Jelena Ristic

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5289900/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

40 papers	1,034 citations	687363 13 h-index	434195 31 g-index
45 all docs	45 docs citations	45 times ranked	840 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Eyes are special but not for everyone: The case of autism. Cognitive Brain Research, 2005, 24, 715-718.	3.0	199
2	Attention to Arrows: Pointing to a New Direction. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2006, 59, 1921-1930.	1.1	139
3	The number line effect reflects top-down control. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2006, 13, 862-868.	2.8	116
4	Taking control of reflexive social attention. Cognition, 2005, 94, B55-B65.	2.2	113
5	A new form of human spatial attention: Automated symbolic orienting. Visual Cognition, 2012, 20, 244-264.	1.6	78
6	How attention gates social interactions. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1426, 179-198.	3.8	61
7	Staring reality in the face: A comparison of social attention across laboratory and real world measures suggests little common ground.. Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 71, 212-225.	0.8	39
8	Tracking the Leader: Gaze Behavior in Group Interactions. IScience, 2019, 16, 242-249.	4.1	31
9	Feature and motion-based gaze cuing is linked with reduced social competence. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 44221.	3.3	28
10	Attention AND mentalizing? Reframing a debate on social orienting of attention. Visual Cognition, 2020, 28, 97-105.	1.6	27
11	Automated Symbolic Orienting: The Missing Link. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 560.	2.1	22
12	Combining attention: a novel way of conceptualizing the links between attention, sensory processing, and behavior. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 36-49.	1.3	20
13	Gaze following in multiagent contexts: Evidence for a quorum-like principle. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 2260-2266.	2.8	18
14	Face Masks Impair Basic Emotion Recognition. Social Psychology, 2023, 54, 4-15.	0.7	15
15	Electrophysiological Evidence for Spatiotemporal Flexibility in the Ventrolateral Attention Network. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e24436.	2.5	14
16	The eyes do not have it after all? Attention is not automatically biased towards faces and eyes. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 1407-1423.	1.7	13
17	Attention promotes accurate impression formation. Journal of Personality, 2020, 88, 544-554.	3.2	10
18	Where Is Your Attention? Assessing Individual Instances of Covert Attentional Orienting in Response to Gaze and Arrow Cues. Vision (Switzerland), 2017, 1, 19.	1.2	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Attention Combines Similarly in Covert and Overt Conditions. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 16.	1.2	9
20	An investigation of global-local processing bias in a large sample of typical individuals varying in autism traits. Consciousness and Cognition, 2018, 65, 271-279.	1.5	7
21	Trait-Level Variability in Attention Modulates Mind Wandering and Academic Achievement. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 909.	2.1	7
22	Standing out from the crowd: Both cue numerosity and social information affect attention in multi-agent contexts. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 174702182110130.	1.1	6
23	Automated symbolic orienting is not modulated by explicit temporal attention. Acta Psychologica, 2016, 171, 93-98.	1.5	5
24	Prior attentional bias is modulated by social gaze. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 1-6.	1.3	5
25	Attentional gaze dynamics in group interactions. Visual Cognition, 2022, 30, 135-150.	1.6	5
26	Changes in Tonic Alertness but Not Voluntary Temporal Preparation Modulate the Attention Elicited by Task-Relevant Gaze and Arrow Cues. Vision (Switzerland), 2018, 2, 18.	1.2	4
27	Contextually-Based Social Attention Diverges across Covert and Overt Measures. Vision (Switzerland), 2019, 3, 29.	1.2	4
28	Transparent masks reduce the negative impact of opaque masks on understanding emotional states but not on sharing them. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2022, 7, .	2.0	4
29	It's not all in the face: reduced face visibility does not modulate social segmentation. Visual Cognition, 2019, 27, 38-45.	1.6	3
30	Intrapersonal Behavioral Coordination and Expressive Accuracy During First Impressions. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2022, 13, 150-159.	3.9	3
31	Interactive Cognition: An introduction. Visual Cognition, 2022, 30, 1-5.	1.6	3
32	Combined attention controls complex behavior by suppressing unlikely events. Brain and Cognition, 2018, 120, 17-25.	1.8	2
33	Taking it out of context: The role of contextual coherence during social event segmentation. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 2003-2013.	1.3	2
34	The role of perceptual and contextual information in social event segmentation. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 447.	0.3	1
35	Social attention as a general mechanism? Demonstrating the influence of stimulus content factors on social attentional biasing.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2022, 48, 289-311.	0.9	1
36	The more, the better? It depends on consistency! Gaze cuing in multi-agent contexts.. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 966.	0.3	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Where is your attention?: Estimating the frequency of gaze following in the cuing task using a trial-by trial analysis.. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 686.	0.3	0
38	Looking at faces is differentially modulated by context and novelty. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 168.	0.3	0
39	Looking at faces supports the segmentation of both social and nonsocial events.. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 1341.	0.3	0
40	Infrequent faces bias social attention differently in manual and oculomotor measures. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 829.	1.3	0