Huseyin Naci

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5837821/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

159585 102487 4,735 105 30 66 citations g-index h-index papers 105 105 105 7097 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comparative effectiveness of exercise and drug interventions on mortality outcomes: metaepidemiological study. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f5577-f5577.	6.0	479
2	Is network meta-analysis as valid as standard pairwise meta-analysis? It all depends on the distribution of effect modifiers. BMC Medicine, 2013, 11, 159.	5.5	427
3	Availability of evidence of benefits on overall survival and quality of life of cancer drugs approved by European Medicines Agency: retrospective cohort study of drug approvals 2009-13. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 359, j4530.	2.3	423
4	From "retailers―to health care providers: Transforming the role of community pharmacists in chronic disease management. Health Policy, 2015, 119, 628-639.	3.0	294
5	Distribution of road traffic deaths by road user group: a global comparison. Injury Prevention, 2009, 15, 55-59.	2.4	256
6	Comparative Tolerability and Harms of Individual Statins. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2013, 6, 390-399.	2.2	236
7	How does exercise treatment compare with antihypertensive medications? A network meta-analysis of 391 randomised controlled trials assessing exercise and medication effects on systolic blood pressure. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2019, 53, 859-869.	6.7	207
8	Comparative benefits of statins in the primary and secondary prevention of major coronary events and all-cause mortality: a network meta-analysis of placebo-controlled and active-comparator trials. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology, 2013, 20, 641-657.	1.8	170
9	Characteristics of Preapproval and Postapproval Studies for Drugs Granted Accelerated Approval by the US Food and Drug Administration. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 626.	7.4	148
10	Design characteristics, risk of bias, and reporting of randomised controlled trials supporting approvals of cancer drugs by European Medicines Agency, 2014-16: cross sectional analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 366, 15221.	2.3	117
11	Comparative effectiveness of exercise and drug interventions on mortality outcomes: metaepidemiological study. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2015, 49, 1414-1422.	6.7	89
12	Expanding the role of community pharmacists: Policymaking in the absence of policy-relevant evidence?. Health Policy, $2013, 111, 135-148$.	3.0	78
13	Prognostic Significance of Left Ventricular Noncompaction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2020, 13, e009712.	2.6	74
14	Evaluation of Wellness Determinants and Interventions by Citizen Scientists. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015, 314, 121.	7.4	71
15	The Critical Role Of Observational Evidence In Comparative Effectiveness Research. Health Affairs, 2010, 29, 1826-1833.	5.2	63
16	Improving clinical trials for cardiovascular diseases: a position paper from the Cardiovascular Round Table of the European Society of Cardiology. European Heart Journal, 2016, 37, 747-754.	2.2	62
17	Cost Implications of Using Different ECGÂCriteria for Screening YoungÂAthletesÂin the United Kingdom. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 68, 702-711.	2.8	59
18	Generating comparative evidence on new drugs and devices before approval. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 986-997.	13.7	59

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Dose-comparative effects of different statins on serum lipid levels: a network meta-analysis of 256,827 individuals in 181 randomized controlled trials. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology, 2013, 20, 658-670.	1.8	58
20	How Good Is "Evidence―from Clinical Studies of Drug Effects and Why Might Such Evidence Fail in the Prediction of the Clinical Utility of Drugs?. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 2015, 55, 169-189.	9.4	58
21	Industry sponsorship bias in research findings: a network meta-analysis of LDL cholesterol reduction in randomised trials of statins. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g5741-g5741.	6.0	55
22	Timing and Characteristics of Cumulative Evidence Available on Novel Therapeutic Agents Receiving Food and Drug Administration Accelerated Approval. Milbank Quarterly, 2017, 95, 261-290.	4.4	52
23	Generating comparative evidence on new drugs and devices after approval. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 998-1010.	13.7	52
24	The impact of increasing neurological disability of multiple sclerosis on health utilities: a systematic review of the literature. Journal of Medical Economics, 2010, 13, 78-89.	2.1	47
25	Applying Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis to Comparative Benefit-Risk Assessment. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 859-871.	2.4	44
26	Impact of the International Recommendations for Electrocardiographic Interpretation on Cardiovascular ScreeningÂin Young Athletes. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 805-807.	2.8	44
27	Evidence of comparative efficacy should have a formal role in European drug approvals. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 343, d4849-d4849.	2.3	41
28	Decision Making Under Uncertainty: Comparing Regulatory and Health Technology Assessment Reviews of Medicines in the United States and Europe. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2020, 108, 350-357.	4.7	41
29	Balloon Dilatation and Stenting for Aortic Coarctation. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, .	3.9	40
30	The US Food and Drug Administration's expedited approval programs: Evidentiary standards, regulatory trade-offs, and potential improvements. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 219-229.	1.6	38
31	Approval of Cancer Drugs With Uncertain Therapeutic Value: A Comparison of Regulatory Decisions in Europe and the United States. Milbank Quarterly, 2020, 98, 1219-1256.	4.4	37
32	Why the drug development pipeline is not delivering better medicines. BMJ, The, 2015, 351, h5542.	6.0	34
33	Assessment of Coverage in England of Cancer Drugs Qualifying for US Food and Drug Administration Accelerated Approval. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 490.	5.1	32
34	Planning a future randomized clinical trial based on a network of relevant past trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 365.	1.6	31
35	Comparative effects of statins on major cerebrovascular events: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis of placebo-controlled and active-comparator trials. QJM - Monthly Journal of the Association of Physicians, 2013, 106, 299-306.	0.5	30
36	Medication Affordability Gains Following Medicare Part D Are Eroding Among Elderly With Multiple Chronic Conditions. Health Affairs, 2014, 33, 1435-1443.	5 . 2	30

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	An Assessment of the Methodological Quality of Published Network Meta-Analyses: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0121715.	2.5	28
38	Evidence-Based Prescribing. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 787-792.	2.2	27
39	Economic evaluation of mental health interventions: an introduction to cost-utility analysis. Evidence-Based Mental Health, 2016, 19, 49-53.	4.5	27
40	QALYs in cost-effectiveness analysis: an overview for cardiologists. Heart, 2015, 101, 1868-1873.	2.9	25
41	A review of NICE appraisals of pharmaceuticals 2000–2016 found variation in establishing comparative clinical effectiveness. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 105, 50-59.	5.0	25
42	Comparison of the metabolic and economic consequences of longâ€term treatment of schizophrenia using ziprasidone, olanzapine, quetiapine and risperidone in Canada: a costâ€effectiveness analysis. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2010, 16, 744-755.	1.8	23
43	Raising the bar for market authorisation of new drugs. BMJ, The, 2012, 345, e4261-e4261.	6.0	23
44	Recalibrating Health Technology Assessment Methods for Cell and Gene Therapies. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 1297-1308.	3.3	23
45	History Bias, Study Design, and the Unfulfilled Promise of Pay-for-Performance Policies in Health Care. Preventing Chronic Disease, 2016, 13, E82.	3.4	22
46	Health policy in times of austerityâ€"A conceptual framework for evaluating effects of policy on efficiency and equity illustrated with examples from Europe since 2008. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 947-954.	3.0	22
47	Price changes and within-class competition of cancer drugs in the USA and Europe: a comparative analysis. Lancet Oncology, The, 2022, 23, 514-520.	10.7	22
48	Pharmacy interventions on COVID-19 in Europe: Mapping current practices and a scoping review. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 2022, 18, 3338-3349.	3.0	20
49	Raising the bar for using surrogate endpoints in drug regulation and health technology assessment. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n2191.	6.0	19
50	Producing and using timely comparative evidence on drugs: lessons from clinical trials for covid-19. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m3869.	6.0	16
51	Estimated Medicare Spending on Cancer Drug Indications With a Confirmed Lack of Clinical Benefit After US Food and Drug Administration Accelerated Approval. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1673.	5.1	16
52	Assessing comparative effectiveness of new drugs before approval using prospective network meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 66, 812-816.	5.0	15
53	Association Between the Use of Surrogate Measures in Pivotal Trials and Health Technology Assessment Decisions: A Retrospective Analysis of NICE and CADTH Reviews of Cancer Drugs. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 319-327.	0.3	15
54	Recent Trends and Potential Drivers of Non-invasive Cardiovascular Imaging Use in the United States of America and England. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2020, 7, 617771.	2.4	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The Economics of Health Care Delivery. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, 2012, 55, 482-488.	1.8	14
56	Real-world Use of and Spending on New Oral Targeted Cancer Drugs in the US, 2011-2018. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1596-1604.	5.1	14
57	Rethinking the appraisal and approval of drugs for type 2 diabetes:. BMJ, The, 2015, 351, h5260.	6.0	13
58	Productivity losses from road traffic deaths in Turkey. International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion, 2008, 15, 19-24.	2.0	12
59	Combining Multiple Treatment Comparisons with Personalized Patient Preferences: A Randomized Trial of an Interactive Platform for Statin Treatment Selection. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 264-277.	2.4	11
60	Using Indirect Evidence to Determine the Comparative Effectiveness of Prescription Drugs: Do Benefits Outweigh Risks?. Health Outcomes Research in Medicine, 2011, 2, e241-e249.	0.6	10
61	Bicuspid aortic valve disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of surgical aortic valve repair. Open Heart, 2016, 3, e000502.	2.3	10
62	The US Food and Drug Administration's expedited approval programs: Addressing premarket flexibility with enhanced postmarket evidence generation. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 243-246.	1.6	10
63	Improving health care services in Northern Cyprus: a call for research and action. European Journal of Public Health, 2012, 22, 754-755.	0.3	9
64	Historical clinical and economic consequences of anemia management in patients with end-stage renal disease on dialysis using erythropoietin stimulating agents versus routine blood transfusions: a retrospective cost-effectiveness analysis. Journal of Medical Economics, 2012, 15, 293-304.	2.1	9
65	The impact of post-procedural complications on reimbursement, length of stay and mechanical ventilation among patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation in Germany. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 223-228.	2.8	9
66	Comparative fertility and pregnancy outcomes after local treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and stage 1a1 cervical cancer: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis from the CIRCLE group. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028009.	1.9	9
67	European Medicines Agency's Priority Medicines Scheme at 2ÂYears: An Evaluation of Clinical Studies Supporting Eligible Drugs. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2020, 107, 541-552.	4.7	9
68	Personalizing Second-Line Type 2 Diabetes Treatment Selection: Combining Network Meta-analysis, Individualized Risk, and Patient Preferences for Unified Decision Support. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 239-252.	2.4	8
69	Assessment of Devices, Diagnostics and Digital Technologies: A Review of NICE Medical Technologies Guidance. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2019, 17, 189-211.	2.1	8
70	Relative Efficacy of Spironolactone, Eplerenone, and cAnRenone in patients with Chronic Heart failure (RESEARCH): a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Heart Failure Reviews, 2020, 25, 161-171.	3.9	8
71	New agreement on branded drugs for the NHS. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 364, l266.	2.3	7
72	Specialty Drugs â€" A Distinctly American Phenomenon. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020, 382, 2179-2181.	27.0	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	NICE's evaluations of medicines authorized by EMA with conditional marketing authorization or under exceptional circumstances. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2020, 36, 426-433.	0.5	7
74	No evidence of industry sponsorship bias in statin trials. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g6579-g6579.	6.0	6
75	Timely publication and sharing of trial data: opportunities and challenges for comparative effectiveness research in cardiovascular disease. European Heart Journal Quality of Care & Camp; Clinical Outcomes, 2015, 1, 58-65.	4.0	6
76	What to do (or not to do) when randomization is not possible. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2017, 36, 1174-1177.	0.6	6
77	Accelerated access to new drugs and technologies. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 359, j5387.	2.3	6
78	Inappropriate use of progression-free survival in cancer drug approvals. BMJ, The, 2020, 368, m770.	6.0	6
79	Ethical implications of poor comparative effectiveness evidence: obligations in industry-research partnerships. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 926-928.	13.7	6
80	Effectiveness of interventions for dementia in low- and middle-income countries: protocol for a systematic review, pairwise and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027851.	1.9	5
81	Impact of predictive medicine on therapeutic decision making: a randomized controlled trial in congenital heart disease. Npj Digital Medicine, 2019, 2, 17.	10.9	5
82	Study design, result posting, and publication of late-stage cardiovascular trials. European Heart Journal Quality of Care & Care	4.0	5
83	NITRATE-CIN Study: Protocol of a Randomized (1:1) Single-Center, UK, Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial Testing the Effect of Inorganic Nitrate on Contrast-Induced Nephropathy in Patients Undergoing Coronary Angiography for Acute Coronary Syndromes. Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2021, 26, 303-309.	2.0	5
84	Communication of Survival Data in US Food and Drug Administration–Approved Labeling of Cancer Drugs. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1521.	5.1	5
85	Communication of Treatment Rankings Obtained From Network Meta-Analysis Using Data Visualization. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2016, 9, 605-608.	2.2	4
86	Cyprus Women's Health Research (COHERE) initiative: determining the relative burden of women's health conditions and related co-morbidities in an Eastern Mediterranean population. BMC Women's Health, 2019, 19, 50.	2.0	4
87	Preventing cardiovascular events with empagliflozin: at what cost?. Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology,the, 2015, 3, 931.	11.4	3
88	Comparative efficacy and complication rates after local treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and stage 1a1 cervical cancer: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis from the CIRCLE Group. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028008.	1.9	3
89	Assessment of technical errors and validation processes in economic models submitted by the company for NICE technology appraisals. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2020, 36, 311-316.	0.5	3
90	The Cyprus Women's Health Research (COHERE) initiative: normative data from the SF-36v2 questionnaire for reproductive aged women from the Eastern Mediterranean. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 2011-2022.	3.1	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Coverage of New Drugs in Medicare Part D. Milbank Quarterly, 2022, 100, 562-588.	4.4	3
92	A Cost-Utility Analysis of Cryoballoon Ablation versus Radiofrequency Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. Journal of Atrial Fibrillation, 2018, 11, 2069.	0.5	2
93	Postâ€Marketing Requirements for Cancer Drugs Approved by the European Medicines Agency, 2004–2014. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2022, 112, 846-852.	4.7	2
94	Avoidable costs of stenting for aortic coarctation in the United Kingdom: an economic model. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 258.	2.2	1
95	Infographic. How does exercise treatment compare with antihypertensive medications?. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2020, 54, 746-747.	6.7	1
96	Ultraexpensive gene therapies, industry interests and the right to health: the case of onasemnogene abeparvovec in Brazil. BMJ Global Health, 2022, 7, e008637.	4.7	1
97	Use of adherence monitoring in drug contracts tied to outcomes: put patients first. BMJ, The, 2022, 376, e062188.	6.0	1
98	Regulators Should Better Leverage Effectiveness Standards to Enhance Drug Value. Pharmacotherapy, 2014, 34, 1005-1011.	2.6	0
99	Capsule Commentary on Ott et al., Do Statins Impair Cognition? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015, 30, 347-347.	2.6	0
100	Evidence Required for Drugs Granted Accelerated Approvalâ€"Reply. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 2493.	7.4	0
101	Can we rely on non-randomised studies? Findings from a meta-epidemiological review. European Journal of Public Health, 2019, 29, .	0.3	0
102	The Supercar Stays in the Garage: Factors Preventing Indirect Comparisons of Novel Medicines Targeting the Same Condition. Journal of Managed Care & Decialty Pharmacy, 2020, 26, 333-334.	0.9	0
103	The Coverage in England of US-Approved Cancer Drugsâ€"Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1263.	5.1	0
104	Putting patients first in medicines regulation?. BMJ, The, 2021, 375, n2883.	6.0	0
105	Study design, result reporting and publication of late-stage cardiovascular trials. European Heart Journal, 2020, 41, .	2.2	0