

Dani A Korevaar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6214102/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71
papers

9,215
citations

134610

34
h-index

107981

68
g-index

72
all docs

72
docs citations

72
times ranked

17132
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 351, h5527.	3.0	1,914
2	Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2018, 319, 388.	3.8	1,783
3	STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e012799.	0.8	1,324
4	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 277, 826-832.	3.6	474
5	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2015, 61, 1446-1452.	1.5	449
6	Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2016, 387, 1573-1586.	6.3	346
7	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 370, m2632.	3.0	262
8	Diagnostic accuracy of minimally invasive markers for detection of airway eosinophilia in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> , the, 2015, 3, 290-300.	5.2	202
9	Combined endobronchial and esophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline, in cooperation with the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 545-559.	1.0	191
10	Biomarkers to identify sputum eosinophilia in different adult asthma phenotypes. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2015, 46, 688-696.	3.1	137
11	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2021, 2021, CD013639.	1.5	132
12	Combined endobronchial and esophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline, in cooperation with the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). <i>European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery</i> , 2015, 48, 1-15.	0.6	117
13	Recommendations for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy: a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 194.	2.5	107
14	Combined endobronchial and oesophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2015, 46, 40-60.	3.1	101
15	Added value of combined endobronchial and oesophageal endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging in lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> , the, 2016, 4, 960-968.	5.2	95
16	Reporting quality of diagnostic accuracy studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of investigations on adherence to STARD. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2014, 19, 47-54.	0.6	93
17	Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies: Some Improvements after 10 Years of STARD. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 274, 781-789.	3.6	86
18	Cochran's Q test was useful to assess heterogeneity in likelihood ratios in studies of diagnostic accuracy. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 299-306.	2.4	81

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Molecular malaria diagnostics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences</i> , 2016, 53, 87-105.	2.7	66
20	Should we search Chinese biomedical databases when performing systematic reviews?. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2015, 4, 23.	2.5	54
21	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evidence of "Spin" in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2017, 63, 1353-1362.	1.5	53
22	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 9, CD013639.	1.5	52
23	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 11, CD013639.	1.5	51
24	Meta-Analyses of Diagnostic Accuracy in Imaging Journals: Analysis of Pooling Techniques and Their Effect on Summary Estimates of Diagnostic Accuracy. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 281, 78-85.	3.6	50
25	STARD for Abstracts: essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies in journal or conference abstracts. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 358, j3751.	2.4	50
26	Updating standards for reporting diagnostic accuracy: the development of STARD 2015. <i>Research Integrity and Peer Review</i> , 2016, 1, 7.	2.2	48
27	Chest CT in the Emergency Department for Diagnosis of COVID-19 Pneumonia: Dutch Experience. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 298, E98-E106.	3.6	47
28	Reporting of imaging diagnostic accuracy studies with focus on MRI subgroup: Adherence to STARD 2015. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2018, 47, 523-544.	1.9	46
29	Systematic and combined endosonographic staging of lung cancer (SCORE study). <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2019, 53, 1800800.	3.1	45
30	Targeted test evaluation: a framework for designing diagnostic accuracy studies with clear study hypotheses. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2019, 3, 22.	0.8	43
31	Publication and Reporting of Test Accuracy Studies Registered in ClinicalTrials.gov. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2014, 60, 651-659.	1.5	40
32	Treatment of multiple test readers in diagnostic accuracy systematic reviews-meta-analyses of imaging studies. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2017, 93, 59-64.	1.2	39
33	STARD 2015: updated reporting guidelines for all diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Annals of Translational Medicine</i> , 2016, 4, 85.	0.7	39
34	EUS-B-FNA vs conventional EUS-FNA for left adrenal gland analysis in lung cancer patients. <i>Lung Cancer</i> , 2017, 108, 38-44.	0.9	35
35	COVID-19-Related Fatalities and Intensive-Care-Unit Admissions by Age Groups in Europe: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Frontiers in Medicine</i> , 2020, 7, 560685.	1.2	34
36	Preferred reporting items for journal and conference abstracts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts): checklist, explanation, and elaboration. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 372, n265.	3.0	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Esophageal Endosonography for the Diagnosis of Intrapulmonary Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Respiration</i> , 2017, 93, 126-137.	1.2	29
38	Infrequent and incomplete registration of test accuracy studies: analysis of recent study reports. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004596.	0.8	26
39	Literature survey of high-impact journals revealed reporting weaknesses in abstracts of diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 708-715.	2.4	26
40	Facilitating Prospective Registration of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies: A STARD Initiative. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2017, 63, 1331-1341.	1.5	26
41	Publication bias in diagnostic imaging: conference abstracts with positive conclusions are more likely to be published. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020, 30, 2964-2972.	2.3	25
42	Five-Year Survival After Endosonography vs Mediastinoscopy for Mediastinal Nodal Staging of Lung Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2016, 316, 1110.	3.8	23
43	Endobronchial Ultrasound for the Diagnosis of Centrally Located Lung Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Respiration</i> , 2020, 99, 441-450.	1.2	23
44	Added value of chest computed tomography in suspected COVID-19: an analysis of 239 patients. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2020, 56, 2001377.	3.1	22
45	<sc>EBUS</sc> versus <sc>EUSâ€B</sc> for diagnosing sarcoidosis: The International Sarcoidosis Assessment (<sc>ISA</sc>) randomized clinical trial. <i>Respirology</i> , 2022, 27, 152-160.	1.3	21
46	Imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, , .	1.5	19
47	Time to publication among completed diagnostic accuracy studies: associated with reported accuracy estimates. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2016, 16, 68.	1.4	17
48	Reported estimates of diagnostic accuracy in ophthalmology conference abstracts were not associated with full-text publication. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 79, 96-103.	2.4	16
49	Is There an Association between STARD Statement Adherence and Citation Rate?. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 280, 62-67.	3.6	16
50	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evaluation of â€œSpinâ€•in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in Highâ€•Impact Factor Journals. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020, 66, 915-924.	1.5	15
51	Reporting Weaknesses in Conference Abstracts of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in Ophthalmology. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2015, 133, 1464.	1.4	14
52	Searching practices and inclusion of unpublished studies in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2020, 11, 343-353.	4.2	14
53	Pulmonary embolism in COVID-19: D-dimer threshold selection should not be based on maximising Youden's index. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2021, 57, 2004279.	3.1	13
54	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2022, 2022, CD013639.	1.5	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Publication Bias: Association of Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiology Conference Abstracts with Full-Text Publication. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 292, 120-126.	3.6	12
56	Diagnostic accuracy research in glaucoma is still incompletely reported: An application of Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) 2015. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0189716.	1.1	11
57	Meta-epidemiologic study showed frequent time trends in summary estimates from meta-analyses of diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 77, 60-67.	2.4	10
58	Evaluating tests for diagnosing COVID-19 in the absence of a reliable reference standard: pitfalls and potential solutions. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 138, 182-188.	2.4	10
59	Reporting Bias in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: Are Studies With Positive Conclusions or Titles Submitted and Published Faster?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2021, 216, 225-232.	1.0	9
60	Publication bias may exist among prognostic accuracy studies of middle cerebral artery Doppler ultrasound. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 116, 1-8.	2.4	8
61	Routine screening for pulmonary embolism in COVID-19 patients at the emergency department: impact of D-dimer testing followed by CTPA. <i>Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis</i> , 2021, 52, 1068-1073.	1.0	7
62	Ketone ester supplementation in endurance athletes: a miracle drink or â€˜spinâ€™?. <i>Journal of Physiology</i> , 2019, 597, 4407-4408.	1.3	6
63	Reporting guidelines for journal and conference abstracts. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 124, 186-192.	2.4	3
64	Diagnostic accuracy studies need more informative abstracts. <i>European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases</i> , 2019, 38, 1383-1385.	1.3	2
65	YEARS Algorithm Versus Wellsâ€™ Score: Incomplete Reporting Undermines Study Quality Assessment. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2020, 48, e730-e730.	0.4	2
66	PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts: a new addition to the toolbox for test accuracy research. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2021, 5, 8.	0.8	1
67	STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. Translation to Russian. <i>Digital Diagnostics</i> , 0, , .	0.3	1
68	YEARS Algorithm Versus Wellsâ€™ Score: Incomplete Reporting Undermines Study Quality Assessmentâ€™Part 2. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2020, 48, e1377-e1378.	0.4	1
69	Association of Accuracy, Conclusions, and Reporting Completeness With Acceptance by Radiology Conferences and Journals. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2022, , .	1.9	1
70	Is there added value in adding EUS to EBUS? â€™ Authors' reply. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> , the, 2017, 5, e9.	5.2	0
71	Evaluating the Impact of Peer Review on the Completeness of Reporting in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Research. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2022, 56, 680-690.	1.9	0