
Nikhil Panda

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5728790/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



Νικητι Βννυγ

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Using Smartphones to Capture Novel Recovery Metrics After Cancer Surgery. JAMA Surgery, 2020, 155, 123.	4.3	71
2	COVID-19 – Considerations and Implications for Surgical Learners. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, e22-e23.	4.2	64
3	Comparing the Learning Effectiveness of Healthcare Simulation in the Observer Versus Active Role: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Simulation in Healthcare, 2019, 14, 318-332.	1.2	43
4	Digital Phenotyping and Patient-Generated Health Data for Outcome Measurement in Surgical Care: A Scoping Review. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 282.	2.5	20
5	Redefining shared decision-making in the digital era. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2287-2288.	1.0	18
6	The Surgical Knowledge "Growth Curve― Predicting ABSITE Scores and Identifying "At-Risk―Residents. Journal of Surgical Education, 2021, 78, 50-59.	2.5	17
7	Gallstone Pancreatitis and Choledocholithiasis: Using Imaging and Laboratory Trends to Predict the Likelihood of Persistent Stones at Cholangiography. World Journal of Surgery, 2018, 42, 3143-3149.	1.6	16
8	Smartphone Global Positioning System (GPS) Data Enhances Recovery Assessment After Breast Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 985-994.	1.5	16
9	Methods for scaling simulation-based teamwork training. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2020, 29, 98-102.	3.7	14
10	Acute airway management. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2018, 7, 266-272.	1.7	12
11	Prioritizing the Patient Perspective in Oncologic Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 43-44.	1.5	12
12	ls Functional Independence Associated With Improved Long-Term Survival After Lung Transplantation?. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 79-84.	1.3	10
13	Association Between USMLE Step 1 Scores and In-Training Examination Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Academic Medicine, 2021, 96, 1742-1754.	1.6	10
14	Liver Transplantation for Recurrent Cholangitis From Von Meyenburg Complexes. Transplantation Direct, 2019, 5, e428.	1.6	9
15	Expected Versus Experienced Health-Related Quality of Life Among Patients Recovering From Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgery Open, 2021, 2, e060.	1.4	9
16	Open, Video- and Robot-Assisted Thoracoscopic Lobectomy for Stage II-IIIA Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2023, 115, 184-190.	1.3	9
17	Refusal of colorectal cancer surgery in the United States: Predictors and associated cancer-specific mortality in a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cohort. Surgery Open Science, 2020, 2, 12-18.	1.2	8
18	We Asked the Experts: The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Recommendations for Content and Implementation Adaptations. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 1293-1296.	1.6	8

Nikhil Panda

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Smartphone-based Assessment of Preoperative Decision Conflict and Postoperative Physical Activity Among Patients Undergoing Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, 193-199.	4.2	8
20	Enhancing Patient-Centered Surgical Care With Mobile Health Technology. Journal of Surgical Research, 2022, 274, 178-184.	1.6	8
21	Preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) is a risk factor for surgical site infections after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. American Journal of Surgery, 2019, 218, 140-144.	1.8	7
22	Evaluation of Patients with Neurogenic Thoracic Outlet Syndrome. Thoracic Surgery Clinics, 2021, 31, 55-59.	1.0	7
23	Effective Implementation and Utilization of Checklists in Surgical Patient Safety. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2021, 101, 37-48.	1.5	6
24	Gallstone Ileus. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2018, 22, 1989-1991.	1.7	5
25	Third-time ("redo–redoâ€) anti-reflux surgery: patient-reported outcomes after a thoracoabdominal approach. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 3092-3101.	2.4	5
26	Feasibility and performance of smartphone-based daily micro-surveys among patients recovering from cancer surgery. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 579-587.	3.1	5
27	Perceptions of Mobile Health Technology in Elective Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, .	4.2	4
28	Supraclavicular Approach for Neurogenic Thoracic Outlet Syndrome: Description of a Learning Curve. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2021, 112, 1616-1623.	1.3	4
29	A Novel and Successful Repair of a Left Atriogastric Fistula After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 104, e157-e159.	1.3	3
30	Minimally invasive esophagectomy—behind patient-centered learning curves. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S1954-S1956.	1.4	3
31	Global Survey of Perceptions of the Surgical Safety Checklist Among Medical Students, Trainees, and Early Career Providers. World Journal of Surgery, 2020, 44, 2857-2868.	1.6	3
32	Passively Collected Smartphone Sensor Data to Detect Postoperative Events after Cancer Surgery: A Prospective, Multicenter, Proof-of-Principle Study. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2019, 229, S159-S160.	0.5	2
33	Commentary: Practice makes perfect in cervical esophagogastric anastomosis. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2020, 160, 1611-1612.	0.8	2
34	Drivers of Cost Associated With Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2022, 113, 264-270.	1.3	2
35	ASO Author Reflections: Applications of Smartphone-Based Digital Phenotyping in Supplementing Recovery Assessment After Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 909-910.	1.5	1
36	Earlier Introduction to the Wards. Academic Medicine, 2017, 92, 1067-1068.	1.6	0