
Christopher Carroll

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5440251/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A conceptual framework for implementation fidelity. Implementation Science, 2007, 2, 40.	2.5	1,474
2	"Best fit―framework synthesis: refining the method. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2013, 13, 37.	1.4	338
3	A worked example of "best fit" framework synthesis: A systematic review of views concerning the taking of some potential chemopreventive agents. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2011, 11, 29.	1.4	272
4	Literature searching for social science systematic reviews: consideration of a range of search techniques. Health Information and Libraries Journal, 2010, 27, 114-122.	1.3	192
5	Workplace involvement improves return to work rates among employees with back pain on long-term sick leave: a systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of interventions. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2010, 32, 607-621.	0.9	170
6	Should We Exclude Inadequately Reported Studies From Qualitative Systematic Reviews? An Evaluation of Sensitivity Analyses in Two Case Study Reviews. Qualitative Health Research, 2012, 22, 1425-1434.	1.0	166
7	Quality assessment of qualitative evidence for systematic review and synthesis: Is it meaningful, and if so, how should it be performed?. Research Synthesis Methods, 2015, 6, 149-154.	4.2	145
8	How to build up the actionable knowledge base: the role of †best fit' framework synthesis for studies of improvement in healthcare. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2015, 24, 700-708.	1.8	138
9	Desperately Seeking Dissonance. Qualitative Health Research, 2013, 23, 126-141.	1.0	110
10	Systematic searching for theory to inform systematic reviews: is it feasible? Is it desirable?. Health Information and Libraries Journal, 2015, 32, 220-235.	1.3	105
11	UK health-care professionals' experience of on-line learning techniques: A systematic review of qualitative data. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2009, 29, 235-241.	0.4	80
12	A systematic review of primary care models for non-communicable disease interventions in Sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Family Practice, 2017, 18, 46.	2.9	78
13	Supplemental calcium in the chemoprevention of colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical Therapeutics, 2010, 32, 789-803.	1.1	75
14	Epidemiological and clinical burden of EGFR Exon 20 insertion in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic literature review. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0247620.	1.1	43
15	Protocol for a systematic review and qualitative synthesis of information quality frameworks in eHealth. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e024722.	0.8	41
16	Infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis after the failure of conventional therapy (including a review of TA140 and TA262): clinical effectiveness systematic review and economic model. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-326.	1.3	41
17	Information Quality Frameworks for Digital Health Technologies: Systematic Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2021, 23, e23479.	2.1	40
18	Cabozantinib and vandetanib for unresectable locally advanced or metastatic medullary thyroid cancer: a systematic review and economic model. Health Technology Assessment, 2019, 23, 1-144.	1.3	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A qualitative evidence synthesis of employees' views of workplace smoking reduction or cessation interventions. BMC Public Health, 2013, 13, 1095.	1.2	22
20	Improving search efficiency for systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy: an exploratory study to assess the viability of limiting to MEDLINE, EMBASE and reference checking. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 82.	2.5	22
21	Evolocumab for Treating Primary Hypercholesterolaemia and Mixed Dyslipidaemia: An Evidence Review Group Perspective of a NICE Single Technology Appraisal. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 537-547.	1.7	18
22	What Can Altmetric.com Tell Us About Policy Citations of Research? An Analysis of Altmetric.com Data for Research Articles from the University of Sheffield. Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics, 2018, 2, .	0.9	18
23	Measuring academic research impact: creating a citation profile using the conceptual framework for implementation fidelity as a case study. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 1329-1340.	1.6	12
24	A thematic analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of manufacturers' submissions to the NICE Single Technology Assessment (STA) process. Health Policy, 2011, 102, 136-144.	1.4	10
25	A case study of binary outcome data extraction across three systematic reviews of hip arthroplasty: errors and differences of selection. BMC Research Notes, 2013, 6, 539.	0.6	10
26	Qualitative evidence synthesis of values and preferences to inform infant feeding in the context of non-HIV transmission risk. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0242669.	1.1	9
27	The use of exploratory analyses within the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence single technology appraisal process: an evaluation and qualitative analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-48.	1.3	9
28	Adalimumab for Treating Moderate-to-Severe Hidradenitis Suppurativa: An Evidence Review Group Perspective of a NICE Single Technology Appraisal. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 805-815.	1.7	8
29	Bridging the gap: the development of knowledge briefings at the health and social care interface. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2006, 14, 491-498.	0.7	7
30	Cost-Effectiveness of Aspirin, Celecoxib, and Calcium Chemoprevention for Colorectal Cancer. Clinical Therapeutics, 2011, 33, 1289-1305.	1.1	7
31	What are the implications of Zika Virus for infant feeding? A synthesis of qualitative evidence concerning Congenital Zika Syndrome (CZS) and comparable conditions. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2020, 14, e0008731.	1.3	7
32	Interventions to reduce the risk of surgically transmitted Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease: a cost-effective modelling review. Health Technology Assessment, 2020, 24, 1-150.	1.3	6
33	Equity in healthcare access and service coverage for older people: a scoping review of the conceptual literature. Integrated Healthcare Journal, 2022, 4, .	0.2	6
34	ASSESSING SEARCHES IN NICE SINGLE TECHNOLOGY APPRAISALS: PRACTICE AND CHECKLIST. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 315-322.	0.2	5
35	The Type and Impact of Evidence Review Group Exploratory Analyses in the NICE Single Technology Appraisal Process. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 785-791.	0.1	5
36	Systematic review of the methodological literature for integrating qualitative evidence syntheses into health guideline development. Research Synthesis Methods, 2021, 12, 491-505.	4.2	5

CHRISTOPHER CARROLL

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Evolocumab for Treating Primary Hypercholesterolaemia and Mixed Dyslipidaemia: An Evidence Review Group Perspective of a NICE Single Technology Appraisal. Pharmacoeconomics, 2016, 35, 537.	1.7	4
38	Decision-making by the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 1769-1774.	0.1	4
39	Psychosocial functioning of Olympic coaches and its perceived effect on athlete performance: a systematic review. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 2020, , 1-34.	3.1	4
40	Issues Related to the Frequency of Exploratory Analyses by Evidence Review Groups in the NICE Single Technology Appraisal Process. PharmacoEconomics - Open, 2017, 1, 99-108.	0.9	3
41	Pirfenidone for Treating Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: An Evidence Review Group Perspective of a NICE Single Technology Appraisal. Pharmacoeconomics, 2019, 37, 763-775.	1.7	3
42	Research and Policy Impact of Trials Published by the UK National Institute of Health Research (2006-2015). Value in Health, 2020, 23, 727-733.	0.1	3
43	Qualitative evidence syntheses of attitudes and preferences to inform guidelines on infant feeding in the context of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) transmission risk. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2022, 16, e0010080.	1.3	3
44	What Is the Evidence from Past National Institute of Health and Care Excellence Single-Technology Appraisals Regarding Company Submissions with Base-Case Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratios of Less Than £10,000/QALY?. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 341-350.	0.1	2
45	Nature and reporting characteristics of UK health technology assessment systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2018, 18, 35.	1.4	2
46	Fidelity. , 2020, , .		2
47	Risk of bias and the reporting of surgeons' experience in randomized controlled trials of total hip and total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2019, 25, 205-215.	0.9	1
48	Exploring open access coverage of Wikipedia-cited research across the White Rose Universities. Insights: the UKSG Journal, 2022, 35, .	0.1	1
49	Title is missing!. , 2020, 14, e0008731.		0
50	Title is missing!. , 2020, 14, e0008731.		0
51	Title is missing!. , 2020, 14, e0008731.		0
52	Title is missing!. , 2020, 14, e0008731.		0
53	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242669.		0
54	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242669.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242669.		0
56	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0242669.		0