Paul B Hibbard

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/542530/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Spatial frequency and visual discomfort. Vision Research, 2011, 51, 1767-1777.	1.4	80
2	Seeing in 3-D With Just One Eye. Psychological Science, 2013, 24, 1673-1685.	3.3	68
3	Visual Processing and Dyslexia. Perception, 1999, 28, 243-254.	1.2	65
4	Perceived Direction of Motion Determined by Adaptation to Static Binocular Images. Current Biology, 2012, 22, 28-32.	3.9	63
5	Empowering Reentrant Projections from V5 to V1 Boosts Sensitivity to Motion. Current Biology, 2016, 26, 2155-2160.	3.9	63
6	Strengthening functionally specific neural pathways with transcranial brain stimulation. Current Biology, 2018, 28, R735-R736.	3.9	63
7	Binocular cues and the control of prehension. Spatial Vision, 2004, 17, 95-110.	1.4	57
8	Reaching for virtual objects: binocular disparity and the control of prehension. Experimental Brain Research, 2003, 148, 196-201.	1.5	47
9	Binocular energy responses to natural images. Vision Research, 2008, 48, 1427-1439.	1.4	47
10	Consciousness of the first order in blindsight. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2010, 107, 21217-21222.	7.1	45
11	Visual processing in migraine. Cephalalgia, 2016, 36, 1057-1076.	3.9	39
12	Uncomfortable images produce non-sparse responses in a model of primary visual cortex. Royal Society Open Science, 2015, 2, 140535.	2.4	38
13	Statistically optimal integration of biased sensory estimates. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 12-12.	0.3	35
14	Linear filtering precedes nonlinear processing in early vision. Current Biology, 1996, 6, 891-896.	3.9	31
15	Does Binocular Disparity Facilitate the Detection of Transparent Motion?. Perception, 1999, 28, 183-191.	1.2	30
16	Stereopsis from contrast envelopes. Vision Research, 1999, 39, 2313-2324.	1.4	29
17	Depth-cue integration in grasp programming: No evidence for a binocular specialism. Neuropsychologia, 2011, 49, 1246-1257.	1.6	29
18	A statistical model of binocular disparity. Visual Cognition, 2007, 15, 149-165.	1.6	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Visual discomfort and blur. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 7-7.	0.3	25
20	Disparity-defined objects moving in depth do not elicit three-dimensional shape constancy. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 1599-1610.	1.4	24
21	Visual Discomfort and Depth-of-Field. I-Perception, 2013, 4, 156-169.	1.4	24
22	Surface orientation, modulation frequency and the detection and perception of depth defined by binocular disparity and motion parallax. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 2636-2644.	1.4	23
23	Can appearance be so deceptive? Representationalism and binocular vision. Spatial Vision, 2008, 21, 549-559.	1.4	23
24	Saccadic latency is modulated by emotional content of spatially filtered face stimuli Emotion, 2012, 12, 1384-1392.	1.8	23
25	Magnitude, precision, and realism of depth perception in stereoscopic vision. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2017, 2, 25.	2.0	23
26	Depth Perception Not Found in Human Observers for Static or Dynamic Anti-Correlated Random Dot Stereograms. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e84087.	2.5	19
27	Stereoscopic correspondence for ambiguous targets is affected by elevation and fixation distance. Spatial Vision, 2005, 18, 399-411.	1.4	18
28	Distribution of independent components of binocular natural images. Journal of Vision, 2015, 15, 6.	0.3	16
29	The stereoscopic ansiotropy: Individual differences and underlying mechanisms Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2002, 28, 469-476.	0.9	16
30	Global motion processing is not tuned for binocular disparity. Vision Research, 1999, 39, 961-974.	1.4	15
31	Summation of visual attributes in auditory–visual crossmodal correspondences. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2017, 24, 1104-1112.	2.8	15
32	Linear and nonlinear transparencies in binocular vision. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 1998, 265, 1837-1845.	2.6	14
33	The stereoscopic anisotropy: Individual differences and underlying mechanisms Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2002, 28, 469-476.	0.9	14
34	Encoding and estimation of first- and second-order binocular disparity in natural images. Vision Research, 2016, 120, 108-120.	1.4	14
35	Mechanisms for similarity matching in disparity measurement. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 4, 1014.	2.1	13
36	Depth of Field Affects Perceived Depth in Stereographs. ACM Transactions on Applied Perception, 2015, 11, 1-18.	1.9	13

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Plaid slant and inclination thresholds can be predicted from components. Vision Research, 1998, 38, 1073-1084.	1.4	12
38	Cue combination in the motion correspondence problem. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2000, 267, 1369-1374.	2.6	12
39	Perceptual latencies to discriminate surface orientation in stereopsis. Perception & Psychophysics, 2002, 64, 32-40.	2.3	12
40	The visual processing of motion-defined transparency. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2007, 274, 1049-1057.	2.6	12
41	Typical Lateral Interactions, but Increased Contrast Sensitivity, in Migraine-With-Aura. Vision (Switzerland), 2018, 2, 7.	1.2	12
42	Vision and Hyper-Responsiveness in Migraine. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 62.	1.2	12
43	Size and shape constancy in consumer virtual reality. Behavior Research Methods, 2020, 52, 1587-1598.	4.0	12
44	Reverse correlation reveals how observers sample visual information when estimating three-dimensional shape. Vision Research, 2013, 86, 115-127.	1.4	11
45	Migraine in Synesthetes and Nonsynesthetes: A Prevalence Study. Perception, 2015, 44, 1179-1202.	1.2	11
46	Contributions of pictorial and binocular cues to the perception of distance in virtual reality. Virtual Reality, 2021, 25, 1087-1103.	6.1	11
47	Perception of Relative Depth Interval: Systematic Biases in Perceived Depth. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 73-91.	1.1	10
48	Adapting to time: Duration channels do not mediate human time perception. Journal of Vision, 2016, 16, 4.	0.3	10
49	Attention Affects the Stereoscopic Depth Aftereffect. Perception, 2003, 32, 635-640.	1.2	9
50	Stereoscopic depth adaptation from binocularly correlated versus anti-correlated noise: Test of an efficient coding theory of stereopsis. Vision Research, 2020, 166, 60-71.	1.4	9
51	Migraine Visual Aura and Cortical Spreading Depression—Linking Mathematical Models to Empirical Evidence. Vision (Switzerland), 2021, 5, 30.	1.2	9
52	Impairment of cyclopean surface processing by disparity-defined masking stimuli. Journal of Vision, 2020, 20, 1.	0.3	8
53	The orientation bandwidth of cyclopean channels. Vision Research, 2005, 45, 2780-2785.	1.4	7
54	Evidence for relative disparity matching in the perception of an ambiguous stereogram. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 35-35.	0.3	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	First- and second-order contributions to depth perception in anti-correlated random dot stereograms. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 14120.	3.3	7
56	The effect of facial expression on contrast sensitivity: A behavioural investigation and extension of Hedger, Adams & Garner (2015). PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0205621.	2.5	7
57	Contrast normalisation masks natural expression-related differences and artificially enhances the perceived salience of fear expressions. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0234513.	2.5	7
58	Ideal Binocular Disparity Detectors Learned Using Independent Subspace Analysis on Binocular Natural Image Pairs. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0150117.	2.5	6
59	Surface continuity and discontinuity bias the perception of stereoscopic depth. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 13.	0.3	6
60	Isotropic integration of binocular disparity and relative motion in the perception of three-dimensional shape. Spatial Vision, 2002, 15, 205-217.	1.4	5
61	Vertical Disparity Affects Shape and Size Judgments across Surfaces Separated in Depth. Perception, 2007, 36, 696-702.	1.2	5
62	Misperception of aspect ratio in binocularly viewed surfaces. Vision Research, 2012, 70, 34-43.	1.4	5
63	Visual Search and Visual Discomfort. Perception, 2013, 42, 1-15.	1.2	5
64	Suppression durations for facial expressions under breaking continuous flash suppression: effects of faces' low-level image properties. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 17427.	3.3	5
65	The stereoscopic anisotropy affects manual pointing. Spatial Vision, 2002, 15, 443-458.	1.4	4
66	Ordinal judgments of depth in monocularly- and stereoscopically-viewed photographs of complex natural scenes. , 2015, , .		4
67	Perceived duration of brief visual events is mediated by timing mechanisms at the global stages of visual processing. Royal Society Open Science, 2017, 4, 160928.	2.4	4
68	Introduction to the Special Issue on Individual Differences in Multisensory Perception: an Overview. Multisensory Research, 2017, 30, 461-466.	1.1	4
69	Binocular vision supports the development of scene segmentation capabilities: Evidence from a deep learning model. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 13.	0.3	4
70	A Bayesian model of distance perception from ocular convergence. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 159.	0.3	4
71	Evaluation of the accuracy of the Leap Motion controller for measurements of grip aperture. , 2015, ,		3
72	Natural variation in female reproductive hormones does not affect contrast sensitivity. Royal Society Open Science, 2018, 5, 171566.	2.4	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Saccadic eye movements are deployed faster for salient facial stimuli, but are relatively indifferent to their emotional content. Vision Research, 2022, 198, 108054.	1.4	3
74	<title>Can observers exploit enhanced motion parallax to control reaching movements within telepresence environments?</title> ., 2001, , .		2
75	Binocular Depth Judgments on Smoothly Curved Surfaces. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0165932.	2.5	2
76	The effect of image position on the Independent Components of natural binocular images. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 449.	3.3	2
77	No effect of feedback, level of processing or stimulus presentation protocol on perceptual learning when easy and difficult trials are interleaved. Vision Research, 2020, 176, 100-117.	1.4	2
78	Distance Perception in Consumer Virtual Reality. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1047.	0.3	2
79	Reaching for virtual objects: binocular disparity, retinal motion and the control of prehension. Arquivos Brasileiros De Oftalmologia, 2003, 66, 53-61.	0.5	2
80	2-D Tilt and 3-D Slant Illusions in Perception and Action Tasks. Perception, 2006, 35, 1297-1305.	1.2	1
81	Quality, quantity and precision of depth perception in stereoscopic displays. , 2015, , .		1
82	Spatial Frequency Tuning and Transfer of Perceptual Learning for Motion Coherence Reflects the Tuning Properties of Global Motion Processing. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 44.	1.2	1
83	No Evidence of Reduced Contrast Sensitivity in Migraine-with-Aura for Large, Narrowband, Centrally Presented Noise-Masked Stimuli. Vision (Switzerland), 2021, 5, 32.	1.2	1
84	Manipulations of local, but not global, luminance gradients affect judgements of depth magnitude. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1045.	0.3	1
85	Shape and Size Constancy in Consumer Virtual Reality. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 515.	0.3	1
86	<title>Can telepresent observers learn to take account of enhanced binocular disparities?</title> . , 2001, , .		0
87	Efficient encoding of binocular disparity predicts sensitivity to depth differences. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1068.	0.3	0
88	The effect of edge separation and orientation on the perception of depth in anti-correlated random dot stereograms. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 988.	0.3	0
89	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234513.		0
90	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234513.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234513.		О
92	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0234513.		0