Richard van Hillegersberg

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

290	9,358	41344	64796
papers	citations	49 h-index	79 g-index

295	295	295	7572
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: updated clinical guidelines with an emphasis on germlineCDH1mutation carriers. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2015, 52, 361-374.	3.2	479
2	Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracolaparoscopic Esophagectomy Versus Open Transthoracic Esophagectomy for Resectable Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 621-630.	4.2	436
3	Detection of residual disease after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer (preSANO): a prospective multicentre, diagnostic cohort study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, 965-974.	10.7	211
4	First experience with robot-assisted thoracoscopic esophagolymphadenectomy for esophageal cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2006, 20, 1435-1439.	2.4	208
5	A Propensity Score Matched Analysis of Open Versus Minimally Invasive Transthoracic Esophagectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 839-846.	4.2	182
6	Textbook outcome as a composite measure in oesophagogastric cancer surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 742-750.	0.3	174
7	Laparoscopic total gastrectomy versus open total gastrectomy for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 1509-1520.	2.4	159
8	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT trial). Trials, 2012, 13, 230.	1.6	152
9	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Combined with Atezolizumab for Resectable Esophageal Adenocarcinoma: A Single-arm Phase II Feasibility Trial (PERFECT). Clinical Cancer Research, 2021, 27, 3351-3359.	7.0	143
10	Robot-assisted thoracoscopic oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2009, 96, 878-886.	0.3	142
11	Surveillance of Barrett's Esophagus and Mortality from Esophageal Adenocarcinoma: A Population-Based Cohort Study. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2014, 109, 1215-1222.	0.4	135
12	Oncologic Long-Term Results of Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoraco-Laparoscopic Esophagectomy with Two-Field Lymphadenectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1350-1356.	1.5	123
13	Early outcomes from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 103, 1855-1863.	0.3	121
14	Routes for early enteral nutrition after esophagectomy. A systematic review. Clinical Nutrition, 2015, 34, 1-6.	5.0	118
15	CRITICS-II: a multicentre randomised phase II trial of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery versus neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and subsequent chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery versus neo-adjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery in resectable gastric cancer. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 877.	2.6	115
16	Intrathoracic versus cervical anastomosis and predictors of anastomotic leakage after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 552-560.	0.3	111
17	Learning Curve for Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy: Results From 312 Cases. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 264-271.	1.3	109
18	Worldwide Esophageal Cancer Collaboration: clinical staging data. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 707-714.	0.4	108

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 115, 163-170.	0.6	107
20	Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer (LOGICA): A Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 978-989.	1.6	107
21	Robot-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery: A Four-Year Single-Center Experience. Digestive Surgery, 2005, 22, 313-320.	1.2	103
22	Prognostic Value of Lymph Node Yield on Overall Survival in Esophageal Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 261-268.	4.2	98
23	Surgical treatment of esophageal cancer in the era of multimodality management. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 192-209.	3.8	97
24	Randomized clinical trial of open versus laparoscopic left lateral hepatic sectionectomy within an enhanced recovery after surgery programme (ORANGE II study). British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 525-535.	0.3	96
25	Treatment for unresectable or metastatic oesophageal cancer: current evidence and trends. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2018, 15, 235-249.	17.8	95
26	Laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer, a multicenter prospectively randomized controlled trial (LOGICA-trial). BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 556.	2.6	92
27	Vital Signs Monitoring with Wearable Sensors in High-risk Surgical Patients. Anesthesiology, 2020, 132, 424-439.	2.5	91
28	Hospital costs of complications after esophagectomy for cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 696-702.	1.0	89
29	Immediate Postoperative Oral Nutrition Following Esophagectomy: A Multicenter Clinical Trial. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 1141-1148.	1.3	81
30	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) compared to conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for esophageal cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	79
31	Diagnostic Performance of ¹⁸F-FDG PET and PET/CT for the Detection of Recurrent Esophageal Cancer After Treatment with Curative Intent: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2015, 56, 995-1002.	5.0	75
32	Prognosis and Treatment After Diagnosis of Recurrent Esophageal Carcinoma Following Esophagectomy with Curative Intent. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1292-1300.	1.5	73
33	Multicentre randomized clinical trial of inspiratory muscle training versus usual care before surgery for oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 502-511.	0.3	71
34	A National Cohort Study Evaluating the Association Between Short-term Outcomes and Long-term Survival After Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 270, 868-876.	4.2	71
35	Accuracy of Detecting Residual Disease After Cross Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal Cancer (preSANO Trial): Rationale and Protocol. JMIR Research Protocols, 2015, 4, e79.	1.0	69
36	Worldwide Esophageal Cancer Collaboration: pathologic staging data. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 724-733.	0.4	68

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Nutrition in peri-operative esophageal cancer management. Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2017, 11, 663-672.	3.0	67
38	Worldwide Esophageal Cancer Collaboration: neoadjuvant pathologic staging data. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 715-723.	0.4	66
39	Impact of postoperative complications on outcomes after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 106, 111-119.	0.3	66
40	Surgical Techniques to Prevent Delayed Gastric Emptying After Esophagectomy With Gastric Interposition: A Systematic Review. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2014, 98, 1512-1519.	1.3	65
41	Endoscopic biopsy and EUS for the detection of pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2016, 83, 866-879.	1.0	64
42	Distribution of lymph node metastases in esophageal carcinoma [TIGER study]: study protocol of a multinational observational study. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 662.	2.6	62
43	Imaging strategies in the management of gastric cancer: current role and future potential of MRI. British Journal of Radiology, 2019, 92, 20181044.	2.2	61
44	Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery versus surgery alone in high-risk patients with resectable colorectal liver metastases: the CHARISMA randomized multicenter clinical trial. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 180.	2.6	57
45	Imaging of oesophageal cancer with FDG-PET/CT and MRI. Clinical Radiology, 2015, 70, 81-95.	1.1	57
46	Aortic Calcification Increases the Risk of Anastomotic Leakage After Ivor-Lewis Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 247-252.	1.3	55
47	Postoperative Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy Versus Open Gastrectomy During the Early Introduction of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 831-838.	4.2	55
48	Robotic-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a European perspective. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 909-919.	5.3	55
49	Preoperative image-guided identification of response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer (PRIDE): a multicenter observational study. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 1006.	2.6	54
50	Failure-to-rescue in patients undergoing surgery for esophageal or gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1962-1969.	1.0	53
51	Worldwide practice in gastric cancer surgery. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 22, 4041.	3.3	52
52	Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for treatment response assessment in patients with oesophageal cancer receiving neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2016, 120, 128-135.	0.6	52
53	Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: incidence, management, and impact on short- and long-term outcomes. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S868-S878.	1.4	52
54	Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy with Intrathoracic Anastomosis (Ivor Lewis): Promising Results in 100 Consecutive Patients (the European Experience). Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2021, 25, 1-8.	1.7	48

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Systematic review of the surgical strategies of adenocarcinomas of the gastroesophageal junction. Surgical Oncology, 2014, 23, 222-228.	1.6	47
56	Internal and External Validation of a multivariable Model to Define Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia After Esophagectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 680-687.	1.7	47
57	Robotic-assisted Esophagectomy vs Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy (REVATE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 346.	1.6	47
58	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus minimally invasive esophagectomy for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT-2) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf	2.8	47
59	Preoperative Prediction of Pathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Patients With Esophageal Cancer Using 18F-FDG PET/CT and DW-MRI: A Prospective MulticenterÂStudy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2020, 106, 998-1009.	0.8	46
60	Factors influencing health-related quality of life after gastrectomy for cancer. Gastric Cancer, 2018, 21, 524-532.	5.3	45
61	Ischemic Conditioning of the Stomach in the Prevention of Esophagogastric Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 101, 1614-1623.	1.3	43
62	Surgical resection versus systemic therapy for breast cancer liver metastases: Results of a European case matched comparison. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 95, 1-10.	2.8	43
63	DW-MRI and DCE-MRI are of complementary value in predicting pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2018, 57, 1201-1208.	1.8	43
64	Hiatal Hernia After Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 103, 1055-1062.	1.3	41
65	Definition of oligometastatic esophagogastric cancer and impact of local oligometastasis-directed treatment: AÂsystematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 166, 254-269.	2.8	40
66	Resection of liver metastases in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors in the imatinib era: A nationwide retrospective study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1407-1413.	1.0	39
67	Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy Versus Perioperative Chemotherapy for Patients With Resectable Esophageal or Gastroesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2282-2290.	1.5	39
68	Radiological heterogeneity in response to chemotherapy is associated with poor survival in patients with colorectal liver metastases. European Journal of Cancer, 2013, 49, 2486-2493.	2.8	38
69	Worldwide Techniques and Outcomes in Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, e386-e392.	4.2	38
70	The feeding route after esophagectomy: a review of literature. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S785-S791.	1.4	37
71	The CARDIA-trial protocol: a multinational, prospective, randomized, clinical trial comparing transthoracic esophagectomy with transhiatal extended gastrectomy in adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) type II. BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 781.	2.6	37
72	Routine jejunostomy tube feeding following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S851-S860.	1.4	36

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Prognostic gene expression profiling in esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 5566-5577.	1.8	36
74	Lasting Symptoms After Esophageal Resection (LASER). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e392-e400.	4.2	36
75	Staging of adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 400-406.	1.0	35
76	Association Between Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment and Survival in Patients with Curable Gastric Cancer: A Population-Based Study in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1761-1769.	1.5	35
77	Are current wireless monitoring systems capable of detecting adverse events in high-risk surgical patients? A descriptive study. Injury, 2020, 51, S97-S105.	1.7	35
78	Topography and extent of pulmonary vagus nerve supply with respect to transthoracic oesophagectomy. Journal of Anatomy, 2015, 227, 431-439.	1.5	34
79	The diagnostic performance of 18 F-FDG PET/CT, CT and MRI in the treatment evaluation of ablation therapy for colorectal liver metastases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 37-45.	1.6	34
80	The periâ€esophageal connective tissue layers and related compartments: visualization by histology and magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of Anatomy, 2017, 230, 262-271.	1.5	34
81	Safety and efficacy of early oral feeding for enhanced recovery following gastrectomy for gastric cancer: A systematic review. Surgical Oncology, 2019, 28, 88-95.	1.6	33
82	Outcomes of Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery During Eight Years of Surgical Auditing by the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit (DUCA). Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 866-873.	4.2	33
83	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy for esophageal cancer in the upper mediastinum. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S834-S842.	1.4	32
84	The Oncological Value of Omentectomy in Gastrectomy for Cancer. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 885-890.	1.7	31
85	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive gastrectomy during the early introduction in the Netherlands: short-term oncological outcomes comparable to open gastrectomy. Gastric Cancer, 2017, 20, 853-860.	5.3	31
86	Minimally invasive esophagectomy: a propensity score-matched analysis of semiprone versus prone position. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2758-2765.	2.4	31
87	Detection of distant interval metastases after neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer with 18F-FDG PET(/CT): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	31
88	Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. Digestive Surgery, 2020, 37, 93-100.	1.2	31
89	Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and individual patient data comparing minimally invasive with open oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 1026-1033.	0.3	31
90	¹⁸F-Fludeoxyglucoseâ€“Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography and Laparoscopy for Staging of Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer. JAMA Surgery, 2021, 156, e215340.	4.3	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Prediction of positive resection margins in patients with non-palpable breast cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 41, 106-112.	1.0	30
92	Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment has no Impact on Survival in Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 2679-2689.	1.5	30
93	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) improves perioperative outcomes: a review. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S735-S742.	1.4	30
94	Randomized Phase III Study to Assess Efficacy and Safety of Adjuvant CAPOX with or without Bevacizumab in Patients after Resection of Colorectal Liver Metastases: HEPATICA study. Neoplasia, 2017, 19, 93-99.	5.3	29
95	Management of resectable esophageal and gastric (mixed adeno)neuroendocrine carcinoma: A nationwide cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1955-1962.	1.0	29
96	Prophylactic Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) for Gastric Cancerâ€"A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 1685.	2.4	29
97	Outcomes after totally minimally invasive versus hybrid and open Ivor Lewis oesophagectomy: results from the International Esodata Study Group. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 283-290.	0.3	29
98	Intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage and pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, 1-10.	0.4	28
99	Laparoscopic gastrectomy in Western European patients with advanced gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 110-115.	1.0	28
100	Predictive Profile-Nomogram for Liver Resection for Breast Cancer Metastases: An Aggressive Approach with Promising Results. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 535-545.	1.5	28
101	Evaluation of PET and laparoscopy in STagIng advanced gastric cancer: a multicenter prospective study (PLASTIC-study). BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 450.	2.6	28
102	Robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: past, present and future. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 54-62.	1.4	28
103	The Predictive Value of Low Muscle Mass as Measured on CT Scans for Postoperative Complications and Mortality in Gastric Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 199.	2.4	28
104	Patient-Related Prognostic Factors for Anastomotic Leakage, Major Complications, and Short-Term Mortality Following Esophagectomy for Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1358-1373.	1.5	28
105	Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Hiatal Hernia Repair: Promising Anatomical and Functional Results. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 465-469.	1.0	27
106	Management and outcome of cervical versus intrathoracic manifestation of cervical anastomotic leakage after transthoracic esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	27
107	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Chirurg, 2017, 88, 7-11.	1.8	27
108	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy versus open esophagectomy: long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	27

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Definitions and treatment of oligometastatic oesophagogastric cancer according to multidisciplinary tumour boards in Europe. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 164, 18-29.	2.8	27
110	Optimal timing for prediction of pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy with diffusion-weighted MRI in patients with esophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 1896-1907.	4.5	26
111	Repeat Hepatectomy for Breast Cancer Liver Metastases. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1057-1066.	1.5	25
112	Robotic liver resection including the posterosuperior segments: initial experience. Journal of Surgical Research, 2016, 206, 133-138.	1.6	25
113	Surgical anatomy of the supracarinal esophagus based on a minimally invasive approach: vascular and nervous anatomy and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 1863-1870.	2.4	25
114	Identification of the clinically most relevant postoperative complications after gastrectomy: a population-based cohort study. Gastric Cancer, 2020, 23, 339-348.	5.3	25
115	Radiofrequency ablation of small breast tumours: Evaluation of a novel bipolar cool-tip application. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, 1222-1229.	1.0	24
116	Preserving the pulmonary vagus nerve branches during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3816-3822.	2.4	24
117	The predictive value of new-onset atrial fibrillation on postoperative morbidity after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	24
118	A structured training pathway to implement robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: the learning curve results from a high-volume center. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	24
119	Generalized cardiovascular disease on a preoperative CT scan is predictive for anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 587-593.	1.0	23
120	Introduction of minimally invasive surgery for distal and total gastrectomy: a population-based study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 403-409.	1.0	23
121	Effect of preoperative inspiratory muscle training on physical functioning following esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	23
122	Pulmonary diffusion capacity predicts major complications after esophagectomy for patients with esophageal cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	23
123	Long-term quality of life after oesophagectomy with gastric conduit interposition for cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 1538-1545.	2.8	22
124	Preoperative Nomogram to Risk Stratify Patients for the Benefit of Trimodality Therapy in Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 1598-1607.	1.5	22
125	Extended thoracic lymph node dissection in robotic-assisted minimal invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) for patients with superior mediastinal lymph node metastasis. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2019, 8, 218-225.	1.7	22
126	Wireless Remote Home Monitoring of Vital Signs in Patients Discharged Early After Esophagectomy: Observational Feasibility Study. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2020, 3, e21705.	1.0	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Overall Volume Trends in Esophageal Cancer Surgery Results From the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 449-458.	4.2	21
128	Biodegradable stent placement before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy as a bridge to surgery in patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2014, 80, 908-913.	1.0	20
129	Prophylactic Laparoscopic Total Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction in Patients Carrying a CDH1 Germline Mutation. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2015, 19, 2120-2125.	1.7	20
130	Robotic Single-Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Is Safe but Faces Technical Challenges. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 857-861.	1.0	20
131	A High Lymph Node Yield is Associated with Prolonged Survival in Elderly Patients Undergoing Curative Gastrectomy for Cancer: A Dutch Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2213-2223.	1.5	20
132	Diagnostic performance of a CT-based scoring system for diagnosis of anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy: comparison with subjective CT assessment. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 4426-4434.	4.5	20
133	Prediction and diagnosis of interval metastasis after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer using 18F-FDG PET/CT. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2018, 45, 1742-1751.	6.4	20
134	A phase II feasibility trial of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy combined with atezolizumab for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma: The PERFECT trial.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 4045-4045.	1.6	20
135	New insights into the surgical anatomy of the esophagus. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S675-S680.	1.4	20
136	Current status of laparoscopic transhiatal esophagectomy for esophageal cancer patients: a systematic review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	19
137	Nutritional aspects of enhanced recovery after esophagectomy with gastric conduit reconstruction. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 623-629.	1.7	19
138	Two-Field Lymphadenectomy During Esophagectomy: The Presence of Thoracic Duct Lymph Nodes. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 435-439.	1.3	19
139	The additive value of restaging-CT during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1247-1253.	1.0	19
140	Outcome of a Step-Up Treatment Strategy for Chyle Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 104, 477-484.	1.3	18
141	Physical ExeRcise Following Esophageal Cancer Treatment (PERFECT) study: design of a randomized controlled trial. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 552.	2.6	18
142	Long-term survival and cure model following liver resection for breast cancer metastases. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 170, 89-100.	2.5	18
143	Defining pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer: validation of the Uniform Pneumonia Score in a high volume center in North America. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	18
144	Postoperative Complications and Long-Term Quality of Life After Multimodality Treatment for Esophageal Cancer: An Analysis of the Prospective Observational Cohort Study of Esophageal-Gastric Cancer Patients (POCOP). Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7259-7276.	1.5	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	Mortality from esophagectomy for esophageal cancer across low, middle, and high-income countries: An international cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1481-1488.	1.0	18
146	Multipolar radiofrequency ablation for colorectal liver metastases close to major hepatic vessels. Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, 2015, 13, 77-82.	1.8	17
147	Correlation between functional imaging markers derived from diffusion-weighted MRI and 18F-FDG PET/CT in esophageal cancer. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2018, 39, 60-67.	1.1	17
148	New-onset atrial fibrillation after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S831-S834.	1.4	17
149	Surgical and Oncologic Outcomes After Major Liver Surgery and Extended Hemihepatectomy for Colorectal Liver Metastases. Clinical Colorectal Cancer, 2016, 15, e193-e198.	2.3	16
150	Technical details of the hand-sewn and circular-stapled anastomosis in robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	16
151	Stageâ€directed individualized therapy in esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2016, 1381, 50-65.	3.8	15
152	The anatomy of the thoracic duct at the level of the diaphragm: A cadaver study. Annals of Anatomy, 2018, 217, 47-53.	1.9	15
153	Liver Resection for Hepatic Metastases from Soft Tissue Sarcoma: A Nationwide Study. Digestive Surgery, 2019, 36, 479-486.	1.2	15
154	Tumor volume regression during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: a prospective study with weekly MRI. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2020, 59, 753-759.	1.8	15
155	Paravertebral catheter versus EPidural analgesia in Minimally invasive Esophageal resectioN: a randomized controlled multicenter trial (PEPMEN trial). BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 142.	2.6	15
156	Perioperative Treatment, Not Surgical Approach, Influences Overall Survival in Patients with Gastroesophageal Junction Tumors: A Nationwide, Population-Based Study in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1632-1638.	1.5	14
157	The Circular Stapled Esophagogastric Anastomosis in Esophagectomy: No Differences in Anastomotic Insufficiency and Stricture Rates Between the 25Âmm and 28Âmm Circular Stapler. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2021, 25, 2242-2249.	1.7	14
158	Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2812-2825.	1.5	14
159	Radiofrequency ablation of the pancreas: Two-week follow-up in a porcine model. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, 1000-1007.	1.0	13
160	A Step-Wise Approach to Total Laparoscopic Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction: How and Why We Do It. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 1908-1915.	1.7	13
161	Weekday of gastrectomy for cancer in relation to mortality and oncological outcomes â€“ A Dutch population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1862-1868.	1.0	13
162	Robot assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) for esophageal cancer. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2018, 36-37, 81-83.	2.4	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Validation of a Nomogram Predicting Survival After Trimodality Therapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 1541-1547.	1.3	13
164	Surgical robotics for esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 21-26.	3.8	13
165	Epidural analgesia after minimally invasive esophagectomy: efficacy and complication profile. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
166	Reducing pulmonary complications after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S794-S798.	1.4	13
167	Resection of hepatic and pulmonary metastasis from metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer: a nationwide study. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
168	Technical details of the abdominal part during full robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	13
169	Individual risk calculator to predict lymph node metastases in patients with submucosal (T1b) esophageal adenocarcinoma: a multicenter cohort study. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 109-117.	1.8	13
170	Supervised exercise after oesophageal cancer surgery: the PERFECT multicentre randomized clinical trial. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 786-796.	0.3	12
171	Worldwide Practice in Gastric Cancer Surgery: A 6-Year Update. Digestive Surgery, 2021, 38, 266-274.	1.2	12
172	Robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) for esophageal cancer training curriculumâ€"a worldwide Delphi consensus study. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	12
173	Sentinel node biopsy during thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy for advanced esophageal cancer. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 14, 117.	1.9	11
174	Surgical anatomy of the omental bursa and the stomach based on a minimally invasive approach: different approaches and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S809-S816.	1.4	11
175	Radiation dose and pathological response in oesophageal cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery: a multi-institutional analysis. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2019, 58, 1358-1365.	1.8	11
176	Study protocol for a multicenter prospective cohort study on esophagogastric anastomoses and anastomotic leak (the Oesophago-Gastric Anastomosis Audit/OGAA). Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	11
177	Length of hospital stay after uncomplicated esophagectomy. Hospital variation shows room for nationwide improvement. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 35, 6344-6357.	2.4	11
178	3-Dimensional target coverage assessment for MRI guided esophageal cancer radiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 147, 1-7.	0.6	11
179	Radiofrequency ablation and chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for locally advanced pancreatic cancer (PELICAN): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 313.	1.6	11
180	Feasibility of sentinel node navigated surgery in high-risk T1b esophageal adenocarcinoma patients using a hybrid tracer of technetium-99Âm and indocyanine green. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 2671-2679.	2.4	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE) for T4b Esophageal Cancer After Definitive Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2730-2738.	1.5	11
182	Molecular characterization of Barrettâ€™s esophagus at single-cell resolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	11
183	Technical Feasibility of TachoSil Application on Esophageal Anastomoses. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2015, 2015, 1-6.	1.5	10
184	Impact of diagnosis-to-treatment waiting time on survival in esophageal cancer patients â€“ A population-based study in The Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 461-470.	1.0	10
185	The effect of perioperative chemotherapy for patients with an adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction: A propensity score matched analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 226-233.	1.0	10
186	Evaluation of the Implementation of FDG-PET/CT and Staging Laparoscopy for Gastric Cancer in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2384-2393.	1.5	10
187	Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Pearl: a nationwide clinical biobanking project in the Netherlands. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 435-441.	0.4	9
188	Intermittent pneumatic compression in combination with lowâ€molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in esophageal cancer surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 115, 181-185.	1.7	9
189	Hospital variation and the impact of postoperative complications on the use of perioperative chemo(radio)therapy in resectable gastric cancer. Results from the Dutch Upper GI Cancer Audit. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 532-538.	1.0	9
190	Timing of postoperative chemotherapy in patients undergoing perioperative chemotherapy and gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, 421-427.	1.6	9
191	The potential and challenges of patient-derived organoids in guiding the multimodality treatment of upper gastrointestinal malignancies. Open Biology, 2020, 10, 190274.	3.6	9
192	Postoperative intensive care unit stay after minimally invasive esophagectomy shows large hospital variation. Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1961-1968.	1.0	9
193	Recommendations for radioembolisation after liver surgery using yttrium-90 resin microspheres based on a survey of an international expert panel. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 4923-4930.	4.5	8
194	Patient perspectives on repeated MRI and PET/CT examinations during neoadjuvant treatment of oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20170710.	2.2	8
195	The impact of liver resection on the dihydrouracil:uracil plasma ratio in patients with colorectal liver metastases. European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2018, 74, 737-744.	1.9	8
196	Transcervical (SP) and Transhiatal DaVinci Robotic Esophagectomy: A Cadaveric Study. Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeon, 2021, 69, 198-203.	1.0	8
197	Technique of open and minimally invasive intrathoracic reconstruction following esophagectomyâ€”an expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	8
198	Expectations of Continuous Vital Signs Monitoring for Recognizing Complications After Esophagectomy: Interview Study Among Nurses and Surgeons. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2021, 4, e22387.	1.0	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	The presence of metastatic thoracic duct lymph nodes in Western esophageal cancer patients. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2021, , .	1.3	8
200	Postoperative complications and weight loss following jejunostomy tube feeding after total gastrectomy for advanced adenocarcinomas. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2017, 29, 333-340.	2.2	8
201	Low-Fat Tube Feeding After Esophagectomy Is Associated With a Lower Incidence of Chylothorax. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2019, 108, 184-189.	1.3	7
202	Failure to Cure in Patients Undergoing Surgery for Esophageal Carcinoma: Hospital of Surgery Influences Prospects for Cure. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, 744-750.	4.2	7
203	State of the art in esophagectomy: robotic assistance in the abdominal phase. Updates in Surgery, 2021, 73, 823-830.	2.0	7
204	Robot-assisted and conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy are associated with better postoperative results compared to hybrid and open transthoracic esophagectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 776-782.	1.0	7
205	Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4848-4857.	1.5	7
206	Body Composition Is a Predictor for Postoperative Complications After Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: a Prospective Side Study of the LOGICA Trial. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1373-1387.	1.7	7
207	Innovative techniques in evaluating the esophagus; imaging of esophageal morphology and function; and drugs for esophageal disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2013, 1300, 11-28.	3.8	6
208	The role of biological markers of epithelial to mesenchymal transition in oesophageal adenocarcinoma, an immunohistochemical study. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2015, 68, 529-535.	2.0	6
209	Chyluria and chylothorax after posterior selective fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. European Spine Journal, 2018, 27, 2088-2092.	2.2	6
210	Minimally Invasive Resection of Large Gastric Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. Digestive Surgery, 2020, 37, 441-446.	1.2	6
211	A standardized approach for the thoracic dissection in robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE). Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	6
212	Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy: AÂConsensus Statement. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 1417-1426.	1.3	6
213	Surgical anatomy of the upper esophagus related to robot-assisted cervical esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	6
214	Severe lymphopenia acquired during chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: Incidence and external validation of a prediction model. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 163, 192-198.	0.6	6
215	Cervical ultrasonography has no additional value over negative 18F-FDG PET/CT scans for diagnosing cervical lymph node metastases in patients with oesophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2018, 28, 2031-2037.	4.5	5
216	Robotic Transthoracic Esophagectomy in High-Volume Centers: Improving Outcome and Extending Indications. Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeon, 2018, 66, 360-361.	1.0	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	European validation of the Yonsei Gastric Cancer Prognosis Prediction Model after gastrectomy: Validation with the Netherlands Cancer Registry. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 983-988.	1.0	5
218	ypT0N+ status in oesophageal cancer patients: Location of residualÂmetastatic lymph nodes with regard to the neoadjuvant radiation field. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 454-459.	1.0	5
219	Do esophageal cancer survivors work after esophagectomy and do health problems impact their work? A cross-sectional study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2020, 14, 253-260.	2.9	5
220	Fit-for-Discharge Criteria after Esophagectomy: An International Expert Delphi Consensus. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 34, .	0.4	5
221	Robot-assisted cervical esophagectomy: first clinical experiences and review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	5
222	CTV-to-PTV margin assessment for esophageal cancer radiotherapy based on an accumulated dose analysis. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 161, 16-22.	0.6	5
223	The ISCON-trial protocol: laparoscopic ischemic conditioning prior to esophagectomy in patients with esophageal cancer and arterial calcifications. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 144.	2.6	5
224	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Stage I and II Esophageal Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 287-288.	1.6	4
225	18F-FDG PET as novel imaging biomarker for disease progression after ablation therapy in colorectal liver metastases. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2017, 44, 1165-1175.	6.4	4
226	Frequency of surgical resection after starting neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with esophageal cancer: AÂpopulation-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1919-1925.	1.0	4
227	Metastatic incidence of (PET)CT positive lung hilar and retroperitoneal lymph nodes in esophageal cancer patients. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 33, 170-176.	1.6	4
228	Minimally Invasive Oncologic Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery can be Performed Safely on all Weekdays: A Nationwide Cohort Study. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 2816-2829.	1.6	4
229	Patient-reported outcomes after oesophagectomy in the multicentre LASER study. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 1090-1096.	0.3	4
230	ENSURE: An international multicenter study exploring whether surveillance after esophageal cancer surgery impacts oncological and quality-of-life outcomes.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 4032-4032.	1.6	4
231	Failure to Cure in Patients Undergoing Surgery for Gastric Cancer: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 4484-4496.	1.5	4
232	Leaving a Mobilized Thoracic Esophagus In Situ When Incurable Cancer Is Discovered Intraoperatively. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2015, 99, 490-494.	1.3	3
233	In Reply: Centralization of Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Care Should Be Dictated by Quality of Care. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 621-622.	1.5	3
234	Role of adjuvant chemoradiotherapy after endoscopic treatment of early-stage esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Minerva Surgery, 2018, 73, 428-436.	0.6	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	A pilot study of a novel molecular host response assay to diagnose infection in patients after high-risk gastro-intestinal surgery. Journal of Critical Care, 2019, 54, 83-87.	2.2	3
236	Routine chest X-rays after the removal of chest tubes are not necessary following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S799-S804.	1.4	3
237	Restaging after chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced esophageal cancer. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S288-S288.	1.7	3
238	O161 LASTING SYMPTOMS AFTER ESOPHAGEAL RESECTION (LASER) â€“ EUROPEAN MULTI-CENTER CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	3
239	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive surgical interventions for esophageal and gastric cancer in the acute setting: a nationwide cohort study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1219-1229.	2.4	3
240	Decrease of physical fitness during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy predicts the risk of pneumonia after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	3
241	Prognosis of Interval Distant Metastases After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2022, 113, 482-490.	1.3	3
242	Lymphovascular invasion quantification could improve risk prediction of lymph node metastases in patients with submucosal (T1b) esophageal adenocarcinoma. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2021, 9, 1066-1073.	3.8	3
243	A population-based study on treatment and outcomes in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma diagnosed with distant interval metastases. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1964-1971.	1.0	3
244	Comment on: HÃ¶lscher AH, Bollschweiler E, Bogoevski D, Schmidt H, Semrau R, Izbicki JR. Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer â€“ A propensity score matched analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2014;50(17):2950â€“7. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2095-2096.	2.8	2
245	Single-Admission, Staged Restoration of Gastrointestinal Continuity After Right Gastroepiploic Artery Damage. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 109, e259-e261.	1.3	2
246	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE): tips and tricks from the bedside assistant viewâ€”expert experiences. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	2
247	Non-curative gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer does not result in additional risk of postoperative morbidity compared to curative gastrectomy. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 35, 126-131.	1.6	2
248	An in-silico assessment of the dosimetric benefits of MR-guided radiotherapy for esophageal cancer patients. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 162, 76-84.	0.6	2
249	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer: A randomized controlled trial.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 6-6.	1.6	2
250	ASO Author Reflections: Role of Local Treatment for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , 1.	1.5	2
251	The impact of performing gastric cancer surgery during holiday periods. A population-based study using Dutch upper gastrointestinal cancer audit (DUCA) data. Current Problems in Cancer, 2022, 46, 100850.	2.0	2
252	An Editorial on Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4676-4678.	1.5	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
253	The current status of sentinel lymph node staging in rectal cancer. Current Colorectal Cancer Reports, 2008, 4, 218-223.	0.5	1
254	Targeted next-generation sequencing of commonly mutated genes in esophageal adenocarcinoma patients with long-term survival. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2017, 30, 1-8.	0.4	1
255	FA04.06: RESECTION OF HEPATIC AND PULMONARY METASTASIS FROM ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC CANCER: A NATIONWIDE STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 9-9.	0.4	1
256	O100 WORLDWIDE TECHNIQUES AND OUTCOMES OF ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): RESULTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL UGIRA REGISTRY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	1
257	Hybrid minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: less is more. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S1935-S1937.	1.4	1
258	Refraining from resection in patients with potentially curable gastric carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1062-1068.	1.0	1
259	ASO Visual Abstract: Patient-Related Prognostic Factors for Anastomotic Leakage, Major Complications, and Short-Term Mortality Following Esophagectomy for Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 740-741.	1.5	1
260	Randomized clinical trial on the effect of a supervised exercise program on quality of life, fatigue, and fitness following esophageal cancer treatment (PERFECT study).. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 12055-12055.	1.6	1
261	Intestinal and tumor microbiome analysis combined with metabolomics of the anti-PD-L1 phase II PERFECT trial for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 4556-4556.	1.6	1
262	The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	1
263	A cervical swelling after esophagectomy. Surgery, 2016, 159, 1229-1230.	1.9	0
264	Massive esophageal hemorrhage. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 1152-1153.	1.0	0
265	FA08.01: MORTALITY AND REFRAINMENT FROM ESOPHAGECTOMY IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER PATIENTS THAT STARTED NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY: A POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 15-15.	0.4	0
266	PS01.123: EPIDURAL ANALGESIA AFTER MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY: EFFICACY AND COMPLICATION PROFILE. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 84-85.	0.4	0
267	PS02.010: ESTABLISHMENT OF THE UPPER GI INTERNATIONAL ROBOTIC ASSOCIATION (UGIRA). Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 123-123.	0.4	0
268	PS02.082: OPTIMAL TIMING FOR ASSESSMENT OF TUMOR RESPONSE TO NCRT WITH MRI IN PATIENTS WITH ESOPHAGEAL CANCER. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 143-144.	0.4	0
269	PS01.192: ROUTINE CHEST X-RAY AFTER REMOVAL OF CHEST TUBES IS NOT NECESSARY DURING THE POSTOPERATIVE COURSE OF ESOPHAGECTOMY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 104-104.	0.4	0
270	P103 A HAND-SEWN INTRATHORACIC ANASTOMOSIS IN ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUE AND OUTCOMES. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
271	P101 THE IMPACT OF PARATRACHEAL LYMPHADENECTOMY ON LYMPH NODE YIELD AND SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES IN ESOPHAGECTOMY: A NATIONAL PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED ANALYSIS. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
272	O122 INTERVAL DISTANT METASTASES DURING OR AFTER NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL OR GASTROESOPHAGEAL JUNCTION CANCER: A NATION-WIDE POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
273	O114 TUMOR VOLUME REGRESSION DURING NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY WITH WEEKLY MRI. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
274	Surgical management of a perforated â€˜black oesophagusâ€™. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, E539-E541.	0.7	0
275	Giant left diaphragmatic hernia. Oxford Medical Case Reports, 2021, 2021, omab023.	0.4	0
276	627 PL11.02 ENSURE: AN INTERNATIONAL MULTICENTRE STUDY EXPLORING WHETHER SURVEILLANCE AFTER ESOPHAGEAL CANCER SURGERY IMPACTS ONCOLOGICAL AND QUALITY OF LIFE OUTCOMES. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	0
277	792 OUTCOMES AFTER TOTALLY MINIMALLY INVASIVE VERSUS HYBRID OR OPEN IVOR LEWIS ESOPHAGECTOMY: RESULTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL ESODATA STUDY GROUP.. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	0
278	Perceived facilitators and barriers to physical exercise adherence in esophageal cancer patients after surgery.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 94-94.	1.6	0
279	FEASIBILITY OF SENTINEL NODE NAVIGATED SURGERY IN PATIENTS WITH HIGH-RISK SUBMUCOSAL (T1B) ESOPHAGEAL ADENOCARCINOMA USING A HYBRID TRACER OF TECHNETIUM-99M AND INDOCYANINE GREEN. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, .	1.8	0
280	QUANTIFICATION OF LYMPHOVASCULAR INVASION IS USEFUL TO PREDICT LYMPH NODE METASTASES IN PATIENTS WITH SUBMUCOSAL (T1B) ESOPHAGEAL ADENOCARCINOMA. , 2020, 52, .		0
281	INDIVIDUAL RISK CALCULATOR TO PREDICT LYMPH NODE METASTASES IN PATIENTS WITH SUBMUCOSAL (T1B) ESOPHAGEAL ADENOCARCINOMA: MULTICENTER COHORT STUDY. , 2020, 52, .		0
282	ASO Visual Abstract: The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: a Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
283	ASO Author Reflections: Preoperative Selection of cT4b Esophageal Cancer Patients Who Benefit From a Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2739-2740.	1.5	0
284	ASO Author Reflections: Modern-Day Implementation of Robotic Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
285	ASO Visual Abstract: Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2828.	1.5	0
286	ASO Visual Abstract: Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , 1.	1.5	0
287	P-OGC87â€ƒRobotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-term Clinical Outcomes. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, .	0.3	0
288	130: PATTERN OF LYMPH NODE METASTASES IN GASTRIC CANCERâ€”A SIDE-STUDY OF THE MULTICENTER LOGICA-TRIAL. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
289	205: ADJUNCTIVE SURVEILLANCE MODALITIES AND ONCOLOGIC OUTCOME: A REPORT FROM THE ENSURE STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	0
290	90: HOSPITAL VARIATION IN FAILURE TO CURE IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER SURGERY: IS THE PROPORTION OF PATIENTS UNDERGOING SURGERY PER HOSPITAL PIVOTAL?. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	0