

Sophie Staniszewska

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6091856/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

72
papers

4,882
citations

159585

30
h-index

98798

67
g-index

73
all docs

73
docs citations

73
times ranked

5776
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mapping the impact of patient and public involvement on health and social care research: a systematic review. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2014, 17, 637-650.	2.6	986
2	A Systematic Review of the Impact of Patient and Public Involvement on Service Users, Researchers and Communities. <i>Patient</i> , 2014, 7, 387-395.	2.7	471
3	The impact of patient and public involvement on UK NHS health care: a systematic review. <i>International Journal for Quality in Health Care</i> , 2012, 24, 28-38.	1.8	407
4	The GRIPP checklist: Strengthening the quality of patient and public involvement reporting in research. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2011, 27, 391-399.	0.5	253
5	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) 2022 Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR CHEERS II Good Practices Task Force. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2022, 25, 10-31.	0.3	251
6	Patient and Public Involvement in Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. <i>Patient</i> , 2012, 5, 79-87.	2.7	147
7	ReseArch with Patient and Public involvement: a RealisT evaluation of the RAPPORT study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2015, 3, 1-176.	1.4	143
8	The concepts of expectation and satisfaction: do they capture the way patients evaluate their care?. <i>Journal of Advanced Nursing</i> , 1999, 29, 364-372.	3.3	123
9	Developing the evidence base of patient and public involvement in health and social care research: the case for measuring impact. <i>International Journal of Consumer Studies</i> , 2011, 35, 628-632.	11.6	118
10	Reviewing progress in public involvement in NIHR research: developing and implementing a new vision for the future. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e017124.	1.9	113
11	Implementing health research through academic and clinical partnerships: a realistic evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2011, 6, 74.	6.9	104
12	Collective action for implementation: a realist evaluation of organisational collaboration in healthcare. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 17.	6.9	98
13	Quality and acceptability of patient-reported outcome measures used in chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME): a systematic review. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2012, 21, 35-52.	3.1	90
14	Frequency of reporting on patient and public involvement (PPI) in research studies published in a general medical journal: a descriptive study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e020452.	1.9	88
15	Measuring the impact of patient and public involvement: the need for an evidence base. <i>International Journal for Quality in Health Care</i> , 2008, 20, 373-374.	1.8	83
16	Experiences of in-patient mental health services: systematic review. <i>British Journal of Psychiatry</i> , 2019, 214, 329-338.	2.8	79
17	Investigation of the ways in which patients' reports of their satisfaction with healthcare are constructed. <i>Sociology of Health and Illness</i> , 2004, 26, 159-183.	2.1	78
18	Effective engagement and involvement with community stakeholders in the co-production of global health research. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2021, 372, n178.	6.0	74

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Collaborative action around implementation in Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care: towards a programme theory. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2013, 18, 13-26.	1.7	71
20	The power of symbolic capital in patient and public involvement in health research. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2017, 20, 836-844.	2.6	68
21	“About sixty per cent I want to do it” Health researchers’ attitudes to, and experiences of, patient and public involvement (PPI) – A qualitative interview study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2019, 22, 721-730.	2.6	68
22	The Warwick Patient Experiences Framework: patient-based evidence in clinical guidelines. <i>International Journal for Quality in Health Care</i> , 2014, 26, 151-157.	1.8	66
23	Patient and public engagement in health-related quality of life and patient-reported outcomes research: what is important and why should we care? Findings from the first ISOQOL patient engagement symposium. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2015, 24, 1069-1076.	3.1	65
24	Consumer involvement in health research: a scoping and survey. <i>International Journal of Consumer Studies</i> , 2014, 38, 35-44.	11.6	59
25	Patient and public involvement in health services and health research: A brief overview of evidence, policy and activity. <i>Journal of Research in Nursing</i> , 2009, 14, 295-298.	0.9	51
26	One small step. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2015, 1, 1.	2.9	51
27	The POPPY Study: Developing a Model of Family-Centred Care for Neonatal Units. <i>Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing</i> , 2012, 9, 243-255.	2.9	47
28	Patient and public involvement in research: future challenges. <i>Evidence-based Nursing</i> , 2013, 16, 69-69.	0.2	46
29	Assessing the cost-effectiveness of HPV vaccination strategies for adolescent girls and boys in the UK. <i>BMC Infectious Diseases</i> , 2019, 19, 552.	2.9	38
30	Reaching consensus on reporting patient and public involvement (PPI) in research: methods and lessons learned from the development of reporting guidelines. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016948.	1.9	35
31	A SHARED study-the benefits and costs of setting up a health research study involving lay co-researchers and how we overcame the challenges. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2016, 2, 8.	2.9	33
32	The PRIME project: developing a patient evidence base. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2010, 13, 312-322.	2.6	32
33	EVALUATION OF PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INITIATIVES IN HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: A SURVEY OF INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2017, 33, 715-723.	0.5	31
34	How embedded is public involvement in mainstream health research in England a decade after policy implementation? A realist evaluation. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2018, 23, 98-106.	1.7	30
35	Patient and Public Involvement in research: A journey to co-production. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 1041-1047.	2.2	28
36	Collective action for knowledge mobilisation: a realist evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2015, 3, 1-166.	1.4	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Establishing the values for patient engagement (PE) in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) research: an international, multiple-stakeholder perspective. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2017, 26, 1393-1404.	3.1	27
38	Public involvement in health priority setting: future challenges for policy, research and society. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2016, 30, 796-808.	1.3	25
39	Developing a Framework for Public Involvement in Mathematical and Economic Modelling: Bringing New Dynamism to Vaccination Policy Recommendations. <i>Patient</i> , 2021, 14, 435-445.	2.7	24
40	EVIDENCE INFORMED DECISION MAKING: THE USE OF "COLLOQUIAL EVIDENCE" AT NICE. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2015, 31, 138-146.	0.5	23
41	Patterns of public participation. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2016, 30, 751-768.	1.3	20
42	Using PROMs in Healthcare: Who Should Be in the Driving Seat? Policy Makers, Health Professionals, Methodologists or Patients?. <i>Patient</i> , 2016, 9, 495-498.	2.7	19
43	Moving from rational to normative ideologies of control over public involvement: A case of continued managerial dominance. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2016, 162, 124-132.	3.8	16
44	Qualitative critical incident study of patients' experiences leading to emergency hospital admission with advanced respiratory illness. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e009030.	1.9	14
45	Mind the evidence gap: the use of patient-based evidence to create "complete HTA" in the twenty-first century. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2021, 37, e46.	0.5	12
46	Co-production: a kind revolution. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2022, 8, 4.	2.9	12
47	Hospital care following emergency admission: a critical incident case study of the experiences of patients with advanced lung cancer and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. <i>Journal of Clinical Nursing</i> , 2016, 25, 2168-2179.	3.0	11
48	"Why does it happen like this?" Consulting with users and providers prior to an evaluation of services for children with life limiting conditions and their families. <i>Journal of Child Health Care</i> , 2015, 19, 320-333.	1.4	10
49	An open toolkit for tracking open science partnership implementation and impact. <i>Gates Open Research</i> , 2019, 3, 1442.	1.1	10
50	Patient-Based Evidence in HTA. , 2017, , 43-50.		9
51	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. <i>Journal of Medical Economics</i> , 2022, 25, 1-7.	2.1	9
52	What Does "Good" Community and Public Engagement Look Like? Developing Relationships With Community Members in Global Health Research. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2021, 9, 776940.	2.7	9
53	Patient and public involvement in the implementation of evidence into practice. <i>Evidence-based Nursing</i> , 2013, 16, 97-97.	0.2	8
54	The development of service user-led recommendations for health and social care services on leaving hospital with memory loss or dementia - the SHARED study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2017, 20, 495-507.	2.6	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Research Involvement and Engagement: reflections so far and future directions. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2017, 3, 24.	2.9	7
56	Using patient experience data to support improvements in inpatient mental health care: the EURIPIDES multimethod study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2020, 8, 1-338.	1.4	6
57	Meniscal tear outcome Study (METRO Study): a study protocol for a multicentre prospective cohort study exploring the factors which affect outcomes in patients with a meniscal tear. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038681.	1.9	5
58	Meniscal tears are more common than previously identified, however, less than a quarter of people with a tear undergo arthroscopy. <i>Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy</i> , 2021, 29, 3892-3898.	4.2	5
59	Developing Patient-Reported and Relevant Outcome Measures. , 2017, , 103-120.		5
60	The capacity of health service commissioners to use evidence: a case study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2018, 6, 1-198.	1.4	5
61	Can we help patients have a better experience? Implementing NICE guidance on patient experience. <i>Evidence-based Nursing</i> , 2012, 15, 99-99.	0.2	4
62	Impact of advanced autonomous non-medical practitioners in emergency care: protocol for a scoping study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e014612.	1.9	4
63	Realist Evaluation of the Use of Patient Experience Data to Improve the Quality of Inpatient Mental Health Care (EURIPIDES) in England: study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e021013.	1.9	3
64	Meniscal Tear Outcome (METRO) review: a protocol for a systematic review summarising the clinical course and patient experiences of meniscal tears in the current literature. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e036247.	1.9	3
65	The informed consent process in health research with under-served populations: a realist review protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 103.	5.3	3
66	Developing the infrastructure for patient review in academic journals. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2018, 4, 31.	2.9	2
67	The use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the knee in current clinical practice: A retrospective evaluation of the MRI reports within a large NHS trust. <i>Knee</i> , 2021, 29, 557-563.	1.6	2
68	An open toolkit for tracking open science partnership implementation and impact. <i>Gates Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 1442.	1.1	2
69	Factors associated with shorter length of admission among people with dementia in England and Wales: retrospective cohort study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e047255.	1.9	2
70	Patient beliefs and perceptions play a crucial role in the decision-making process when managing a meniscal tear. A qualitative systematic review of the literature. <i>European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology</i> , 2022, 32, 619-630.	1.4	1
71	Identifying features associated with higher-quality hospital care and shorter length of admission for people with dementia: a mixed-methods study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2020, 8, 1-92.	1.4	1
72	Factors influencing decisions about whether to participate in health research by people of diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds: a realist review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e058380.	1.9	1