Marjolein J E Greuter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5339623/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 610901 29 594 13 24 g-index citations h-index papers 29 29 29 748 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Long-term evaluation of benefits, harms, and cost-effectiveness of the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program in Australia: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health, The, 2017, 2, e331-e340.	10.0	114
2	Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on faecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening programmes in Australia, Canada, and the Netherlands: a comparative modelling study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 6, 304-314.	8.1	99
3	Screening for Colorectal Cancer With Fecal Immunochemical Testing With and Without Postpolypectomy Surveillance Colonoscopy. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 544.	3.9	52
4	Modeling the Adenoma and Serrated Pathway to Colorectal CAncer (ASCCA). Risk Analysis, 2014, 34, 889-910.	2.7	35
5	Definition of competence standards for optical diagnosis of diminutive colorectal polyps: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 88-99.	1.8	30
6	Quality of guidelines on the management of diabetes in pregnancy: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2012, 12, 58.	2.4	29
7	Evaluation of the benefits, harms and costâ€effectiveness of potential alternatives to iFOBT testing for colorectal cancer screening in Australia. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 143, 269-282.	5.1	28
8	Benefits, Harms, and Cost-Effectiveness of Potential Age Extensions to the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program in Australia. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 1450-1461.	2.5	26
9	Long-Term Impact of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Screening Program on Cancer Incidence and Mortality—Model-Based Exploration of the Serrated Pathway. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 135-144.	2.5	25
10	Implementation of an optical diagnosis strategy saves costs and does not impair clinical outcomes of a fecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening program. Endoscopy International Open, 2017, 05, E1197-E1207.	1.8	24
11	The potential of imaging techniques as a screening tool for colorectal cancer: a cost-effectiveness analysis. British Journal of Radiology, 2016, 89, 20150910.	2.2	21
12	Diagnostic Strategies toward Clinical Implementation of Liquid Biopsy RAS/BRAF Circulating Tumor DNA Analyses in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. Journal of Molecular Diagnostics, 2020, 22, 1430-1437.	2.8	19
13	Clinical Validation of a Multitarget Fecal Immunochemical Test for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1224-1231.	3.9	16
14	Validation of Microsimulation Models against Alternative Model Predictions and Long-Term Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality Outcomes of Randomized Controlled Trials. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 815-829.	2.4	14
15	Cost-effectiveness of response evaluation after chemoradiation in patients with advanced oropharyngeal cancer using 18F–FDG-PET-CT and/or diffusion-weighted MRI. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 256.	2.6	9
16	Resilience of a FIT screening programme against screening fatigue: a modelling study. BMC Public Health, 2016, 16, 1009.	2.9	8
17	Estimating adjuvant treatment effects in Stage II colon cancer: Comparing the synthesis of randomized clinical trial data to realâ€world data. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 146, 2968-2978.	5.1	8
18	Prioritisation of colonoscopy services in colorectal cancer screening programmes to minimise impact of COVID-19 pandemic on predicted cancer burden: A comparative modelling study. Journal of Medical Screening, 2022, 29, 72-83.	2.3	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Modeling Personalized Adjuvant TreaTment in EaRly stage coloN cancer (PATTERN). European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 1059-1073.	2.8	5
20	Impact of differences in adenoma and proximal serrated polyp detection rate on the long-term effectiveness of FIT-based colorectal cancer screening. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 465.	2.6	4
21	Using Metamodeling to Identify the Optimal Strategy for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 206-215.	0.3	4
22	Guidance for setting easy-to-adopt competence criteria for optical diagnosis of diminutive colorectal polyps: a simulation approach. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2021, 94, 812-822.e43.	1.0	4
23	Model-based evaluation of the cost effectiveness of 3 <i>versus</i> 6 months' adjuvant chemotherapy in high-risk stage II colon cancer patients. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology, 2020, 13, 175628482095411.	3.2	3
24	Longitudinal effects of adjuvant chemotherapy and related neuropathy on health utility in stage II and III colon cancer patients: A prospective cohort study. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 148, 2702-2711.	5.1	3
25	Model-based effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of risk-based selection strategies for adjuvant chemotherapy in Dutch stage II colon cancer patients. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology, 2021, 14, 175628482199571.	3.2	3
26	Methodological framework for development of competence standards for optical diagnosis in gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2021, 54, .	1.8	2
27	Sa 1045 GUIDANCE FOR SETTING ALTERNATIVE COMPETENCE CRITERIA FOR OPTICAL DIAGNOSIS OF DIMINUTIVE COLORECTAL POLYPS, WHICH ARE EASIER TO IMPLEMENT IN DAILY PRACTICE - A SIMULATION STUDY. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2019, 89, AB152-AB153.	1.0	1
28	Can a biomarker triage test reduce colonoscopy burden in fecal immunochemical test screening?. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2020, 9, 563-571.	1.4	0
29	Early Cost-effectiveness Analysis of Risk-Based Selection Strategies for Adjuvant Treatment in Stage II Colon Cancer: The Potential Value of Prognostic Molecular Markers. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, 1726-1734.	2.5	0