

Shaun Treweek

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5860319/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

195
papers

16,211
citations

50566

48
h-index

20625

120
g-index

210
all docs

210
docs citations

210
times ranked

23500
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2008, 337, a2390-a2390.	2.4	1,327
2	The PRECIS-2 tool: designing trials that are fit for purpose. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 350, h2147-h2147.	3.0	1,162
3	A pragmatic“explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 464-475.	2.4	1,013
4	Normalisation process theory: a framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex interventions. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2010, 8, 63.	2.3	858
5	Development of a theory of implementation and integration: Normalization Process Theory. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2009, 4, 29.	2.5	839
6	GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i2016.	3.0	658
7	GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 2: Clinical practice guidelines. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i2089.	3.0	507
8	Understanding the implementation of complex interventions in health care: the normalization process model. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2007, 7, 148.	0.9	495
9	The GRADE Working Group clarifies the construct of certainty of evidence. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 87, 4-13.	2.4	455
10	Guidelines 2.0: systematic development of a comprehensive checklist for a successful guideline enterprise. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2014, 186, E123-E142.	0.9	441
11	Methods to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2013, 3, e002360.	0.8	418
12	Making trials matter: pragmatic and explanatory trials and the problem of applicability. <i>Trials</i> , 2009, 10, 37.	0.7	361
13	Process evaluations for cluster-randomised trials of complex interventions: a proposed framework for design and reporting. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 15.	0.7	358
14	A pragmatic-explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2009, 180, E47-E57.	0.9	317
15	Achieving change in primary care“causes of the evidence to practice gap: systematic reviews of reviews. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 40.	2.5	316
16	A gender-sensitised weight loss and healthy living programme for overweight and obese men delivered by Scottish Premier League football clubs (FFIT): a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2014, 383, 1211-1221.	6.3	308
17	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2018, 2018, MR000013.	1.5	280
18	Interventions to improve recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a survey and workshop to assess current practice and future priorities. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 399.	0.7	279

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Drug-disease and drug-drug interactions: systematic examination of recommendations in 12 UK national clinical guidelines. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 350, h949-h949.	3.0	247
20	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2010, , MRO00013.	1.5	224
21	Intervention description is not enough: evidence from an in-depth multiple case study on the untold role and impact of context in randomised controlled trials of seven complex interventions. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 95.	0.7	187
22	Supporting the delivery of cost-effective interventions in primary health-care systems in low-income and middle-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2008, 372, 928-939.	6.3	173
23	Evaluating complex interventions and health technologies using normalization process theory: development of a simplified approach and web-enabled toolkit. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2011, 11, 245.	0.9	173
24	Trial Forge Guidance 1: what is a Study Within A Trial (SWAT)? <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 139.	0.7	168
25	Developing and evaluating communication strategies to support informed decisions and practice based on evidence (DECIDE): protocol and preliminary results. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2013, 8, 6.	2.5	153
26	Achieving change in primary care—effectiveness of strategies for improving implementation of complex interventions: systematic review of reviews. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e009993.	0.8	153
27	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials. , 2010, , MRO00013.		150
28	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: part 2 - validation of the NoMAD instrument for assessing implementation work based on normalization process theory (NPT). <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 135.	1.4	139
29	Lower Limb Prosthetic Outcome Measures: A Review of the Literature 1995 to 2005. <i>Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics</i> , 2006, 18, P13-P45.	0.2	126
30	Cluster randomised controlled trial of tailored interventions to improve the management of urinary tract infections in women and sore throat. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2002, 325, 367-367.	2.4	124
31	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: measure development based on normalization process theory (NoMAD): study protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2013, 8, 43.	2.5	115
32	The selection of comparators for randomized controlled trials of health-related behavioral interventions: recommendations of an NIH expert panel. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 110, 74-81.	2.4	114
33	Routinely collected data for randomized trials: promises, barriers, and implications. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 29.	0.7	98
34	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: part 1 - development of the NoMAD instrument for assessing implementation work based on normalization process theory (NPT). <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 133.	1.4	97
35	Cognitive behavioural therapy with optional graded exercise therapy in patients with severe fatigue with myotonic dystrophy type 1: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised trial. <i>Lancet Neurology, The</i> , 2018, 17, 671-680.	4.9	95
36	Creating Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust, Use, and Share. <i>Chest</i> , 2013, 144, 381-389.	0.4	94

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Identifying trial recruitment uncertainties using a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership â€œ the PRioRiTy (Prioritising Recruitment in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 147.	0.7	92
38	The impact of a bodyweight and physical activity intervention (BeWEL) initiated through a national colorectal cancer screening programme: randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2014, 348, g1823-g1823.	3.0	87
39	Factors that impact on recruitment to randomised trials in health care: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 2020, MR000045.	1.5	74
40	Rational Prescribing in Primary Care (RaPP): A Cluster Randomized Trial of a Tailored Intervention. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2006, 3, e134.	3.9	72
41	COVID-19 and ethnicity: who will research results apply to?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2020, 395, 1955-1957.	6.3	66
42	Improving GRADE evidence tables part 3: detailed guidance for explanatory footnotes supports creating and understanding GRADE certainty in the evidence judgments. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 74, 28-39.	2.4	65
43	Football Fans in Training (FFIT): a randomised controlled trial of a gender-sensitised weight loss and healthy living programme for men â€œ end of study report. <i>Public Health Research</i> , 2015, 3, 1-130.	0.5	64
44	Systematic techniques for assisting recruitment to trials (START): study protocol for embedded, randomized controlled trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 407.	0.7	61
45	The effect of a programme to improve menâ€™s sedentary time and physical activity: The European Fans in Training (EuroFIT) randomised controlled trial. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2019, 16, e1002736.	3.9	61
46	The Effect of Alternative Summary Statistics for Communicating Risk Reduction on Decisions about Taking Statins: A Randomized Trial. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2009, 6, e1000134.	3.9	54
47	Using a business model approach and marketing techniques for recruitment to clinical trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2011, 12, 74.	0.7	53
48	Data feedback and behavioural change intervention to improve primary care prescribing safety (EFIPPS): multicentre, three arm, cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 354, i4079.	3.0	52
49	What are the most important unanswered research questions in trial retention? A James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership: the PRioRiTy II (Prioritising Retention in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 593.	0.7	51
50	Earlier diagnosis of lung cancer in a randomised trial of an autoantibody blood test followed by imaging. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2020, 57, 2000670.	3.1	50
51	What kind of randomized trials do we need?. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2009, 180, 998-1000.	0.9	48
52	Making clinical trials more relevant: improving and validating the PRECIS tool for matching trial design decisions to trial purpose. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 115.	0.7	48
53	The PRECIS-2 tool has good interrater reliability and modest discriminant validity. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 88, 113-121.	2.4	48
54	Patient and public attitudes to and awareness of clinical practice guidelines: a systematic review with thematic and narrative syntheses. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2014, 14, 321.	0.9	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Interventions in randomised controlled trials in surgery: issues to consider during trial design. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 392.	0.7	46
56	Designing and using incentives to support recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a scoping review and a checklist for design. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 624.	0.7	46
57	Strategies to improve retention in randomised trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2021, 2021, MR000032.	1.5	46
58	Factors that impact on recruitment to randomised trials in health care: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	1.5	45
59	Detection in blood of autoantibodies to tumour antigens as a case-finding method in lung cancer using the EarlyCDT [®] -Lung Test (ECLS): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2017, 17, 187.	1.1	43
60	Weight management for overweight and obese men delivered through professional football clubs: a pilot randomized trial. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2013, 10, 121.	2.0	42
61	Preparing for what the reporting checklists will not tell you: the PREPARE Trial guide for planning clinical research to avoid research waste. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i> , 2017, 51, 1494-1501.	3.1	39
62	Developing the INCLUDE Ethnicity Framework—a tool to help trialists design trials that better reflect the communities they serve. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 337.	0.7	39
63	A cluster randomised stepped wedge trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a multifaceted information technology-based intervention in reducing high-risk prescribing of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and antiplatelets in primary medical care: The DQIP study protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2012, 7, 24.	2.5	37
64	Public attitudes to the storage of blood left over from routine general practice tests and its use in research. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2009, 14, 13-19.	0.8	36
65	Rating of Included Trials on the Efficacy–Effectiveness Spectrum: development of a new tool for systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 84, 95-104.	2.4	36
66	What do patients and the public know about clinical practice guidelines and what do they want from them? A qualitative study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 74.	0.9	35
67	Why we will remain pragmatists: four problems with the impractical mechanistic framework and a better solution. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 485-488.	2.4	34
68	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials to organise and map current literature. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2018, 15, 533-542.	0.7	34
69	Patient-, organization-, and system-level barriers and facilitators to preventive oral health care: a convergent mixed-methods study in primary dental care. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 5.	2.5	32
70	PRECIS-2 helps researchers design more applicable RCTs while CONSORT Extension for Pragmatic Trials helps knowledge users decide whether to apply them. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 84, 27-29.	2.4	32
71	What kind of randomised trials do patients and clinicians need?. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2009, 14, 101-103.	0.6	31
72	The translation research in a dental setting (TRiADS) programme protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2010, 5, 57.	2.5	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Study protocol of European Fans in Training (EuroFIT): a four-country randomised controlled trial of a lifestyle program for men delivered in elite football clubs. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2016, 16, 598.	1.2	31
74	Trial Forge Guidance 2: how to decide if a further Study Within A Trial (SWAT) is needed. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 33.	0.7	29
75	What kind of randomized trials do we need?. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 461-463.	2.4	28
76	Breast cancer risk reduction - is it feasible to initiate a randomised controlled trial of a lifestyle intervention programme (ActWell) within a national breast screening programme?. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2014, 11, 156.	2.0	28
77	The feasibility and acceptability of trial procedures for a pragmatic randomised controlled trial of a structured physical activity intervention for people diagnosed with colorectal cancer: findings from a pilot trial of cardiac rehabilitation versus usual care (no rehabilitation) with an embedded qualitative study. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2016, 2, 51.	0.5	28
78	Low risk pragmatic trials do not always require participants' informed consent. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 364, l1092.	2.4	28
79	Study protocol for BeWEL: The impact of a BodyWEight and physical activity intervention on adults at risk of developing colorectal adenomas. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2011, 11, 184.	1.2	27
80	Tolerating bad health research: the continuing scandal. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, .	0.7	27
81	It's trying to manage the work: a qualitative evaluation of recruitment processes within a UK multicentre trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016475.	0.8	25
82	Rational Prescribing in Primary Care (RaPP-trial). A randomised trial of a tailored intervention to improve prescribing of antihypertensive and cholesterol-lowering drugs in general practice [ISRCTN48751230]. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2003, 3, 5.	0.9	24
83	How Should the Impact of Different Presentations of Treatment Effects on Patient Choice Be Evaluated? A Pilot Randomized Trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2008, 3, e3693.	1.1	24
84	Development of a Novel, Multilayered Presentation Format for Clinical Practice Guidelines. <i>Chest</i> , 2015, 147, 754-763.	0.4	23
85	Dissemination of Clinical Practice Guidelines. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2016, 36, 692-702.	1.2	23
86	Reducing research waste by promoting informed responses to invitations to participate in clinical trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 613.	0.7	23
87	Patient and public involvement (PPI) in UK surgical trials: a survey and focus groups with stakeholders to identify practices, views, and experiences. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 119.	0.7	22
88	Predictors of recruitment and retention in randomized controlled trials of behavioural smoking cessation interventions: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. <i>Addiction</i> , 2022, 117, 299-311.	1.7	22
89	The potential of electronic medical record systems to support quality improvement work and research in Norwegian general practice. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2003, 3, 10.	0.9	21
90	Evaluation of interventions for informed consent for randomised controlled trials (ELICIT): protocol for a systematic review of the literature and identification of a core outcome set using a Delphi survey. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 484.	0.7	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Desktop software to identify patients eligible for recruitment into a clinical trial: using SARMA to recruit to the ROAD feasibility trial. <i>Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics</i> , 2010, 18, 51-58.	0.9	21
92	Meeting the challenges of recruitment to multicentre, community-based, lifestyle-change trials: a case study of the BeWEL trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 436.	0.7	20
93	Primary care research network progress in Scotland. <i>European Journal of General Practice</i> , 2014, 20, 337-342.	0.9	20
94	Abdominal massage plus advice, compared with advice only, for neurogenic bowel dysfunction in MS: a RCT. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2018, 22, 1-134.	1.3	20
95	Understanding the applicability of results from primary care trials: lessons learned from applying PRECIS-2. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 90, 119-126.	2.4	18
96	The effect of optimised patient information materials on recruitment in a lung cancer screening trial: an embedded randomised recruitment trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 503.	0.7	18
97	Better guidelines for better care: accounting for multimorbidity in clinical guidelines – structured examination of exemplar guidelines and health economic modelling. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2017, 5, 1-150.	1.4	18
98	A pragmatic resolution. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 495-498.	2.4	17
99	Addressing the evidence to practice gap for complex interventions in primary care: a systematic review of reviews protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e005548-e005548.	0.8	17
100	Randomised controlled trial to assess the impact of a lifestyle intervention (ActWELL) in women invited to NHS breast screening. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e024136.	0.8	17
101	NorthStar, a support tool for the design and evaluation of quality improvement interventions in healthcare. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2007, 2, 19.	2.5	16
102	Disclosure of Investigators' Recruitment Performance in Multicenter Clinical Trials: A Further Step for Research Transparency. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2011, 8, e1001149.	3.9	16
103	E-mail invitations to general practitioners were as effective as postal invitations and were more efficient. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 65, 793-797.	2.4	16
104	PRECIS-2 for retrospective assessment of RCTs in systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 126, 202-206.	2.4	16
105	Why trials lose participants: A multitrail investigation of participants' perspectives using the theoretical domains framework. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 137, 1-13.	2.4	16
106	Advance telephone calls ahead of reminder questionnaires increase response rate in non-responders compared to questionnaire reminders only: The RECORD phone trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 13.	0.7	15
107	Do participants in adjuvant breast cancer trials reflect the breast cancer patient population?. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 51, 907-914.	1.3	15
108	A protocol for a systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to improve participant recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 131.	2.5	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	The Trial Protocol Tool: the PRACTIHC software tool that supported the writing of protocols for pragmatic randomized controlled trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2006, 59, 1127-1133.	2.4	14
110	Study protocol of a mixed-methods evaluation of a cluster randomized trial to improve the safety of NSAID and antiplatelet prescribing: data-driven quality improvement in primary care. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 154.	0.7	14
111	Patients, health information, and guidelines: A focus-group study. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care</i> , 2015, 33, 212-219.	0.6	14
112	Trial managementâ€ building the evidence base for decision-making. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 11.	0.7	14
113	A conceptual framework for patient-directed knowledge tools to support patient-centred care: Results from an evidence-informed consensus meeting. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 1898-1904.	1.0	14
114	Estimating Site Performance (ESP): can trial managers predict recruitment success at trial sites? An exploratory study. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 192.	0.7	14
115	Non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention in randomised controlled trials: a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 224.	2.5	13
116	Getting it wrong most of the time? Comparing trialistsâ€™ choice of primary outcome with what patients and health professionals want. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, .	0.7	13
117	Recruiting and retaining postpartum women from areas of social disadvantage in a weight loss trial â€ an assessment of strategies employed in the WeighWell feasibility study. <i>Maternal and Child Nutrition</i> , 2013, 9, 322-331.	1.4	12
118	CRIBâ€the use of cardiac rehabilitation services to aid the recovery of patients with bowel cancer: a pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT) with embedded feasibility study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004684.	0.8	12
119	A protocol for a systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention to randomised controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 30.	2.5	12
120	SWATted away: the challenging experience of setting up a programme of SWATs in paediatric trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 141.	0.7	12
121	Can we achieve better recruitment by providing better information? Meta-analysis of â€ studies within a trialâ€™ (SWATs) of optimised participant information sheets. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2021, 19, 218.	2.3	12
122	A systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to improve participant recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 86.	0.8	12
123	Effective Feedback to Improve Primary Care Prescribing Safety (EFIPPS) a pragmatic three-arm cluster randomised trial: designing the intervention (ClinicalTrials.gov registration NCT01602705). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 133.	2.5	11
124	Experiences of recruiting to a pilot trial of Cardiac Rehabilitation In patients with Bowel cancer (CRIB) with an embedded process evaluation: lessons learned to improve recruitment. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2015, 1, 15.	0.5	11
125	Summary of findings tables for communicating key findings of systematic reviews. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2017, , .	1.5	11
126	A novel approach to increasing community capacity for weight management a volunteer-delivered programme (ActWELL) initiated within breast screening clinics: a randomised controlled trial. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2021, 18, 34.	2.0	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Developing and evaluating interventions to reduce inappropriate prescribing by general practitioners of antibiotics for upper respiratory tract infections: A randomised controlled trial to compare paper-based and web-based modelling experiments. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2011, 6, 16.	2.5	10
128	The Trans-femoral Fitting Predictor: A Functional Measure to Predict Prosthetic Fitting in Transfemoral Amputeesâ€“Validity and Reliability. <i>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</i> , 2011, 92, 1293-1297.	0.5	10
129	Paper-based and web-based intervention modeling experiments identifiedÂthe same predictors of general practitioners' antibiotic-prescribing behavior. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 296-304.	2.4	10
130	A theory-informed approach to developing visually mediated interventions to change behaviour using an asthma and physical activity intervention exemplar. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2016, 2, 46.	0.5	10
131	Reducing delay in patients with acute coronary syndrome and other time-critical conditions: a systematic review to identify the behaviour change techniques associated with effective interventions. <i>Open Heart</i> , 2019, 6, e000975.	0.9	10
132	Prediction of RECRUITment In randomized clinical Trials (RECRUIT-IT)â€”rationale and design for an international collaborative study. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 731.	0.7	10
133	Using systematic data categorisation to quantify the types of data collected in clinical trials: the DataCat project. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 535.	0.7	10
134	Trial forge: a systematic approach to making trials more efficient. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	0.7	9
135	Using evidence when planning for trial recruitment: An international perspective from time-poor trialists. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0226081.	1.1	9
136	Complex interventions and the chamber of secrets: understanding why they work and why they do not. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2005, 98, 553-553.	1.1	8
137	What kind of randomized trials do patients and clinicians need?. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2009, 150, JC5.	2.0	8
138	Protocol for the Effective Feedback to Improve Primary Care Prescribing Safety (EFIPPS) study: a cluster randomised controlled trial using ePrescribing data. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2012, 2, e002359.	0.8	8
139	Make it REAL: four simple points to increase clinical relevance in sport and exercise medicine research. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i> , 2018, 52, 1407-1408.	3.1	8
140	Incomplete reporting of recruitment information in breast cancer trials published between 2003 and 2008. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2011, 64, 1216-1222.	2.4	7
141	Developing a patient and public involvement intervention to enhance recruitment and retention in surgical trials (PIRRIST): study protocol. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	7
142	A primary care Web-based Intervention Modeling Experiment replicated behavior changes seen in earlier paper-based experiment. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 80, 116-122.	2.4	7
143	How much is the lack of retention evidence costing trial teams in Ireland and the UK?. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 396.	0.7	7
144	The PROMoting the USE of SWATs (PROMETHEUS) programme: Lessons learnt and future developments for SWATs. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 100-106.	0.7	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Pragmatic trials are randomized and may use a placebo. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2010, 63, 694-695.	2.4	6
146	COVID-19 randomised trial protocols: rapid publication without barriers. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 327.	0.7	6
147	Bah humbug! Association between sending Christmas cards to trial participants and trial retention: randomised study within a trial conducted simultaneously across eight host trials. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 375, e067742.	3.0	6
148	Complex Interventions and the Chamber of Secrets: Understanding why they Work and Why they do not. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2005, 98, 553-553.	1.1	5
149	How much does pre-trial testing influence complex intervention trials and would more testing make any difference? An email survey. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2006, 6, 28.	1.4	5
150	Protocolsâ€™ more structure, less â€™Wuthering Heightsâ€™™. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 649.	0.7	5
151	Digital tools for trial recruitment and retentionâ€™ plenty of tools but rigorous evaluation is in short supply. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 476.	0.7	5
152	Optimisation of the ActWELL lifestyle intervention programme for women attending routine NHS breast screening clinics. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 484.	0.7	5
153	Five-year cost-effectiveness analysis of the European Fans in Training (EuroFIT) physical activity intervention for men versus no intervention. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2020, 17, 30.	2.0	5
154	Tibial nerve stimulation compared with sham to reduce incontinence in care home residents: ELECTRIC RCT. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2021, 25, 1-110.	1.3	5
155	An international core outcome set for evaluating interventions to improve informed consent to clinical trials: The ELICIT Study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 137, 14-22.	2.4	5
156	Does reading a book in bed make a difference to sleep in comparison to not reading a book in bed? The Peopleâ€™s Trialâ€™ an online, pragmatic, randomised trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 873.	0.7	5
157	Joining the mobile revolution. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care</i> , 2003, 21, 75-76.	0.6	4
158	A multimedia intervention to enhance recruitment to clinical trials in primary care and community settings: process of development and evaluation. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	0.7	4
159	Reducing patient delay with symptoms of acute coronary syndrome: a research protocol for a systematic review of previous interventions to investigate which behaviour change techniques are associated with effective interventions. <i>Open Heart</i> , 2014, 1, e000079.	0.9	4
160	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials (ORRCA). <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	4
161	Improving the relevance of randomised trials to primary care: a qualitative study investigating views towards pragmatic trials and the PRECIS-2 tool. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 711.	0.7	4
162	Letter on â€™Sharing trial results directly with trial participants and other stakeholders after the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic hit the UK â€™ experience from the ActWELL trialâ€™. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 381.	0.7	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	SWAT 76 evaluation: randomised evaluation of sending pre-notification cards to trial participants before a face-to-face primary outcome measurement to increase attendance. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2021, 10, 84.	0.8	4
164	The use of cardiac rehabilitation services to aid the recovery of patients with bowel cancer: a pilot randomised controlled trial with embedded feasibility study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2016, 4, 1-232.	1.4	4
165	Systematic techniques for assisting recruitment to trials (START): developing the science of recruitment. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	0.7	3
166	Why is so much clinical research ignored and what can we do about it?. <i>British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England: 2005)</i> , 2016, 77, 554-555.	0.2	3
167	Reducing patient delay in Acute Coronary Syndrome (<scp>RAP</scp>iD): research protocol for a web-based randomized controlled trial examining the effect of a behaviour change intervention. <i>Journal of Advanced Nursing</i> , 2017, 73, 1220-1234.	1.5	3
168	“Better” clinical decisions do not necessarily require more time to make. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 82, 173-174.	2.4	3
169	The People’s Trial: supporting the public’s understanding of randomised trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 205.	0.7	3
170	The development of theory-informed participant-centred interventions to maximise participant retention in randomised controlled trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 268.	0.7	3
171	Using qualitative methods in pilot and feasibility trials to inform recruitment and retention processes in full-scale randomised trials: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e055521.	0.8	3
172	Recommendations from Cochrane reviews for improving future trials on anesthesia and pain: a meta-research study. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2022, 11, 669-677.	0.6	3
173	Studies within a trial priorities to improve the evidence to inform recruitment and retention practice in clinical trials. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 121-126.	0.7	3
174	Staff training to improve participant recruitment into surgical randomised controlled trials: A feasibility study within a trial (SWAT) across four host trials simultaneously. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 0, , 263208432211069.	0.7	3
175	Weight loss intervention for football fans – Authors' reply. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2014, 383, 2122.	6.3	2
176	Risk of bias assessment of sequence generation: a study of 100 systematic reviews of trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 13.	2.5	2
177	Feasibility study protocol of a pragmatic, randomised controlled pilot trial: membrane sweeping to prevent post-term pregnancy – the MILO Study. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 113.	0.7	2
178	Can a Sports Team-based Lifestyle Program (Hockey Fans In Training) Improve Weight In Overweight Men?. <i>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</i> , 2016, 48, 604.	0.2	2
179	A concept analysis of “trial recruitment” using the hybrid model. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 92.	0.3	2
180	Response to a novel, weight self-awareness plan used in a multi-component lifestyle intervention programme to reduce breast cancer risk factors in older women – Secondary analysis from the ActWELL trial. <i>Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics</i> , 2023, 36, 266-276.	1.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Do pragmatic trials trade-off internal validity for external validity?. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	1
182	SWAT 76 evaluation: randomised evaluation of sending pre-notification cards to trial participants before a face-to-face primary outcome measurement to increase attendance. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2021, 10, 84.	0.8	1
183	Learning from Cochrane systematic reviews: what improvements do these suggest for the design of trials?. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 178.	0.8	1
184	A concept analysis of "trial recruitment"™ using the hybrid model " Phase 1 findings. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2020, 3, 92.	0.3	1
185	How best to design a clinical trial that is relevant to practice? Applying PRECIS-2, a trial design tool, to primary care trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
186	Do trial managers know when sites will fail to recruit? Preliminary results from the estimating site performance (ESP) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
187	Matching trial design decisions to the needs of those you hope will use the results: the PRECIS-2 tool. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
188	Trial forge " working together to make trials more efficient. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
189	Evaluation of interventions for informed consent for randomised controlled trials (ELICIT): developing a core outcome set. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
190	Testing the effectiveness of user-tested patient information on recruitment rates across multiple trials: meta-analysis of data from the start programme. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
191	Impact of Hockey Fans in Training Program on Steps and Self-rated Health in Overweight Men. <i>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</i> , 2016, 48, 601.	0.2	0
192	Prioritising key motivators and challenges influencing informal carers™ decisions for participating in randomised trials: An embedded Study Within A before and after Trial (SWAT 55). <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 71.	0.3	0
193	Abstract P4-18-01: Do participants in adjuvant breast cancer trials reflect the breast cancer patient population?. , 2015, , .		0
194	What improvements do Cochrane systematic reviewers suggest for the design of trials?. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 178.	0.8	0
195	Prioritising key motivators and challenges influencing informal carers™ decisions for participating in randomised trials: An embedded Study Within A before and after Trial (SWAT 55). <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 71.	0.3	0