## Laura J Sonter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5549479/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

41 papers 2,448 citations

236925 25 h-index 289244 40 g-index

44 all docs

44 docs citations

44 times ranked 3353 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mining drives extensive deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. Nature Communications, 2017, 8, 1013.	12.8	280
2	Impact of 2019–2020 mega-fires on Australian fauna habitat. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 2020, 4, 1321-1326.	7.8	209
3	Renewable energy production will exacerbate mining threats to biodiversity. Nature Communications, 2020, 11, 4174.	12.8	178
4	Mining and biodiversity: key issues and research needs in conservation science. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2018, 285, .	2.6	140
5	Renewable energy development threatens many globally important biodiversity areas. Global Change Biology, 2020, 26, 3040-3051.	9.5	137
6	Processes of land use change in mining regions. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2014, 84, 494-501.	9.3	127
7	Spatial and Temporal Dynamics and Value of Nature-Based Recreation, Estimated via Social Media. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0162372.	2.5	123
8	Disaggregating the evidence linking biodiversity and ecosystem services. Nature Communications, 2016, 7, 13106.	12.8	112
9	Increasing decision relevance of ecosystem service science. Nature Sustainability, 2021, 4, 161-169.	23.7	108
10	Global demand for steel drives extensive land-use change in Brazil's Iron Quadrangle. Global Environmental Change, 2014, 26, 63-72.	7.8	65
11	Emerging evidence that armed conflict and coca cultivation influence deforestation patterns. Biological Conservation, 2019, 239, 108176.	4.1	60
12	Exploring potential impacts of mining on forest loss and fragmentation within a biodiverse region of Brazil's northeastern Amazon. Resources Policy, 2020, 67, 101662.	9.6	58
13	Offsetting the Impacts of Mining to Achieve No Net Loss of Native Vegetation. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1068-1076.	4.7	57
14	Local conditions and policy design determine whether ecological compensation can achieve No Net Loss goals. Nature Communications, 2020, 11, 2072.	12.8	56
15	Effects of human demand on conservation planning for biodiversity and ecosystem services. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 942-952.	4.7	55
16	The climate sensitivity of carbon, timber, and species richness covaries with forest age in boreal–temperate North America. Global Change Biology, 2019, 25, 2446-2458.	9.5	51
17	Global no net loss of natural ecosystems. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 2020, 4, 46-49.	7.8	51
18	Moving from biodiversity offsets to a targetâ€based approach for ecological compensation. Conservation Letters, 2020, 13, e12695.	5.7	51

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Carbon emissions due to deforestation for the production of charcoal used in Brazil's steel industry. Nature Climate Change, 2015, 5, 359-363.	18.8	46
20	Advancing Systematic Conservation Planning for Ecosystem Services. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2020, 35, 1129-1139.	8.7	46
21	Effects of spatial autocorrelation and sampling design on estimates of protected area effectiveness. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 1452-1462.	4.7	40
22	Proposed Legislation to Mine Brazil's Indigenous Lands Will Threaten Amazon Forests and Their Valuable Ecosystem Services. One Earth, 2020, 3, 356-362.	6.8	38
23	Biodiversity offsets may miss opportunities to mitigate impacts on ecosystem services. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2018, 16, 143-148.	4.0	36
24	Multi-site interactions: Understanding the offsite impacts of land use change on the use and supply of ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 2017, 23, 158-164.	5.4	30
25	A Land System Science meta-analysis suggests we underestimate intensive land uses in land use change dynamics. Journal of Land Use Science, 2015, 10, 191-204.	2.2	28
26	Using the multiple capitals framework to connect indicators of regional cumulative impacts of mining and pastoralism in the Murray Darling Basin, Australia. Resources Policy, 2013, 38, 733-744.	9.6	27
27	Biodiversity offsetting in dynamic landscapes: Influence of regulatory context and counterfactual assumptions on achievement of no net loss. Biological Conservation, 2017, 206, 314-319.	4.1	27
28	Net Gain: Seeking Better Outcomes for Local People when Mitigating Biodiversity Loss from Development. One Earth, 2019, 1, 195-201.	6.8	24
29	Conserving ecosystem services and biodiversity: Measuring the tradeoffs involved in splitting conservation budgets. Ecosystem Services, 2020, 42, 101063.	5.4	24
30	Will Passive Protection Save Congo Forests?. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0128473.	2.5	20
31	Quantifying habitat losses and gains made by U.S. Species Conservation Banks to improve compensation policies and avoid perverse outcomes. Conservation Letters, 2019, 12, e12629.	5.7	20
32	Modeling the impact of revegetation on regional water quality: A collective approach to manage the cumulative impacts of mining in the Bowen Basin, Australia. Resources Policy, 2013, 38, 670-677.	9.6	18
33	The impacts of land use change on flood protection services among multiple beneficiaries. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 806, 150577.	8.0	18
34	Do conservation covenants consider the delivery of ecosystem services?. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 115, 99-107.	4.9	17
35	Understanding the impacts of mining on ecosystem services through a systematic review. The Extractive Industries and Society, 2021, 8, 457-466.	1.2	16
36	Offsetting impacts of development on biodiversity and ecosystem services. Ambio, 2020, 49, 892-902.	5.5	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Quantifying the "avoided―biodiversity impacts associated with economic development. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2022, 20, 370-378.	4.0	12
38	Who benefits from ecosystem services? Analysing recreational moose hunting in Vermont, USA. Oryx, 2019, 53, 707-715.	1.0	10
39	Trade-offs between efficiency, equality and equity in restoration for flood protection. Environmental Research Letters, 2022, 17, 014001.	5.2	8
40	Aligning ecological compensation policies with the Postâ€2020 Global Biodiversity Framework to achieve real net gain in biodiversity. Conservation Science and Practice, 2022, 4, .	2.0	8
41	Reflections on solid Earth research. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2021, 2, 21-25.	29.7	0