserves: survey of recent empirical studies. Applied Economics, 1985, 17, 359-375.	2.2	20
140	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between Canada and Mexico. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2012, 21, 389-408.	2.3	20
141	Quantile unit root test and PPP: evidence from 23 OECD countries. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2899-2911.	2.2	20
142	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the demand for money in Turkey?. Applied Economics, 2017, 49, 4261-4270.	2.2	20
143	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2019, 43, 764-778.	1.8	20
144	U.SAfrica trade balance and the J-curve: An asymmetry analysis. International Trade Journal, 2019, 33, 322-343.	0.9	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Are devaluations contractionary in Asia?. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2002, 25, 69-82.	0.6	19
146	Towards solving the PPP puzzle: evidence from 113 countries. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 3057-3066.	2.2	19
147	The Japanese–U.S. trade balance and the yen: Evidence from industry data. Japan and the World Economy, 2009, 21, 161-171.	1.1	19
148	How sensitive is commodity trade flows between US and India to currency depreciation?. Applied Economics, 2010, 42, 267-277.	2.2	19
149	Is there J-Curve effect in the commodity trade between Korea and rest of the world?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2014, 47, 227-250.	5.0	19
150	The J-Curve: Evidence from Industry-Level Data Between the U.S. and Indonesia. International Trade Journal, 2015, 29, 103-114.	0.9	19
151	Purchasing power parity in emerging markets: A panel stationary test with both sharp and smooth breaks. Economic Systems, 2016, 40, 453-460.	2.2	19
152	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-China commodity trade. Economic Systems, 2018, 42, 470-486.	2.2	19
153	Kalman filter approach to estimate the demand for international reserves. Applied Economics, 2004, 36, 1655-1668.	2.2	18
154	US–Indonesia trade at commodity level and the role of the exchange rate. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 2154-2166.	2.2	18
155	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between U.S. and Canada: Is There Evidence of Third-Country Effect?. International Trade Journal, 2014, 28, 23-44.	0.9	18
156	Commodity trade between EU and Egypt and Orcutt's hypothesis. Empirica, 2015, 42, 1-24.	1.8	18
157	Testing hysteresis effect in U.S. state unemployment: new evidence using a nonlinear quantile unit root test. Applied Economics Letters, 2018, 25, 249-253.	1.8	18
158	Asymmetric Cointegration, Nonlinear ARDL, and the J-Curve: A Bilateral Analysis of China and Its 21 Trading Partners. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2018, 54, 3131-3151.	3.1	18
159	Exchange Rate Risk and Uncertainty and Trade Flows: Asymmetric Evidence from Asia. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2020, 13, 128.	2.3	18
160	A Reexamination of Balassa's Productivity Bias Hypothesis. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 1996, 45, 195-204.	1.8	17
161	The long-run relation between black market and official exchange rates: evidence from panel cointegration. Economics Letters, 2002, 76, 397-404.	1.9	17
162	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production in Emerging Countries. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2018, 54, 1442-1459.	3.1	17

Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	The J-Curve at industry level: Evidence from Sweden–US trade. Economic Systems, 2009, 33, 83-92.	2.2	16
164	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AUSTRALIA. Australian Economic Papers, 2011, 50, 115-128.	2.2	16
165	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Latin America: sequential panel selection method. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 4584-4590.	2.2	16
166	Real and nominal effective exchange rates of African countries during 1971Q1–2012Q4. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 1961-1984.	2.2	16
167	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on industry trade between the US and Egypt. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2015, 48, 93-117.	5.0	16
168	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the E.U. and Egypt: evidence from 59 industries. Empirica, 2015, 42, 109-129.	1.8	16
169	Exchange rate volatility and Turkish commodity trade with the rest of the world. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2016, 49, 1-21.	5.0	16
170	UK trade balance with its trading partners: An asymmetry analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2017, 56, 188-199.	6.6	16
171	Asymmetric causality between oil price and stock returns:A sectoral analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2019, 63, 165-174.	6.6	16
172	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATING BILATERAL TRADE ELASTICITIES BETWEEN U.S. AND HER TRADING PARTNERS. International Economic Journal, 1999, 13, 119-128.	1.1	16
173	Effects of exchange rate variability on inflation variability. World Development, 1991, 19, 729-733.	4.9	15
174	The demand for money in Turkey and currency substitution. Applied Economics Letters, 2006, 13, 635-642.	1.8	15
175	On the Relation between Nominal Devaluation and Real Devaluation: Evidence from African Countries. Journal of African Economies, 2007, 16, 177-197.	1.8	15
176	IMPACT OF EXCHANGE RATE UNCERTAINTY ON COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. Australian Economic Papers, 2008, 47, 235-258.	2.2	15
177	The effects of currency fluctuations and trade integration on industry trade between Canada and Mexico. Research in Economics, 2010, 64, 212-223.	0.8	15
178	Impact of exchange rate uncertainty on commodity trade between US and Sweden. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 3231-3251.	2.2	15
179	Price and income elasticities: evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Egypt. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2014, 11, 561-574.	2.3	15
180	Brazil–US commodity trade and the J-Curve. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 1-13.	2.2	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Panel asymmetric nonlinear unit root test and PPP in Africa. Applied Economics Letters, 2016, 23, 554-558.	1.8	15
182	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Eastern European countries: quantile unit root tests. Empirical Economics, 2017, 52, 463-483.	3.0	15
183	The Japanese trade balance and asymmetric effects of yen fluctuations: Evidence using nonlinear methods. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2017, 15, 56-63.	3.5	15
184	Asymmetry effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Japan. International Review of Applied Economics, 2017, 31, 774-790.	2.2	15
185	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes andÂthe Jâ€curve: New Evidence from 61 Malaysia–Thailand Industries. Review of Development Economics, 2017, 21, e30.	1.9	15
186	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on Oil Prices: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Journal of Financial Studies, 2018, 6, 12.	2.3	15
187	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Thailand-China Commodity Trade: Evidence From 45 Industries. Chinese Economy, 2019, 52, 203-231.	2.0	15
188	On the asymmetric effects of exchangeâ€rate volatility on trade flows: Evidence from US–UK Commodity Trade. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 2021, 68, 51-102.	1.6	15
189	Source of Stagflation in an Oil-Producing Country: Evidence from Iran. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 1996, 18, 609-620.	0.6	14
190	Effects of devaluation on income distribution. Applied Economics Letters, 1997, 4, 321-323.	1.8	14
191	Exchange rate overshooting in Turkey. Economics Letters, 2000, 68, 89-93.	1.9	14
192	Black Market Exchange Rates and Purchasing Power Parity in Emerging Economies. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2005, 41, 37-52.	3.1	14
193	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for African countries. Applied Economics, 2007, 39, 961-979.	2.2	14
194	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: a multicountry analysis. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2011, 34, 319-330.	0.6	14
195	Purchasing Power Parity in Transition Countries: Panel Stationary Test with Smooth and Sharp Breaks. International Journal of Financial Studies, 2015, 3, 153-161.	2.3	14
196	The Exchange Rate Disconnect Puzzle Revisited. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2015, 20, 126-137.	3.5	14
197	The real peso–dollar rate and US–Mexico industry trade: an asymmetric analysis. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 2018, 65, 350-389	1.6	14
198	Fourier nonlinear quantile unit root test and PPP in Africa. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2020, 72, 451-481.	1.1	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Exchange Rate Volatility and Commodity Trade between U.K. and China: An Asymmetric Analysis. Chinese Economy, 2022, 55, 41-65.	2.0	14
200	The J-curve: Indonesia vs. Her Major Trading Partners. Journal of Economic Integration, 2009, 24, 765-777.	1.2	14
201	Demand for International Reserves: Corrections for Serial Correlation and Heteroscedasticity. Applied Economics, 1987, 19, 609-618.	2.2	13
202	ARE THE TWIN DEFICITS REALLY RELATED? A COMMENT. Contemporary Economic Policy, 1992, 10, 108-111.	1.7	13
203	THE J URVE AT THE INDUSTRY LEVEL: EVIDENCE FROM TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. Australian Economic Papers, 2007, 46, 315-328.	2.2	13
204	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations? Evidence from 89 countries. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2008, 17, 644-670.	4.5	13
205	Trade Liberalisation, the Peso, and Mexico's Commodity Trade Flows with the United States. Journal of Development Studies, 2009, 45, 693-725.	2.1	13
206	Industry trade between Canada and Mexico: Will a weakening peso help Mexican manufacturing in the long run?. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 2011, 22, 89-101.	3.5	13
207	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in China. Applied Economics Letters, 2016, 23, 1104-1109.	1.8	13
208	Third-country exchange rate volatility and Japanese–US trade: evidence from industry-level data. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 1452-1462.	2.2	13
209	Revisiting purchasing power parity in G6 countries: an application of smooth time-varying cointegration approach. Empirica, 2018, 45, 187-196.	1.8	13
210	Non-linear quantile unit root test and PPP: more evidence from Africa. Applied Economics Letters, 2018, 25, 465-471.	1.8	13
211	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Korean bilateral trade balance models with her 14 partners. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 2019, 24, 66-81.	1.7	13
212	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Demand for Money: Evidence from Emerging Economies. Journal of Emerging Market Finance, 2019, 18, 1-22.	1.0	13
213	THE AUSTRALIAN J-CURVE: A REEXAMINATION. International Economic Journal, 1991, 5, 49-58.	1.1	13
214	EXCHANGE-RATE VOLATILITY AND INDUSTRY TRADE BETWEEN THE U.S. AND KOREA. Journal of Economic Development, 2012, 37, 1-27.	0.3	13
215	How stable is the demand for money in Greece?. International Economic Journal, 2005, 19, 461-472.	1.1	12
216	ls PPP sensitive to time-varying trade weights in constructing real effective exchange rates?. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2009, 49, 1001-1008.	2.7	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	Industry trade and exchange-rate fluctuations: Evidence from the U.S. and Chile. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2014, 29, 619-626.	4.5	12
218	Third-Country Exchange Rate Volatility and Pakistan-U.S. Trade at Commodity Level. International Trade Journal, 2017, 31, 105-129.	0.9	12
219	Sâ€curve Dynamics of Trade in Africa*. African Development Review, 2008, 20, 335-342.	2.9	11
220	How Sensitive is U.SCanadian Trade to the Exchange Rate: Evidence from Industry Data. Open Economies Review, 2011, 22, 53-91.	1.6	11
221	NONLINEAR AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTED LAG APPROACH AND BILATERAL Jâ€CURVE: INDIA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2017, 35, 472-483.	1.7	11
222	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Korea: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Economic Journal, 2018, 32, 219-234.	1.1	11
223	Japan-U.S. trade balance at commodity level and asymmetric effects of Yen-Dollar rate. Japan and the World Economy, 2018, 48, 1-10.	1.1	11
224	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between Turkey and EU. Empirica, 2020, 47, 757-792.	1.8	11
225	RELATIVE RESPONSIVENESS OF TRADE FLOWS TO A CHANGE IN PRICES AND EXCHANGE RATE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. Journal of Economic Development, 2008, 33, 147-164.	0.3	11
226	Oil price shocks and stability of the demand for international reserves. Journal of Macroeconomics, 1988, 10, 633-641.	1.3	10
227	Response of Domestic Production to Depreciation in Korea: an Application of Johansen's Conintegration Methodology. International Economic Journal, 1997, 11, 103-112.	1.1	10
228	Exchange Rate Overshooting in East Asian Countries. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2006, 42, 5-18.	3.1	10
229	S-Curve at the industry level: evidence from US–UK commodity trade. Empirical Economics, 2008, 35, 141-152.	3.0	10
230	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between US and Hong Kong. International Review of Applied Economics, 2013, 27, 81-109.	2.2	10
231	Long-Run Price Elasticities and the Marshall–Lerner Condition: Evidence from Egypt–EU Commodity Trade. European Journal of Development Research, 2013, 25, 695-713.	2.3	10
232	Do inpayments and outpayments respond to exchange rate changes asymmetrically: Evidence from Malaysia. International Trade Journal, 2018, 32, 317-342.	0.9	10
233	PPP in the 34 OECD countries: evidence from quantile-based unit root tests with both smooth and sharp breaks. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 2622-2634.	2.2	10
234	Thailand-China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty:ÂAsymmetric evidence from 45 industries. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2019, 20, e00130.	3.5	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Canada. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2020, 17, 427-482.	2.3	10
236	Exchange rate changes and money demand in Albania: a nonlinear ARDL analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 619-633.	5.0	10
237	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Africa. Journal of Economic Studies, 2020, 47, 969-984.	1.9	10
238	The S-Curve in Emerging Markets. Comparative Economic Studies, 2008, 50, 341-351.	1.1	9
239	S-Curve at the Commodity Level: Evidence from US-India Trade. International Trade Journal, 2010, 24, 84-95.	0.9	9
240	On the relation between currency depreciation and wages. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 525-530.	1.8	9
241	The J-Curve and Japan–China commodity trade. Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies, 2013, 11, 13-28.	2.8	9
242	Regime changes and the impact of currency depreciations: the case of Spanish–US industry trade. Empirica, 2013, 40, 21-37.	1.8	9
243	Exchange rate volatility and Spanish-American commodity trade flows. Economic Systems, 2014, 38, 243-260.	2.2	9
244	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the USA and Indonesia. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2015, 49, 78-102.	0.8	9
245	Commodity trade between the US and Korea and the J-curve effect. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2017, 51, 1-14.	0.8	9
246	The US–Bangladesh commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2017, 56, 28-36.	6.6	9
247	Economic uncertainty, monetary uncertainty and the Korean demand for money. Journal of Economic Policy Reform, 2017, 20, 86-97.	2.9	9
248	Re-examination of the convergence hypothesis among OECD countries: Evidence from Fourier quantile unit root test. International Economics, 2018, 156, 77-85.	3.1	9
249	More evidence on the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money: evidence from Asian. Applied Economics Letters, 2019, 26, 485-495.	1.8	9
250	Is there a J-curve effect in Tunisia's bilateral trade with her partners? New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2019, 52, 1-18.	5.0	9
251	How sensitive are the U.S. inpayments and outpayments to real exchange rate changes: an asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2019, 16, 619-647.	2.3	9
252	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom: Are the effects asymmetric?. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2020, 66, 76-84.	6.6	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	The J-Curve and the Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on International Trade. , 2020, , 297-319.		9
254	Exchange rate sensitivity of the Canadian bilateral inpayments and outpayments. Economic Modelling, 2005, 22, 745-757.	3.8	8
255	Black Market Premium and Income Distribution. Journal of Developing Areas, 2006, 39, 17-28.	0.4	8
256	J urve: Singapore versus her Major Trading Partners. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 515-522.	0.9	8
257	Currency fluctuations and the French–U.S. trade balance: evidence from 118 industries. Empirica, 2013, 40, 237-257.	1.8	8
258	Exchange-rate variability and U.SFrench trade flows: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2013, 40, 685-719.	1.8	8
259	Economic <scp>U</scp> ncertainty, <scp>M</scp> onetary <scp>U</scp> ncertainty, and the Demand for Money: Evidence From Asian Countries. Australian Economic Papers, 2014, 53, 16-28.	2.2	8
260	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-EU trade: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2017, 44, 339-365.	1.8	8
261	On the effects of income volatility on income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S Research in Economics, 2018, 72, 224-239.	0.8	8
262	Domestic investment responses to changes in the real exchange rate: <scp>A</scp> symmetries of appreciation versus depreciation. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2018, 23, 362-375.	3.5	8
263	Malaysia-EU trade at the industry level: Is there an asymmetric response to exchange rate volatility?. Empirica, 2018, 45, 425-455.	1.8	8
264	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in Africa. Applied Economics, 2019, 51, 3365-3375.	2.2	8
265	REAL INTEREST RATE PARITY AND FOURIER QUANTILE UNIT ROOT TEST. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2019, 71, 348-358.	1.1	8
266	Economic Uncertainty, Monetary Uncertainty, and the Demand for Money in Africa. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2014, 60, 293-313.	0.1	8
267	The Purchasing Power Parity and the Russian Ruble. Comparative Economic Studies, 1997, 39, 82-94.	1.1	7
268	Sectoral Employment, Wages and The Exchange Rate: Evidence From The U.S Eastern Economic Journal, 2007, 33, 125-136.	1.0	7
269	Real and Nominal Effective Exchange Rates for LDCs: 1971–2004. International Trade Journal, 2007, 21, 385-416.	0.9	7
270	Currency depreciations and the U.S.–Italian trade balance: Industry-level estimates. Research in Economics, 2013, 67, 215-225.	0.8	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
271	Exchange-rate sensitivity of commodity trade flows: Does the choice of reporting country affect the empirical estimates?. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2013, 22, 1183-1213.	2.3	7
272	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade Flows Between the United States and China. Chinese Economy, 2013, 46, 29-53.	2.0	7
273	Revisiting purchasing power parity in 34 OECD countries: sequential panel selection method. Applied Economics Letters, 2014, 21, 1283-1287.	1.8	7
274	Impulse response analysis and Orcutt's hypothesis in trade: evidence from developing countries. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 5739-5747.	2.2	7
275	Bilateral Trade Balances of Malaysia with Her 11 Largest Trading Partners: New Evidence from Asymmetry Cointegration. Global Economic Review, 2017, 46, 143-161.	1.1	7
276	Asymmetric response of domestic production to exchange rate changes: evidence from Africa. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 1-24.	5.0	7
277	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on the trade flows of India with each of its fourteen partners. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2021, 14, 66-85.	1.0	7
278	Exchange rate volatility and Turkey–EU commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. Empirica, 2021, 48, 429-482.	1.8	7
279	U.SGerman commodity trade and the J-curve: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Economic Systems, 2021, 45, 100779.	2.2	7
280	U.KGerman Commodity Trade and Exchange-Rate Volatility: An Asymmetric Analysis. International Trade Journal, 2022, 36, 288-305.	0.9	7
281	Whose policy uncertainty matters in the trade between China and the U.S.?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2022, 55, 1497-1542.	5.0	7
282	The effect of exchange rate volatility on U.S. bilateral trade with Africa: A symmetric and asymmetric analysis. Economic Systems, 2022, 46, 100879.	2.2	7
283	Exchange Rate Flexibility and the Speed of Adjustment. Kyklos, 1988, 41, 35-49.	1.4	6
284	The Decline of the Iranian Rial During the Post-Revolutionary Period: The Monetary Approach and Johansen's Cointegration Analysis. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 1995, 16, 277-289.	2.8	6
285	Long-run nature of the relationship between the black market and the official exchange rates. Economic Systems, 2004, 28, 319-327.	2.2	6
286	IS THERE EVIDENCE OF THE J URVE IN COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE USA AND HONG KONG?*. Manchester School, 2012, 80, 295-320.	0.9	6
287	Purchasing Power Parity in African Countries: Evidence from the Sequential Panel Selection Method. Economic Papers, 2014, 33, 295-304.	0.9	6
288	The Bilateral J urve in Australia: A Nonlinear Reappraisal. Australian Economic Papers, 2017, 56, 249-269.	2.2	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
289	Asymmetric response of the <scp>US</scp> –India trade balance to exchange rate changes: Evidence from 68 industries. World Economy, 2017, 40, 2226-2254.	2.5	6
290	On The Relation Between Housing and Stock Markets in 18 OECD Countries: A Bootstrap Panel Causality Test. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2018, 24, 121-133.	0.9	6
291	The Sensitivity of U.S. Inpayments and Outpayments to Real Exchange Rate Changes: Asymmetric Evidence From Africa. International Economic Journal, 2019, 33, 455-472.	1.1	6
292	Kazakhstan trade with its partners and the role of tenge: an asymmetric analysis. Eurasian Economic Review, 2019, 9, 493-513.	3.0	6
293	Asymmetric causality between stock returns and usual hedges: An industry-level analysis. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2020, 21, e00160.	3.5	6
294	Does GINI respond to income volatility in an asymmetric manner? Evidence from 41 countries. Economic Systems, 2020, 44, 100756.	2.2	6
295	On the asymmetric effects of the real exchange rate on domestic investment in <scp>G7</scp> countries. Australian Economic Papers, 2020, 59, 303-318.	2.2	6
296	UK-China Trade and the J-Curve: Asymmetric Evidence from 68 Industries. Chinese Economy, 2021, 54, 195-216.	2.0	6
297	The U.S. anadian trade and exchange rate uncertainty: Asymmetric evidence from commodity trade. World Economy, 2022, 45, 841-866.	2.5	6
298	On the relationship between the value of the mark and German production. Applied Economics, 2001, 33, 1525-1530.	2.2	5
299	Military spending and the black market premium in developing countries. Review of Social Economy, 2006, 64, 77-91.	1.1	5
300	EXCHANGE RATE SENSITIVITY OF AUSTRALIA'S TRADE FLOWS: EVIDENCE FROM INDUSTRY DATA*. Manchester School, 2009, 77, 1-16.	0.9	5
301	US–Thailand trade at the commodity level and the role of the real exchange rate. Journal of Asian Economics, 2010, 21, 514-525.	2.7	5
302	Bounds testing cointegration methods and PPP: evidence from 123 Countries. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 1335-1340.	1.8	5
303	The Saving-Investment Gap And Income Inequality: Evidence From 16 Countries. Journal of Developing Areas, 2012, 46, 145-158.	0.4	5
304	Export diversification and the S-curve effect in a resource-rich state: evidence from Azerbaijan. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2014, 47, 135-154.	5.0	5
305	Pakistan-EU Commodity Trade: Is there Evidence of J-Curve Effect?. Global Economy Journal, 2017, 17, .	0.7	5
306	Exchange rate changes and income distribution in 41 countries: Asymmetry analysis. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2018, 68, 266-282.	2.7	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
307	Inequality and growth in the United States: is there asymmetric response at the state level?. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 1074-1092.	2.2	5
308	Thailand's trade balance with each of her 15 largest partners: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economic Studies, 2018, 45, 660-672.	1.9	5
309	A nonlinear approach to the U.S.–Australia commodity trade and the J urve: Evidence from 123 industries. Australian Economic Papers, 2019, 58, 318-363.	2.2	5
310	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and Germany: asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2020, 17, 67-124.	2.3	5
311	The South Africaâ€U.S. Trade and the Real Exchange Rate: Asymmetric Evidence from 25 Industries. South African Journal of Economics, 2020, 88, 186-203.	2.2	5
312	Exchange rate risk and commodity trade between U.S. and India: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 2020, 25, 675-695.	1.7	5
313	The Turkey-US commodity trade and the asymmetric J-curve. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 54, 943.	5.0	5
314	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from UK-German commodity trade. Empirica, 2021, 48, 1029-1081.	1.8	5
315	Does the real exchange rate play any role in the trade between Mexico and Canada? An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2021, 70, 1-21.	6.6	5
316	Black Market Exchange Rate versus the Official Rate in Testing the PPP: An Application of a Non-Linear Test. Comparative Economic Studies, 2007, 49, 632-641.	1.1	4
317	THE BLACK-MARKET EXCHANGE RATE VERSUS THE OFFICIAL RATE: WHICH RATE FOSTERS THE ADJUSTMENT SPEED IN THE MONETARIST MODEL?. Manchester School, 2010, 78, 725-738.	0.9	4
318	The S-Curve at the Industry Level: Evidence from US-Australia Trade*. Economic Papers, 2011, 30, 497-521.	0.9	4
319	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between the United States and Canada: Evidence from 152 Industries. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 286-301.	0.9	4
320	Impact of exchange-rate variability on commodity trade between U.S. and Germany. Empirica, 2013, 40, 287-324.	1.8	4
321	The Effects of Exchangeâ€Rate Volatility on Korean Trade Flows: Industry‣evel Estimates. Economic Papers, 2014, 33, 76-94.	0.9	4
322	Have Technological Advances Reduced Response Time of Trade Flows to Changes in the Exchange Rate and Relative Prices?. International Trade Journal, 2016, 30, 115-131.	0.9	4
323	Malaysia–Korea Commodity Trade: Are there Asymmetric Responses to Exchange Rate Changes?. Economic Papers, 2017, 36, 198-222.	0.9	4
324	The Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Trade Balance of Singapore. Global Economy Journal, 2017, 17, .	0.7	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
325	A new perspective on the third-country effect: The case of Malaysia–US industry-level trade. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2018, 27, 607-637.	2.3	4
326	Political Risk and Real Exchange Rate: What Can We Learn from Recent Developments in Panel Data Econometrics for Emerging and Developing Countries?. Journal of Quantitative Economics, 2019, 17, 741-762.	0.7	4
327	Asymmetric Link between U.S. Tariff Policy and Income Distribution: Evidence from State Level Data. Open Economies Review, 2020, 31, 821-857.	1.6	4
328	U.S. – Italy commodity trade and the J-curve: new evidence from asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2021, 18, 73-103.	2.3	4
329	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between United States and Australia: An asymmetric analysis. World Economy, 2021, 44, 1509-1700.	2.5	4
330	Are the effects of exchangeâ€rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Mexico symmetric or asymmetric?. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2021, 26, 2998-3027.	3.5	4
331	Estimating a bilateral Jâ€curve between the UK and the Euro area: An asymmetric analysis. Manchester School, 2021, 89, 223-237.	0.9	4
332	German-US Commodity Trade: Is there a J-Curve Effect?. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2012, 58, 327-353.	0.1	4
333	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Canada: A Nonlinear Approach. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2018, 64, 279-295.	0.1	4
334	Exchange Rate Fluctuations and Output in Oil-Producing Countries: The Case of Iran. IMF Working Papers, 2007, 07, 1.	1.1	4
335	Whose Policy Uncertainty Matters in the Trade between Korea and the U.S.?. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2021, 14, 520.	2.3	4
336	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate uncertainty on China's bilateral trade with its major partners. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2022, 73, 653-669.	6.6	4
337	How stable is the demand for international reserves?. Applied Economics Letters, 2011, 18, 1387-1392.	1.8	3
338	US–Malaysia Trade at Commodity Level and the Role of the Real Exchange Rate. Global Economic Review, 2012, 41, 55-75.	1.1	3
339	The S-Curve Dynamics of U.SMexico Commodity Trade. Journal of Applied Economics, 2013, 16, 33-48.	1.3	3
340	The S-curve dynamics of trade between the US and Korea: Evidence from commodity trade. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2014, 48, 40-52.	0.8	3
341	Is there a J-curve for Azerbaijan? New evidence from industry-level analysis. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2014, 7, 83-98.	1.0	3
342	Exchangeâ€Rate Risk and <scp>J</scp> apanese– <scp>T</scp> hai Industry Trade. Australian Economic Papers, 2015, 54, 22-37.	2.2	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
343	Impulse response analysis and Orcutt's hypothesis in trade. Empirica, 2015, 42, 673-683.	1.8	3
344	Revisiting purchasing power parity in major oil-exporting countries. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2015, 8, 108-116.	1.0	3
345	Do Imports and Exports Adjust Nonlinearly? Evidence from 100 Countries. Global Economy Journal, 2018, 18, .	0.7	3
346	Exchange rate volatility and Japan–U.S. commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. World Economy, 2019, 42, 3287-3318.	2.5	3
347	Who benefits from euro depreciation in the euro zone?. Empirica, 2019, 46, 577-595.	1.8	3
348	The J-curve and bilateral trade balances of Indonesia with its major partners: are there asymmetric effects?. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2019, 53, 63-76.	0.8	3
349	NONLINEAR ARDL APPROACH AND PPP: EVIDENCE FROM 82 COUNTRIES. Global Economy Journal, 2021, 21, .	0.7	3
350	The nonlinear ARDL approach and productivity bias hypothesis: Evidence from 68 countries. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2021, 80, 80-89.	2.7	3
351	Policy uncertainty and income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from stateâ€level data in the United States. Bulletin of Economic Research, 0, , .	1.1	3
352	Financial and insurance services trade and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2021, 72, 358-367.	6.6	3
353	Consumer sentiment and house prices: asymmetric evidence from state-level data in the United States. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 2022, 15, 1088-1121.	1.1	3
354	On the asymmetric effects of <scp>exchangeâ€rate</scp> volatility on trade flows: Evidence from <scp>Koreaâ€U</scp> .S. commodity trade. Australian Economic Papers, 2021, 60, 594-629.	2.2	3
355	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in China: An Asymmetric Analysis. Chinese Economy, 2022, 55, 399-409.	2.0	3
356	Is there Jâ€curve effect in the US Service Trade? Evidence from asymmetric analysis. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2023, 28, 3865-3875.	3.5	3
357	Political rights, civil liberties, and the black market premium on foreign exchange: Evidence from developing countries. Review of Political Economy, 2006, 18, 91-104.	1.1	2
358	S-curve dynamics of trade between Sweden and her trading partners. Economic Systems, 2011, 35, 355-362.	2.2	2
359	Exchange-Rate Volatility and Industry Trade Between Japan and China. Global Economy Journal, 2012, 12, 1850268.	0.7	2
360	Do MNCs spur financial markets in corrupt host countries?. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2013, 37, 308-317.	1.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
361	Dynamics of the China-United Kingdom Commodity Trade. Chinese Economy, 2014, 47, 75-93.	2.0	2
362	Purchasing Power Parity and the Law of One Price: Evidence from Commodity Prices in Asian Countries. Global Economy Journal, 2015, 15, 231-240.	0.7	2
363	Further evidence on Orcutt's hypothesis using Korean–US commodity data. Applied Economics Letters, 2015, 22, 717-724.	1.8	2
364	On the value of the dollar and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2017, 16, 64-78.	3.5	2
365	On the link between real effective value of Tunisia's Dinar and its sectoral trade with the rest of the world: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2019, 73, 111-118.	2.7	2
366	Who is hurt by dollar-euro volatility in the euro zone?. International Economics, 2019, 159, 36-47.	3.1	2
367	Bangladesh's trade partners and the J-curve: an asymmetry analysis. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2019, 12, 174-189.	1.0	2
368	On the Link Between Policy Uncertainty and Domestic Production in G7 Countries: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Economic Journal, 2021, 35, 242-258.	1.1	2
369	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes and Thailand's inpayments from and outpayments to its partners. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2021, 24, e00222.	3.5	2
370	A note on the S-curve dynamics of commodity trade between Brazil and the United States. Latin American Journal of Economics, 2015, 52, 307-341.	0.5	2
371	On the link between U.S.â€China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty: An asymmetric analysis. Australian Economic Papers, 0, , .	2.2	2
372	China's trade in services and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2022, 74, 747-757.	6.6	2
373	Do the black market and the official exchange rates converge in the long run?. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2006, 30, 57-69.	1.8	1
374	Black and official market exchange rates and purchasing power parity: evidence from Latin America. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 1453-1459.	1.8	1
375	The S-Curve Dynamics of US-Hong Kong Commodity Trade. Global Economic Review, 2010, 39, 117-128.	1.1	1
376	Quantile unit root test and the PPP in Africa. Applied Economics, 2016, , 1-9.	2.2	1
377	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY, AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AFRICA: AN ASYMMETRY ANALYSIS. Global Economy Journal, 2020, 20, .	0.7	1
378	Exchange rate volatility and domestic investment in G7: are the effects asymmetric?. Empirica, 2021, 48, 775-799.	1.8	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
379	Exchange rate volatility and Turkish–German commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. Studies in Economics and Finance, 2021, ahead-of-print, .	2.1	1
380	On the Link between Policy Uncertainty and House Prices: Asymmetric Evidence from State-Level Data in the United States. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2021, 27, 166-185.	0.9	1
381	Turkish-German Commodity Trade and Asymmetric J-Curve. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2020, 66, 93-129.	0.1	1
382	Stock returns and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S Global Finance Journal, 2022, 52, 100715.	5.1	1
383	U.S.â€South America trade and the <scp>Jâ€Curve</scp> : An asymmetric analysis. World Economy, 2022, 45, 3858-3872.	2.5	1
384	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and the Philippines. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2017, 14, 263-291.	2.3	0
385	Exchange rate sensitivity of commodity flows between the Philippines and the US. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2017, 10, 39-67.	1.0	0
386	Evidence on Orcutt's hypothesis using Turkish–US commodity trade. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2017, 26, 25-44.	2.3	0
387	IS THERE J-CURVE EFFECT IN THE COMMODITY TRADE OF SINGAPORE WITH MALAYSIA? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY. Singapore Economic Review, 2018, 63, 567-591.	1.7	0
388	On the link between Chinese currency and its inpayments from and outpayments to trading partners: an asymmetric analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2022, 55, 335-359.	5.0	0
389	The Impact of Corruption on the Black Market Premium. Southern Economic Journal, 2005, 71, 483-493.	2.1	0
390	Impact of Economic Growth on Income Distribution: Are the Effects Asymmetric?. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2017, 63, 391-427.	0.1	0
391	POLICY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN SINGAPORE: AN ASYMMETRIC ANALYSIS. Singapore Economic Review, 0, , 1-16.	1.7	0
392	Exchange Rate Volatility and Domestic Consumption in the G7: An Asymmetric Analysis. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2021, 67, 2-25.	0.1	0
393	On the link between the real exchange rate and domestic investment in Asia: Are there asymmetric effects?. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 0, , 1-10.	1.7	0