

# Sarah L Gorst

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6137210/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

26  
papers

2,869  
citations

471509

17  
h-index

610901

24  
g-index

26  
all docs

26  
docs citations

26  
times ranked

3792  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The COMET Handbook: version 1.0. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, 280.	1.6	1,128
2	Core Outcome Set-STAndards for Development: The COS-STAD recommendations. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2017, 14, e1002447.	8.4	427
3	Core Outcome Set-STAndards for Reporting: The COS-STAR Statement. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2016, 13, e1002148.	8.4	404
4	Core Outcome Set-STANDARDISED Protocol Items: the COS-STAP Statement. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 116.	1.6	145
5	Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Updated Review and User Survey. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0146444.	2.5	113
6	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 5th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0225980.	2.5	82
7	Improving the relevance and consistency of outcomes in comparative effectiveness research. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2016, 5, 193-205.	1.4	74
8	COS-STAR: a reporting guideline for studies developing core outcome sets (protocol). <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 373.	1.6	64
9	Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Updated Review and Identification of Gaps. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0168403.	2.5	57
10	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 4th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0209869.	2.5	52
11	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: An updated systematic review and involvement of low and middle income countries. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0190695.	2.5	52
12	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 6th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0244878.	2.5	41
13	Assessing the relevance and uptake of core outcome sets (an agreed minimum collection of outcomes) <a href="#">Tj ETQq1 1 0,784314,rgBT /O</a>	1.9	37
14	Use of core outcome sets was low in clinical trials published in major medical journals. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 142, 19-28.	5.0	33
15	Methods used in the selection of instruments for outcomes included in core outcome sets have improved since the publication of the COSMIN/COMET guideline. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 125, 64-75.	5.0	30
16	Review finds core outcome set uptake in new studies and systematic reviews needs improvement. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 150, 154-164.	5.0	30
17	More than half of systematic reviews have relevant core outcome sets. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 136, 168-179.	5.0	24
18	Incorporating patients'™ perspectives into the initial stages of core outcome set development: a rapid review of qualitative studies of type 2 diabetes. <i>BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care</i> , 2019, 7, e000615.	2.8	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Patient-reported outcome measures in core outcome sets targeted overlapping domains but through different instruments. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 136, 26-36.	5.0	17
20	Inclusion of participants from low-income and middle-income countries in core outcome sets development: a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e049981.	1.9	13
21	Ensuring young voices are heard in core outcome set development: international workshops with 70 children and young people. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2020, 6, 19.	2.9	12
22	Representation of published core outcome sets for research in regulatory guidance: protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2021, 4, 45.	0.6	4
23	Representation of published core outcome sets for research in regulatory guidance: protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2021, 4, 45.	0.6	3
24	Representation of published core outcome sets for research in regulatory guidance: protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 4, 45.	0.6	3
25	Scout - sarcoidosis outcomes taskforce. A systematic review of outcomes to inform the development of a core outcome set for pulmonary sarcoidosis. <i>Sarcoidosis Vasculitis and Diffuse Lung Diseases</i> , 2021, 38, e2021034.	0.2	2
26	A review of the Cochrane COVID-19 Study Register reveals inconsistency in the choice and measurement of SARS-CoV-2 infection outcomes in prevention trials. <i>F1000Research</i> , 0, 10, 426.	1.6	0