

Daniel R Shanahan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5548694/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

31
papers

1,869
citations

933447

10
h-index

839539

18
g-index

32
all docs

32
docs citations

32
times ranked

4757
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 355, i5239.	6.0	1,491
2	Sharing and reuse of individual participant data from clinical trials: principles and recommendations. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e018647.	1.9	116
3	Guidelines for reporting embedded recruitment trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2016, 17, 27.	1.6	47
4	Accuracy in detecting inadequate research reporting by early career peer reviewers using an online CONSORT-based peer-review tool (COBPeer) versus the usual peer-review process: a cross-sectional diagnostic study. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019, 17, 205.	5.5	27
5	Linked publications from a single trial: a thread of evidence. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 369.	1.6	21
6	Simple decision-tree tool to facilitate author identification of reporting guidelines during submission: a before-and-after study. <i>Research Integrity and Peer Review</i> , 2017, 2, 20.	5.2	15
7	A living document: reincarnating the research article. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 151.	1.6	14
8	Auto-correlation of journal impact factor for consensus research reporting statements: a cohort study. <i>PeerJ</i> , 2016, 4, e1887.	2.0	12
9	Better reporting for better research: a checklist for reproducibility. <i>BMC Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 16, 44.	1.9	11
10	Better reporting for better research: a checklist for reproducibility. <i>GigaScience</i> , 2015, 4, 32.	6.4	10
11	Opening peer-review: the democracy of science. <i>Journal of Negative Results in BioMedicine</i> , 2014, 13, 2.	1.4	9
12	A protocol of a cross-sectional study evaluating an online tool for early career peer reviewers assessing reports of randomised controlled trials. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e017462.	1.9	9
13	Better reporting for better research: a checklist for reproducibility. <i>Genome Biology</i> , 2015, 16, 141.	8.8	8
14	The Explorer study: the first double-blind RCT to assess the efficacy of TLC-NOSF on DFUs. <i>Journal of Wound Care</i> , 2013, 22, 78-82.	1.2	7
15	Inaugural professorial lecture: the progression of trauma wound care—why delay wound closure?. <i>Journal of Wound Care</i> , 2013, 22, 194-196.	1.2	3
16	Not precisely accurate. <i>Journal of Wound Care</i> , 2013, 22, 51-51.	1.2	1
17	Should research ethics committees police reporting bias?. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 356, j1501.	2.3	1
18	The Act is here: Deal with it. <i>Journal of Wound Care</i> , 2012, 21, 515-515.	1.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Ask a better question. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 307-307.	1.2	0
20	The basis for competition. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 587-587.	1.2	0
21	Over the hills and far away. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 259-259.	1.2	0
22	Statistically speaking. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 207-207.	1.2	0
23	To hit a moving target. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 418-419.	1.2	0
24	For the write reason. Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 467-467.	1.2	0
25	A different kind of "normal". Journal of Wound Care, 2012, 21, 355-355.	1.2	0
26	Whose fault is it anyway?. Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 339-339.	1.2	0
27	Don't panic!. Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 219-219.	1.2	0
28	Defining "appropriate". Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 291-291.	1.2	0
29	The challenge of integrity. Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 107-107.	1.2	0
30	If the cap fits . Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 387-387.	1.2	0
31	Packing a punch. Journal of Wound Care, 2013, 22, 451-451.	1.2	0