

# Mark Sheehan

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5837517/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

76  
papers

1,378  
citations

331670

21  
h-index

395702

33  
g-index

79  
all docs

79  
docs citations

79  
times ranked

1879  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Can Broad Consent be Informed Consent?. Public Health Ethics, 2011, 4, 226-235.	1.0	125
2	Toward Methodological Innovation in Empirical Ethics Research. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2012, 21, 466-480.	0.8	76
3	Research led by participants: a new social contract for a new kind of research. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2016, 42, 216-219.	1.8	67
4	Placebo-controlled study in neuromyelitis optica—Ethical and design considerations. Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 2016, 22, 862-872.	3.0	63
5	Standards of practice in empirical bioethics research: towards a consensus. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 68.	2.4	62
6	Exploring the ethics of global health research priority-setting. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 94.	2.4	61
7	Protection by exclusion? The (lack of) inclusion of adults who lack capacity to consent to research in clinical trials in the UK. Trials, 2019, 20, 474.	1.6	54
8	Placebo use in vaccine trials: Recommendations of a WHO expert panel. Vaccine, 2014, 32, 4708-4712.	3.8	45
9	“Your country needs you”: the ethics of allocating staff to high-risk clinical roles in the management of patients with COVID-19. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 436-440.	1.8	43
10	Ethics review of big data research: What should stay and what should be reformed?. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 51.	2.4	39
11	Understanding and using patient experiences as evidence in healthcare priority setting. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2019, 17, 20.	1.5	37
12	Broad consent is informed consent. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 343, d6900-d6900.	2.3	34
13	National Standards for Public Involvement in Research: missing the forest for the trees. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2018, 44, 801-804.	1.8	31
14	Developing a new justification for assent. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 2.	2.4	30
15	Patient and public involvement: Two sides of the same coin or different coins altogether?. Bioethics, 2019, 33, 708-715.	1.4	29
16	Experimental Philosophical Bioethics. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2020, 11, 30-33.	1.6	29
17	Assent is not consent. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2012, 38, 3-3.	1.8	28
18	Should the Decisions of Institutional Review Boards Be Consistent?. Ethics & Human Research, 2019, 41, 2-14.	0.9	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	“It’s a tough decision”: a qualitative study of proxy decision-making for research involving adults who lack capacity to consent in UK. <i>Age and Ageing</i> , 2019, 48, 903-909.	1.6	28
20	Expertise, Ethics Expertise, and Clinical Ethics Consultation: Achieving Terminological Clarity. <i>Journal of Medicine and Philosophy</i> , 2016, 41, 416-433.	0.8	27
21	Variation in university research ethics review: Reflections following an inter-university study in England. <i>Research Ethics</i> , 2016, 12, 217-233.	1.7	24
22	Trust, trustworthiness and sharing patient data for research. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, e26-e26.	1.8	24
23	Measuring the impact of participatory research in psychiatry: How the search for epistemic justifications obscures ethical considerations. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2021, 24, 54-61.	2.6	24
24	Resources and the Rule of Rescue. <i>Journal of Applied Philosophy</i> , 2007, 24, 352-366.	1.0	22
25	Healthcare professionals’ understanding of the legislation governing research involving adults lacking mental capacity in England and Wales: a national survey. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 632-637.	1.8	21
26	Position statement on ethics, equipoise and research on charged particle radiation therapy. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2014, 40, 572-575.	1.8	20
27	Public involvement in the governance of population-level biomedical research: unresolved questions and future directions. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, 522-525.	1.8	20
28	Research involving adults lacking capacity to consent: a content analysis of participant information sheets for consultees and legal representatives in England and Wales. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 233.	1.6	19
29	Ethical understandings of proxy decision making for research involving adults lacking capacity: A systematic review (framework synthesis) of empirical research. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , 2018, 9, 267-286.	1.6	18
30	Tragic choices in intensive care during the COVID-19 pandemic: on fairness, consistency and community. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 646-651.	1.8	18
31	Authority and the Future of Consent in Population-Level Biomedical Research. <i>Public Health Ethics</i> , 2019, 12, 225-236.	1.0	17
32	On the Nature and Sociology of Bioethics. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2013, 21, 54-69.	2.2	15
33	In defence of governance: ethics review and social research. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 710-716.	1.8	15
34	The role of emotion in ethics and bioethics: dealing with repugnance and disgust. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2016, 42, 1-2.	1.8	13
35	Development of a decision support intervention for family members of adults who lack capacity to consent to trials. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2021, 21, 30.	3.0	13
36	Ethical Issues in Consent for the Reuse of Data in Health Data Platforms. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2021, 27, 9.	2.9	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Duty to Disclose Adverse Clinical Trial Results. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2009, 9, 24-32.	0.9	12
38	Structural Transformation to Attain Responsible BIOSciences (STARBIOS2): Protocol for a Horizon 2020 Funded European Multicenter Project to Promote Responsible Research and Innovation. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2019, 8, e11745.	1.0	11
39	Constructing authentic decisions: proxy decision making for research involving adults who lack capacity to consent. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, e42-e42.	1.8	11
40	Making Sense of the Immorality of Unnaturalness. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2009, 18, 177-188.	0.8	10
41	Should research ethics committees meet in public?. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2008, 34, 631-635.	1.8	8
42	â€˜Thereâ€™s more to life than money and healthâ€™: Family caregiversâ€™ views on the role of Power of Attorney in proxy decisions about research participation for people living with dementia. <i>Dementia</i> , 2021, 20, 308-325.	2.0	8
43	Orphan drugs and the NHS. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 331, 1144.4-1145.	2.3	8
44	The right to know and genetic testing. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2015, 41, 287-288.	1.8	7
45	Reining in patient and individual choice. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2014, 40, 291-292.	1.8	6
46	It's unethical for general practitioners to be commissioners. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2011, 342, d1430-d1430.	6.0	6
47	Refining the Enrolment Process in Emergency Medicine Research. <i>The European Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine</i> , 2016, 4, 506-510.	1.0	6
48	Metaethics, Relativism and Empirical Bioethics. , 2016, , 33-50.		5
49	Allocating Health Care Resources in the UK. , 2012, , 219-230.		5
50	Is the Community Consultation Requirement Necessary?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2006, 6, 38-40.	0.9	4
51	Ethics and policy: dealing with public attitudes. <i>Radiation Protection Dosimetry</i> , 2008, 129, 295-298.	0.8	4
52	No Sex Please, We're Social Scientists?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2010, 10, 39-41.	0.9	4
53	Do we need research ethics committees?. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2013, 39, 485-485.	1.8	4
54	ethical review and ethical behaviour. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 330, 473.1.	2.3	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Commissioning ethically and legally: the more things change, the more they stay the same. British Journal of General Practice, 2013, 63, 496-497.	1.4	3
56	When should patients be held responsible for their lifestyle choices?. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 332, 279.1.	2.3	2
57	Is gene therapy for the treatment of male infertility ethical?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2008, 5, 596-597.	1.4	2
58	Minimal Risk Remains an Open Question. American Journal of Bioethics, 2011, 11, 25-27.	0.9	2
59	Emergency medicine research: rites, rituals and consent. Emergency Medicine Journal, 2014, 31, 90-91.	1.0	2
60	Just Wars and doctors' strikes. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2016, 42, 693-694.	1.8	2
61	The ethics of grandfather clauses in healthcare resource allocation. Bioethics, 2021, 35, 151-160.	1.4	2
62	Randomization Should Be Disclosed to Potential Research Subjects. American Journal of Bioethics, 2013, 13, 35-37.	0.9	1
63	New European Union regulation of clinical trials is not conflicting on deferred consent in emergency situations. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f1163-f1163.	6.0	1
64	Ethical Review of Research on Human Subjects at Unilever: Reflections on Governance. Bioethics, 2014, 28, 284-292.	1.4	1
65	Caring About the Social Determinants of Health. American Journal of Bioethics, 2015, 15, 48-50.	0.9	1
66	Gene editing of human embryos and designing descendants. Maturitas, 2016, 94, 20-21.	2.4	1
67	The new Health Research Authority framework: still work to do to facilitate high-quality public health research in English local authorities. Perspectives in Public Health, 2017, 137, 262-265.	1.6	1
68	Reasonable disagreement and the justification of pre-emptive ethics governance in social research: a response to Hammersley. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2018, 44, 719-720.	1.8	1
69	âœA degree of latitudeâœA: Thinking historically and making holistic judgements about internally assessed NCEA course work. Set Research Information for Teachers, 2014, , 18-23.	0.2	1
70	Moral Relativism. , 0, , 93-98.		1
71	Ethical aspects of saviour siblings: procreative reasons and the treatment of children. , 0, , 59-70.		0
72	Disclosing Clinical Trial Results: Publicity, Significance and Independence. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, W3-W5.	0.9	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Delia Smith and the ethics committee. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2011, 343, d6511-d6511.	2.3	0
74	Religious red herrings. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2013, 39, 585-586.	1.8	0
75	The Family Context of Assent: Comparison of Child and Parent Perspectives on Familial Decision-Making. <i>Children and Society</i> , 2018, 32, 266-278.	1.7	0
76	¿De quién es el conocimiento? El papel del conocimiento en un currículum de gran autonomía. <i>Arbor</i> , 2018, 194, 442.	0.3	0