

Magnus Nilsson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5370621/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

99
papers

5,059
citations

201674

27
h-index

106344

65
g-index

102
all docs

102
docs citations

102
times ranked

4490
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Partial stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy for gastric outlet obstruction: A cohort study based on consecutive case series from a single center. <i>Asian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 45, 326-331.	0.4	3
2	Health related quality of life following open versus minimally invasive total gastrectomy for cancer: Results from a randomized clinical trial. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 48, 553-560.	1.0	5
3	Lasting Symptoms After Esophageal Resection (LASER). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2022, 275, e392-e400.	4.2	36
4	Cancer-Related Fatigue After Esophageal Cancer Surgery: Impact of Postoperative Complications. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 29, 2842-2851.	1.5	5
5	Outcomes after totally minimally invasive <i>versus</i> hybrid and open Ivor Lewis oesophagectomy: results from the International Esodata Study Group. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 109, 283-290.	0.3	29
6	ASO Visual Abstract: Cancer-Related Fatigue after Esophageal Cancer Surgeryâ€”Impact of Postoperative Complications. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.5	1
7	The role of initial and gradual trust in growing and unlocking regional industrial specialisations. <i>Industry and Innovation</i> , 2022, 29, 825-846.	3.1	3
8	Definitions and treatment of oligometastatic oesophagogastric cancer according to multidisciplinary tumour boards in Europe. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 164, 18-29.	2.8	27
9	Body Mass Index-Adjusted Weight Loss Grading System and Cancer-Related Fatigue in Survivors 1 Year After Esophageal Cancer Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 29, 4502-4510.	1.5	1
10	Definition of oligometastatic esophagogastric cancer and impact of local oligometastasis-directed treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 166, 254-269.	2.8	40
11	Treatment of anastomotic leak after esophagectomy: insights of an international case vignette survey and expert discussions. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2022, , .	0.4	5
12	ASO Visual Abstract: Body Mass Index-Adjusted Weight-Loss Grading System and Cancer-Related Fatigue in Survivors 1 Year After Esophageal Cancer Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.5	0
13	Open versus minimally invasive total gastrectomy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy: results of a European randomized trial. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2021, 24, 258-271.	5.3	79
14	Early postoperative decrease of albumin is an independent predictor of major complications after oncological esophagectomy: A multicenter study. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 123, 462-469.	1.7	9
15	Technique of open and minimally invasive intrathoracic reconstruction following esophagectomyâ€”an expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	8
16	Exploring the concept of centralization of surgery for benign esophageal diseases: a Delphi based consensus from the European Society for Diseases of the Esophagus. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	2
17	Patient-reported outcomes after oesophagectomy in the multicentre LASER study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 1090-1096.	0.3	4
18	546 INTENSIVE SURVEILLANCE AFTER CURATIVE INTENT SURGERY FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: INITIAL RESULTS OF THE ENSURE STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	658 BETTER SURVIVAL IN FEMALES THAN MALES AFTER RESECTION OF OESOPHAGEAL OR GASTROESOPHAGEAL JUNCTION CANCER: A COHORT STUDY IN SWEDEN. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	0
20	Risk Prediction Model of 90-Day Mortality After Esophagectomy for Cancer. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2021, 156, 836.	4.3	41
21	792 OUTCOMES AFTER TOTALLY MINIMALLY INVASIVE VERSUS HYBRID OR OPEN IVOR LEWIS ESOPHAGECTOMY: RESULTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL ESODATA STUDY GROUP.. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	0
22	Impact of co-morbidity on reoperation or death within 90 days of surgery for oesophageal cancer. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	1.7	4
23	The Effect of Postoperative Complications After Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy on Long-term Survival. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, e1129-e1137.	4.2	54
24	Endoscopic vacuum therapy for anastomotic leak after esophagectomy: a single-center's early experience. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.4	11
25	Impact of surgical resection rate on survival in gastric cancer: nationwide study. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	1.7	3
26	Health-related quality of life one year after the diagnosis of oesophageal cancer: a population-based study from the Swedish National Registry for Oesophageal and Gastric Cancer. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2021, 21, 1277.	2.6	9
27	Mentorship during undergraduate surgical training: comparing perceptions of medical students and faculty at two institutions in South Africa and Sweden. <i>South African Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 59, 183-190.	0.2	0
28	Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy for Cancer: A Western Center Cohort Study. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2020, 247, 372-379.	1.6	2
29	Direct Oral Feeding Following Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (NUTRIENT II trial). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 271, 41-47.	4.2	83
30	Surgical Morbidity and Mortality From the Multicenter Randomized Controlled NeoRes II Trial. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 272, 684-689.	4.2	24
31	Implementation of minimally invasive gastrectomy for gastric cancer in a western tertiary referral center. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2020, 20, 157.	1.3	3
32	Fit-for-Discharge Criteria after Esophagectomy: An International Expert Delphi Consensus. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 34, .	0.4	5
33	Gastric cancer. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2020, 396, 635-648.	13.7	2,084
34	Preoperative detection of sentinel lymph nodes with hybrid SPECT/computed tomography imaging may improve the accuracy of sentinel lymph node biopsies in patients with early stages of cancer of the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2020, 41, 1153-1160.	1.1	1
35	Assessment of energy intake and total energy expenditure in a series of patients who have undergone oesophagectomy following neoadjuvant treatment. <i>Clinical Nutrition ESPEN</i> , 2020, 37, 121-128.	1.2	3
36	Palliation of dysphagia in metastatic oesogastric cancers: An international multidisciplinary position. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2020, 135, 103-112.	2.8	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Nationwide study of the impact of D2 lymphadenectomy on survival after gastric cancer surgery. <i>BJSO</i> , 2020, 4, 424-431.	1.7	6
38	Increased risk for uterine cancer among first-degree relatives to Swedish gastric cancer patients. <i>Hereditary Cancer in Clinical Practice</i> , 2020, 18, 12.	1.5	0
39	Definitive chemoradiotherapy plus cetuximab for cancer in the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction. <i>Cancer Treatment and Research Communications</i> , 2020, 24, 100187.	1.7	0
40	EORTC 1707 VESTIGE: Adjuvant immunotherapy in patients with resected gastric cancer following preoperative chemotherapy with high risk for recurrence (ypN+ and/or R1): An open-label randomized controlled phase II study. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 38, TPS467-TPS467.	1.6	7
41	18F FDG-PET/CT evaluation of histological response after neoadjuvant treatment in patients with cancer of the esophagus or gastroesophageal junction. <i>Acta Radiologica</i> , 2019, 60, 578-585.	1.1	7
42	Effects of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy vs chemotherapy alone on the relief of dysphagia in esophageal cancer patients: secondary endpoint analysis in a randomized trial. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.4	11
43	The Combination of Respiratory Comorbidity and Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy May Double the Risk of Anastomotic Leaks After Esophagectomy: Do We Know Enough to Tailor Neoadjuvant Therapies, or Take Other Preemptive Measures in High-Risk Patients?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 2660-2661.	1.5	2
44	Health-related quality of life in a randomized trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy plus surgery in patients with oesophageal cancer (NeoRes trial). <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 106, 1452-1463.	0.3	19
45	Delayed emptying of the gastric conduit after esophagectomy. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2019, 11, S835-S844.	1.4	38
46	Proximity and the trust formation process. <i>European Planning Studies</i> , 2019, 27, 841-861.	2.9	31
47	P116 A PILOT STUDY ON ENERGY INTAKE AND TOTAL ENERGY EXPENDITURE, USING A MULTI SENSOR DEVICE, IN OESOPHAGEAL CANCER PATIENTS DURING THE ENTIRE COURSE OF MODERN MULTIMODALITY TREATMENT. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
48	<p>Medical Student And Faculty Perceptions Of Undergraduate Surgical Training In The South African And Swedish Tertiary Institutions: A Cross-Sectional Survey<p>. <i>Advances in Medical Education and Practice</i> , 2019, Volume 10, 855-866.	1.5	4
49	O187 ANASTOMOTIC TECHNIQUES AND ASSOCIATED MORBIDITY IN TOTAL MINIMALLY-INVASIVE TRANSTHORACIC ESOPHAGECTOMY â€“ RESULTS FROM THE ESOBENCHMARK DATABASE. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
50	Anastomotic Techniques and Associated Morbidity in Total Minimally Invasive Transthoracic Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 270, 820-826.	4.2	68
51	Long-term Survival in Esophageal Cancer After Minimally Invasive Compared to Open Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 270, 1005-1017.	4.2	117
52	Surgical outcomes of oesophagectomy or gastrectomy due to cancer for patients â‰¥75â‰¥years of age: a single-centre cohort study. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 89, 228-233.	0.7	8
53	Long-term weight development after esophagectomy for cancerâ€”comparison between open Ivorâ€”Lewis and minimally invasive surgical approaches. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.4	2
54	Learning Curve and Associated Morbidity of Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 88-94.	4.2	207

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Regional variations in cholecystectomy rates in Sweden: impact on complications of gallstone disease. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 51, 465-471.	1.5	11
74	Nutritional route in oesophageal resection trial II (NUTRIENT II): study protocol for a multicentre open-label randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e011979.	1.9	25
75	Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy may increase the risk of severe anastomotic complications after esophagectomy with cervical anastomosis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 323-331.	1.9	15
76	Thoracoscopic side-to-side esophagogastrostomy by use of linear stapler—a simplified technique facilitating a minimally invasive Ivor-Lewis operation. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 315-322.	1.9	30
77	Treatment of esophageal anastomotic leakage with self-expanding metal stents: analysis of risk factors for treatment failure. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2016, 04, E420-E426.	1.8	32
78	A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing partial stomach partitioning gastrojejunostomy versus conventional gastrojejunostomy for malignant gastroduodenal obstruction. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 777-785.	1.9	21
79	Nasogastric decompression following esophagectomy: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2016, 30, 1-8.	0.4	33
80	Endoscopic sphincterotomy and risk of cholangiocarcinoma: a population-based cohort study in Finland and Sweden. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2016, 04, E1096-E1100.	1.8	3
81	Esophagectomy for eosinophilic esophagitis. <i>European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca</i> , 2016, 48, 241-245.	0.7	2
82	Transplantation of tissue-engineered cell sheets for stricture prevention after endoscopic submucosal dissection of the oesophagus. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 741-753.	3.8	29
83	A randomized clinical trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy versus neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for cancer of the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2016, 27, 660-667.	1.2	300
84	Pulmonary function and cardiac stress test after multimodality treatment of esophageal cancer. <i>Practical Radiation Oncology</i> , 2016, 6, e53-e59.	2.1	20
85	The HLA-DQ ² 1 insertion is a strong achalasia risk factor and displays a geospatial north-south gradient among Europeans. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016, 24, 1228-1231.	2.8	21
86	Severity of Acute Cholecystitis and Risk of Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injury During Cholecystectomy, a Population-Based Case-Control Study. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 40, 1060-1067.	1.6	81
87	Morbidity and mortality after surgery for cancer of the oesophagus and gastro-oesophageal junction: A randomized clinical trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. neoadjuvant chemoradiation. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 920-926.	1.0	86
88	Selective intraoperative cholangiography and risk of bile duct injury during cholecystectomy. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 952-958.	0.3	70
89	Effects on heart function of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy in patients with cancer in the esophagus or gastroesophageal junction—a prospective cohort pilot study within a randomized clinical trial. <i>Radiation Oncology</i> , 2015, 10, 16.	2.7	28
90	Innovation in peripheral regions: Do collaborations compensate for a lack of local knowledge spillovers?. <i>Annals of Regional Science</i> , 2015, 54, 299-321.	2.1	183

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	The spatiality of trust: Factors influencing the creation of trust and the role of face-to-face contacts. <i>European Management Journal</i> , 2015, 33, 230-244.	5.1	71
92	Regional innovation policy and coordination: Illustrations from Southern Sweden. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2015, 42, 147-161.	2.4	20
93	Predictors for failure of stent treatment for benign esophageal perforations - a single center 10-year experience. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 20, 10613.	3.3	37
94	Combined Innovation Policy: Linking Scientific and Practical Knowledge in Innovation Systems. <i>European Planning Studies</i> , 2013, 21, 1919-1936.	2.9	56
95	Hybrid SPECT/CT imaging of sentinel nodes in esophageal cancer: first results. <i>Acta Radiologica</i> , 2013, 54, 369-373.	1.1	9
96	No Association between Gastroesophageal Reflux and Cancers of the Larynx and Pharynx. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2005, 14, 1194-1197.	2.5	29
97	The relation between body mass and gastro-oesophageal reflux. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2004, 18, 1117-1123.	2.4	23
98	Obesity and Estrogen as Risk Factors for Gastroesophageal Reflux Symptoms. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2003, 290, 66.	7.4	392
99	Gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer: Risk factors and prophylactic treatments for prevention of peritoneal recurrence after curative intent surgery. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 0, , .	2.4	1