Shelley Potter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5734918/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

304701 330122 1,734 112 22 37 citations h-index g-index papers 116 116 116 1901 times ranked docs citations citing authors all docs

| # | Article | IF | Citations |
|----|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | A Core Outcome Set for Seamless, Standardized Evaluation of Innovative Surgical Procedures and Devices (COHESIVE). Annals of Surgery, 2023, 277, 238-245. | 4.2 | 16 |
| 2 | Implant-Based Reconstruction following Mastectomy in Patients Who Have Had a Previous Breast Augmentation: Lessons from the National Multicenter Implant Breast Reconstruction Evaluation Study. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2022, 149, 324-337. | 1.4 | 1 |
| 3 | Wire- and magnetic-seed-guided localization of impalpable breast lesions: iBRA-NET localisation study. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 274-282. | 0.3 | 17 |
| 4 | Global variations in the definition and management of multifocal and multicentric breast cancer: the MINIM international survey. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 656-659. | 0.3 | 2 |
| 5 | Short-term safety outcomes of mastectomy and immediate prepectoral implant-based breast reconstruction: Pre-BRA prospective multicentre cohort study. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 530-538. | 0.3 | 4 |
| 6 | The MARECA (national study of management of breast cancer locoregional recurrence and) Tj ETQqO 0 0 rgBT /Ov decision making. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1510-1519. | verlock 10 1.0 | Tf 50 547 Tc 5 |
| 7 | Oncoplastic breast consortium recommendations for mastectomy and whole breast reconstruction in the setting of post-mastectomy radiation therapy. Breast, 2022, 63, 123-139. | 2.2 | 22 |
| 8 | Current practice and surgical outcomes of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer: UK NeST study. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 800-803. | 0.3 | 5 |
| 9 | Identification of outcomes to inform the development of a core outcome set for surgical innovation: a targeted review of case studies of novel surgical devices. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056003. | 1.9 | 1 |
| 10 | Patient-reported outcomes of prepectoral implant-based breast reconstruction: Early results from the pre-bra prospective multi-centre cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, e189-e190. | 1.0 | 1 |
| 11 | Patient-Reported Outcome Measures for Post-mastectomy Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review of Development and Measurement Properties. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 386-404. | 1.5 | 28 |
| 12 | Impalpable breast lesion localisation, a logistical challenge: results of the UK iBRA-NET national practice questionnaire. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 185, 13-20. | 2.5 | 11 |
| 13 | A Novel Mixed-Methods Platform Study Protocol for Investigating New Surgical Devices, with Embedded Shared Learning: Ibra-net Breast Lesion Localisation Study. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2021, 25, 26-33. | 1.1 | 5 |
| 14 | The NeST (Neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer) study: National Practice Questionnaire of United Kingdom multi-disciplinary decision making. BMC Cancer, 2021, 21, 90. | 2.6 | 11 |
| 15 | Patient-reported outcomes of immediate implant-based breast reconstruction with and without biological or synthetic mesh. BJS Open, 2021, 5, . | 1.7 | 18 |
| 16 | Breast Angiosarcoma Surveillance Study: UK national audit of management and outcomes of angiosarcoma of the breast and chest wall. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 388-394. | 0.3 | 11 |
| 17 | O77: DOES MESH IMPROVE PATIENT SATISFACTION AND HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER IMPLANT-BASED BREAST RECONSTRUCTION? A MULTICENTRE PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, . | 0.3 | 0 |
| 18 | O58: THE IMPACT OF RADIOTHERAPY ON PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOMES OF IMMEDIATE IMPLANT-BASED BREAST RECONSTRUCTION: RESULTS OF A PROSPECTIVE MULTICENTRE COHORT STUDY. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, . | 0.3 | 0 |

| # | Article | IF | Citations |
|----|--|------|-----------|
| 19 | Breast cancer management pathways during the COVID-19 pandemic: outcomes from the UK †Alert Level 4' phase of the B-MaP-C study. British Journal of Cancer, 2021, 124, 1785-1794. | 6.4 | 21 |
| 20 | Protocol for a mixed-method study to inform the feasibility of undertaking a large-scale multicentre study comparing the clinical and patient-reported outcomes of oncoplastic breast conservation as an alternative to mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction in women unsuitable for standard breast-conserving surgery (the ANTHEM Feasibility Study). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e046622. | 1.9 | 6 |
| 21 | Biological and synthetic mesh assisted breast reconstruction procedures: Joint guidelines from the Association of Breast Surgery and the British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 2807-2813. | 1.0 | 7 |
| 22 | PO60. Exploring best peri-operative practice for implant-based breast reconstruction: Further analysis from the iBRA prospective multicentre cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, e311-e312. | 1.0 | 1 |
| 23 | Innovations for the future of breast surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 908-916. | 0.3 | 10 |
| 24 | P133. Current practice and provision of oncoplastic breast surgery in the UK: Preliminary results of the Anthem National practice survey. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, e331. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 25 | P114. The clinical impact and resource implication of her-2 testing in breast cancer patients 80 and over: A multi-centre retrospective study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, e326. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 26 | Protocol†for a national cohort study to explore the†long-term†clinical and patient-reported outcomes and cost-effectiveness of implant-based and autologous†breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer: the brighter study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e054055. | 1.9 | 6 |
| 27 | Prepectoral versus subpectoral implant-based breast reconstruction after skin-sparing mastectomy or nipple-sparing mastectomy (OPBC-02/ PREPEC): a pragmatic, multicentre, randomised, superiority trial. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045239. | 1.9 | 1 |
| 28 | Reporting Modifications in Surgical Innovation: A Systematic Scoping Review Protocol. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2021, 25, 250-256. | 1.1 | 4 |
| 29 | Best-BRA (Is subpectoral or prepectoral implant placement best in immediate breast reconstruction?): a protocol for a pilot randomised controlled trial of subpectoral versus prepectoral immediate implant-based breast reconstruction in women following mastectomy. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050886. | 1.9 | 2 |
| 30 | Mapping the Landscape of Surgical Registries in the United Kingdom: A Review According to the SWiM Methodology. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2021, 25, 257-261. | 1.1 | 0 |
| 31 | Prepectoral versus subpectoral implant-based breast reconstruction after skin-sparing mastectomy or nipple-sparing mastectomy (OPBC-02/ PREPEC): a pragmatic, multicentre, randomised, superiority trial. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045239. | 1.9 | 12 |
| 32 | Using qualitative research methods to understand how surgical procedures and devices are introduced into NHS hospitals: the Lotus study protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049234. | 1.9 | 2 |
| 33 | The B-MaP-C study: Breast cancer management pathways during the COVID-19 pandemic. Study protocol. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2020, 24, 1-5. | 1.1 | 9 |
| 34 | Outcome selection, measurement and reporting for new surgical procedures and devices: a systematic review of IDEAL/IDEAL-D studies to inform development of a core outcome set. BJS Open, 2020, 4, 1072-1083. | 1.7 | 12 |
| 35 | Knowledge gaps in oncoplastic breast surgery. Lancet Oncology, The, 2020, 21, e375-e385. | 10.7 | 34 |
| 36 | International development and implementation of a core measurement set for research and audit studies in implant-based breast reconstruction: a study protocol. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035505. | 1.9 | 9 |

| # | Article | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|---|-----|-----------|
| 37 | A systematic review and inâ€depth analysis of outcome reporting in early phase studies of colorectal cancer surgical innovation. Colorectal Disease, 2020, 22, 1862-1873. | 1.4 | 6 |
| 38 | The impact of radiotherapy on patient-reported outcomes of immediate implant-based breast reconstruction: Results of a prospective multicentre cohort study. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 138, S35. | 2.8 | 0 |
| 39 | Experiences of implant loss after immediate implant-based breast reconstruction: qualitative study. BJS Open, 2020, 4, 380-390. | 1.7 | 9 |
| 40 | The Breast Angiosarcoma Surveillance Study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e47. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 41 | Methods for assessment of patient adherence to removable orthoses used after surgery or trauma to the appendicular skeleton: a systematic review. Trials, 2020, 21, 507. | 1.6 | 7 |
| 42 | P115: International development of a core measurement set for research and audit studies in implant-based breast reconstruction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e41. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 43 | The use of micro-costing in economic analyses of surgical interventions: a systematic review. Health Economics Review, 2020, 10, 3. | 2.0 | 25 |
| 44 | Therapeutic mammaplasty is a safe and effective alternative to mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 832-844. | 0.3 | 26 |
| 45 | The Pre-BRA (pre-pectoral Breast Reconstruction EvAluation) feasibility study: protocol for a mixed-methods IDEAL 2a/2b prospective cohort study to determine the safety and effectiveness of prepectoral implant-based breast reconstruction. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e033641. | 1.9 | 7 |
| 46 | Perceived barriers to randomised controlled trials in breast reconstruction: obstacle to trial initiation or opportunity to resolve? A qualitative study. Trials, 2020, 21, 316. | 1.6 | 5 |
| 47 | The Impact of Radiotherapy on Patient-reported Outcomes of Immediate Implant-based Breast Reconstruction With and Without Mesh. Annals of Surgery, 2020, Publish Ahead of Print, . | 4.2 | 5 |
| 48 | 07. DOES MESH IMPROVE PATIENT SATISFACTION AND HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER IMPLANT-BASED BREAST RECONSTRUCTION? A MULTICENTRE PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e3. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 49 | P154: "Do the best you can until you know better": Why, how and when Pilot and Feasibility Studies (PFS) should be utilised to optimise surgical trials. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e51. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 50 | Abstract P2-16-04: National utilisation of neoadjuvant systemic therapy and impact on surgical treatment - A prospective multi-centre cohort study. , 2020, , . | | 0 |
| 51 | Barriers to clinical trial recruitment and recommendations for supporting breast surgeons in the workplace. Bulletin of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2020, 102, 320-323. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 52 | The SMALL Trial: A Big Change for Small Breast Cancers. Clinical Oncology, 2019, 31, 659-663. | 1.4 | 13 |
| 53 | Value of surgical pilot and feasibility study protocols. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 968-978. | 0.3 | 13 |
| 54 | The impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy: the iBRA-2 study. British Journal of Cancer, 2019, 120, 883-895. | 6.4 | 45 |

| # | Article | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|---|------|-----------|
| 55 | Development of reporting guidance and core outcome sets for seamless, standardised evaluation of innovative surgical procedures and devices: a study protocol for content generation and a Delphi consensus process (COHESIVE study). BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029574. | 1.9 | 15 |
| 56 | The NeST (neoadjuvant systemic therapy in breast cancer) study - Protocol for a prospective multi-centre cohort study to assess the current utilization and short-term outcomes of neoadjuvant systemic therapies in breast cancer. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2019, 18, 5-11. | 1.1 | 6 |
| 57 | Short-term safety outcomes of mastectomy and immediate implant-based breast reconstruction with and without mesh (iBRA): a multicentre, prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, 254-266. | 10.7 | 141 |
| 58 | Is the current training pathway for oncoplastic breast surgeons fit for purpose?. Bulletin of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2019, 101, 69-75. | 0.1 | 5 |
| 59 | Adherence to best practice consensus guidelines for implant-based breast reconstruction: Results from the iBRA national practice questionnaire survey. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 708-716. | 1.0 | 16 |
| 60 | Quality of life after breast reconstructionâ€"the BRIOS study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, e577. | 10.7 | 0 |
| 61 | 2062. Patterns of Post-Mastectomy Radiotherapy In Patients With Immediate Breast Reconstruction – Results From The Ibra-2 (Immediate Breast Reconstruction And Adjuvant Therapy) Prospective Cohort Study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1844. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 62 | #11 The Pre-Bra Study (oral presentation). International Journal of Surgery, 2018, 59, S3-S4. | 2.7 | 0 |
| 63 | Opportunities and priorities for breast surgical research. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, e521-e533. | 10.7 | 36 |
| 64 | Does the addition of mesh improve outcomes in implant based breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer?. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 362, k2607. | 2.3 | 12 |
| 65 | Current practice and short-term outcomes of therapeutic mammaplasty in the international TeaM multicentre prospective cohort study. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 1778-1792. | 0.3 | 33 |
| 66 | Multicentre observational study of adherence to Sepsis Six guidelines in emergency general surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, e165-e171. | 0.3 | 16 |
| 67 | Results from the BRIOS randomised trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2017, 18, e189. | 10.7 | 13 |
| 68 | Conservative management of mallet injuries: A national survey of current practice in the UK. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2017, 70, 901-907. | 1.0 | 9 |
| 69 | The BRASS (BR east A ngiosarcoma S urveillance St udy): Protocol for a retrospective multicentre cohort study to evaluate the management and outcomes of angiosarcoma of the breast and chest wall. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2017, 5, 5-10. | 1.1 | 4 |
| 70 | Variation in the provision and practice of implant-based breast reconstruction in the UK: Results from the iBRA national practice questionnaire. Breast, 2017, 35, 182-190. | 2.2 | 26 |
| 71 | Adverse event reporting in surgical trials and early phase studies: the need for new and joint perspectives. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 357, j1693. | 2.3 | 2 |
| 72 | Three nested randomized controlled trials of peer-only or multiple stakeholder group feedback within Delphi surveys during core outcome and information set development. Trials, 2016, 17, 409. | 1.6 | 74 |

| # | Article | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|---|-----|-----------|
| 73 | The iBRA-2 (immediate breast reconstruction and adjuvant therapy audit) study: protocol for a prospective national multicentre cohort study to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the delivery of adjuvant therapy. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e012678. | 1.9 | 16 |
| 74 | The TeaM (The rapeutic Mammaplasty) study: Protocol for a prospective multi-centre cohort study to evaluate the practice and outcomes of therapeutic mammaplasty. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2016, 1, 3-10. | 1.1 | 15 |
| 75 | Variation in the management of ductal carcinoma in situ: Results of the Mammary Fold National Practice Survey. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, S32. | 1.0 | 1 |
| 76 | Response to: Gschwantler-Kaulich etÂal (2016) Mesh versus acellular dermal matrix in immediate implant-based breast reconstruction – A prospective randomized trial doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2016.02.007. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1767-1768. | 1.0 | 5 |
| 77 | Exploring methods the for selection and integration of stakeholder views in the development of core outcome sets: a case study in reconstructive breast surgery. Trials, 2016, 17, 463. | 1.6 | 8 |
| 78 | The iBRA (implant breast reconstruction evaluation) study: protocol for a prospective multi-centre cohort study to inform the feasibility, design and conduct of a pragmatic randomised clinical trial comparing new techniques of implant-based breast reconstruction. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 41. | 1.2 | 24 |
| 79 | Variation in the management of ductal carcinoma in situ in the UK: Results of the Mammary Fold National Practice Survey. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1153-1161. | 1.0 | 15 |
| 80 | Systematic review and critical appraisal of the impact of acellular dermal matrix use on the outcomes of implant-based breast reconstruction. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 1010-1025. | 0.3 | 64 |
| 81 | The IBRA (implant breast reconstruction evaluation) study: a prospective multicentre cohort study to inform the feasibility, design and conduct of a pragmatic randomised clinical trial comparing new approaches to implant-based breast reconstruction. Trials, 2015, 16, . | 1.6 | 1 |
| 82 | Three nested RCTs of dual or single stakeholder feedback within Delphi surveys during core outcome and information set development. Trials, 2015, 16, . | 1.6 | 1 |
| 83 | Development of a core outcome set for research and audit studies in reconstructive breast surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 1360-1371. | 0.3 | 118 |
| 84 | Systematic review of surgical innovation reporting in laparoendoscopic colonic polyp resection. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, e108-e116. | 0.3 | 25 |
| 85 | Exploring information provision in reconstructive breast surgery: AÂqualitative study. Breast, 2015, 24, 732-738. | 2.2 | 16 |
| 86 | Early complications and implant loss in implant-based breast reconstruction with and without acellular dermal matrix (Tecnoss Protexa®): A comparative study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 41, 113-119. | 1.0 | 27 |
| 87 | A single centre experience of adrenalectomy for adrenal metastases. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, S5. | 1.0 | O |
| 88 | The iBRA study: A national multicentre audit of the outcomes of implant-based breast reconstruction with and without lower pole support. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2014, 67, 1467-1468. | 1.0 | 2 |
| 89 | 442. The iBRA Study: A national multicentre audit of the practice and outcomes of implant-based breast reconstruction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, S168-S169. | 1.0 | 3 |
| 90 | Time to be BRAVE: is educating surgeons the key to unlocking the potential of randomised clinical trials in surgery? A qualitative study. Trials, 2014, 15, 80. | 1.6 | 43 |

| # | Article | IF | CITATIONS |
|-----|---|------|-----------|
| 91 | Investigation of the feasibility of clinical trials in breast reconstruction. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, S88. | 13.7 | 4 |
| 92 | Short-term complications of prosthetic breast reconstruction with and without acellular dermal matrix: A comparative study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 467-468. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 93 | Is innovation in surgery less than ideal? A case study of acellular dermal matrix assisted prosthetic breast reconstruction. Trials, 2013, 14, P1. | 1.6 | 1 |
| 94 | Exploring inequalities in access to care and the provision of choice to women seeking breast reconstruction surgery: a qualitative study. British Journal of Cancer, 2013, 109, 1181-1191. | 6.4 | 31 |
| 95 | The BREAST-Q. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 616e-618e. | 1.4 | 5 |
| 96 | Outcome reporting for reconstructive breast surgery: The need for consensus, consistency and core outcome sets. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 38, 1020-1021. | 1.0 | 11 |
| 97 | Understanding decision-making for reconstructive breast surgery: A qualitative study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 38, 458. | 1.0 | 2 |
| 98 | Improving the patient journey in breast reconstruction: A qualitative study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 38, 426. | 1.0 | 1 |
| 99 | Assessment of Cosmesis After Breast Reconstruction Surgery: a Systematic Review. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 813-823. | 1.5 | 35 |
| 100 | Reporting Clinical Outcomes of Breast Reconstruction: A Systematic Review. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2011, 103, 31-46. | 6.3 | 87 |
| 101 | Psychosocial Outcomes of Screening for Cancer and Pre-invasive Disease. , 2011, , 245-268. | | 2 |
| 102 | Health-related quality of life assessment after breast reconstruction. British Journal of Surgery, 2009, 96, 613-620. | 0.3 | 46 |
| 103 | A Prospective Longitudinal Study of Cosmetic Outcome in Immediate Latissimus Dorsi Breast Reconstruction and the Influence of Radiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 15, 1081-1091. | 1.5 | 58 |
| 104 | Measuring quality of life following breast reconstruction -A comparison of four instruments. European Journal of Cancer, Supplement, 2008, 6, 90. | 2.2 | 0 |
| 105 | 'The sooner the better' or 'too much too soon'? A pilot prospective longitudinal study to evaluate quality of life and body image following immediate latissimus dorsi breast reconstruction. Breast Cancer Research, 2008, 10, . | 5.0 | 0 |
| 106 | The QUEST study: a multicentre randomised trial to assess the impact of the type and timing of breast reconstruction on quality of life following mastectomy. Breast Cancer Research, 2008, 10, . | 5.0 | 0 |
| 107 | A comparison of patients' and health-care professionals' views of the cosmetic outcome of breast reconstruction and its influence on patient satisfaction and quality of life: Whose opinion really matters?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 34, 1182-1183. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 108 | Does Limiting Long-Term Follow-Up for Breast Cancer Allow All Referrals to be Seen in 2 Weeks?. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2008, 90, 381-385. | 0.6 | 4 |

SHELLEY POTTER

| # | Article | IF | CITATION |
|-----|--|-----|----------|
| 109 | Referral patterns, cancer diagnoses, and waiting times after introduction of two week wait rule for breast cancer: prospective cohort study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 335, 288. | 2.3 | 97 |
| 110 | Patient satisfaction and time-saving implications of a nurse-led nipple and areola reconstitution service following breast reconstruction. Breast, 2007, 16, 293-296. | 2.2 | 16 |
| 111 | Accuracy of sonographic localisation and specimen ultrasound performed by surgeons in impalpable screen-detected breast lesions. Breast, 2007, 16, 425-428. | 2.2 | 15 |
| 112 | A quantitative approach to the distress caused by symptoms in patients treated with radical radiotherapy. British Journal of Cancer, 1996, 74, 640-647. | 6.4 | 63 |