Mustapha Ishak

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5278983/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

218677 182427 51 2,899 59 26 citations h-index g-index papers 62 62 62 1755 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Cosmology intertwined: A review of the particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology associated with the cosmological tensions and anomalies. Journal of High Energy Astrophysics, 2022, 34, 49-211.	6.7	350
2	The LSST DESC DC2 Simulated Sky Survey. Astrophysical Journal, Supplement Series, 2021, 253, 31.	7.7	32
3	A Bayesian interpretation of inconsistency measures in cosmology. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2021, 2021, 009.	5.4	15
4	Singling out modified gravity parameters and data sets reveals a dichotomy between Planck and lensing. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2021, 506, 1704-1714.	4.4	2
5	Matter power spectrum emulator for <mml:math display="inline" xmlns:mml="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML"><mml:mi>f</mml:mi><mml:mo stretchy="false">(</mml:mo><mml:mi>R</mml:mi><mml:mo stretchy="false">)</mml:mo></mml:math> modified gravity cosmologies. Physical Review D. 2021, 103	4.7	19
6	Towards testing the theory of gravity with DESI: summary statistics, model predictions and future simulation requirements. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2021, 2021, 050.	5.4	41
7	Separating the intrinsic alignment signal and the lensing signal using self-calibration in photo- <i>z</i> surveys with KiDS450 and KV450 Data. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2020, 495, 3900-3919.	4.4	7
8	Current constraints on deviations from General Relativity using binning in redshift and scale. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2020, 2020, 018-018.	5.4	16
9	First Detection of the GI-type of Intrinsic Alignments of Galaxies Using the Self-calibration Method in a Photometric Galaxy Survey. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 2020, 899, L5.	8.3	4
10	Core Cosmology Library: Precision Cosmological Predictions for LSST. Astrophysical Journal, Supplement Series, 2019, 242, 2.	7.7	130
11	Self-calibration method for II and GI types of intrinsic alignments of galaxies. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2019, 483, 276-288.	4.4	10
12	Cosmological discordances. III. More on measure properties, large-scale-structure constraints, the Hubble constant and <mml:math display="inline" xmlns:mml="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML"><mml:mi>Planck</mml:mi></mml:math> data. Physical Review D, 2019, 100, .	4.7	16
13	Testing deviations from GR at cosmological scales including dynamical dark energy, massive neutrinos, functional or binned parametrizations, and spatial curvature. Physical Review D, 2019, 100, .	4.7	5
14	Testing general relativity in cosmology. Living Reviews in Relativity, 2019, 22, 1.	26.7	265
15	Cosmological discordances: A new measure, marginalization effects, and application to geometry versus growth current data sets. Physical Review D, 2017, 96, .	4.7	58
16	Effects of self-calibration of intrinsic alignment on cosmological parameter constraints from future cosmic shear surveys. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2017, 2017, 056-056.	5.4	19
17	Cosmological discordances. II. Hubble constant, Planck and large-scale-structure data sets. Physical Review D, 2017, 96, .	4.7	73
18	Testing gravity theories using tensor perturbations. Physical Review D, 2016, 94, .	4.7	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Averaged universe confronted with cosmological observations: A fully covariant approach. Physical Review D, 2016, 94, .	4.7	2
20	Constraints and tensions in testing general relativity from Planck and CFHTLenS data including intrinsic alignment systematics. Physical Review D, 2015 , 92 , .	4.7	36
21	Expansion and growth of structure observables in a macroscopic gravity averaged universe. Physical Review D, 2015, 91, .	4.7	5
22	The intrinsic alignment of galaxies and its impact on weak gravitational lensing in an era of precision cosmology. Physics Reports, 2015, 558, 1-59.	25.6	216
23	Effect of inhomogeneities on high precision measurements of cosmological distances. Physical Review D, 2014, 90, .	4.7	17
24	The effects of structure anisotropy on lensing observables in an exact general relativistic setting for precision cosmology. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2014, 2014, 040-040.	5.4	16
25	Cross-correlation between cosmic microwave background lensing and galaxy intrinsic alignment as a contaminant to gravitational lensing cross-correlated probes of the Universe. Physical Review D, 2014, 89, .	4.7	37
26	Stringent Restriction from the Growth of Large-Scale Structure on Apparent Acceleration in Inhomogeneous Cosmological Models. Physical Review Letters, 2013, 111, 251302.	7.8	16
27	Effects of dark energy perturbations on cosmological tests of general relativity. Physical Review D, 2013, 88, .	4.7	30
28	Effects of anisotropy on gravitational infall in galaxy clusters using an exact general relativistic model. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2013, 2013, 048-048.	5.4	6
29	Large-scale growth evolution in the Szekeres inhomogeneous cosmological models with comparison to growth data. Physical Review D, 2012, 86, .	4.7	24
30	Spatial curvature and cosmological tests of general relativity. Physical Review D, 2012, 86, .	4.7	46
31	Growth of structure in the Szekeres class-II inhomogeneous cosmological models and the matter-dominated era. Physical Review D, 2012, 85, .	4.7	33
32	Self-calibration for three-point intrinsic alignment autocorrelations in weak lensing surveys. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2012, 423, 1663-1673.	4.4	21
33	APPARENT ACCELERATION DUE TO RELATIVISTIC COSMOLOGICAL MODELS MORE COMPLEX THAN FLRW AS A POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE TO DARK ENERGY. , 2012, , .		0
34	Luminosity distance and redshift in the Szekeres inhomogeneous cosmological models. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2011, 2011, 028-028.	5.4	36
35	Figures of merit and constraints from testing general relativity using the latest cosmological data sets including refined COSMOS 3D weak lensing. Physical Review D, 2011, 84, .	4.7	35
36	Testing general relativity at cosmological scales: Implementation and parameter correlations. Physical Review D, 2011, 84, .	4.7	69

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The relevance of the cosmological constant for lensing. General Relativity and Gravitation, 2010, 42, 2247-2268.	2.0	54
38	Constraints on growth index parameters from current and future observations. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2010, 2010, 022-022.	5.4	38
39	Supernova, baryon acoustic oscillations, and CMB surface distance constraints onf(G)higher order gravity models. Physical Review D, 2010, 81, .	4.7	10
40	Growth factor parametrization in curved space. Physical Review D, 2009, 80, .	4.7	41
41	A minimal set of invariants as a systematic approach to higher order gravity models: physical and cosmological constraints. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2009, 2009, 020-020.	5.4	5
42	A minimal set of invariants as a systematic approach to higher order gravity models. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2009, 2009, 024-024.	5.4	13
43	Contiguous redshift parameterizations of the growth index. Physical Review D, 2009, 80, .	4.7	43
44	Light deflection, lensing, and time delays from gravitational potentials and Fermat's principle in the presence of a cosmological constant. Physical Review D, 2008, 78, .	4.7	23
45	Dark energy or apparent acceleration due to a relativistic cosmological model more complex than the Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker model?. Physical Review D, 2008, 78, .	4.7	41
46	Inverse approach to Einstein's equations for fluids with vanishing anisotropic stress tensor. Physical Review D, 2008, 77, .	4.7	1
47	Contribution of the cosmological constant to the relativistic bending of light revisited. Physical Review D, 2007, 76, .	4.7	163
48	Remarks on the Formulation of the Cosmological Constant/Dark Energy Problems. Foundations of Physics, 2007, 37, 1470-1498.	1.3	47
49	Probing cosmic acceleration beyond the equation of state: Distinguishing between dark energy and modified gravity models. Physical Review D, 2006, 74, .	4.7	196
50	Spectroscopic source redshifts and parameter constraints from weak lensing and the cosmic microwave background. Physical Review D, 2005, 71, .	4.7	20
51	Dynamical dark energy: Current constraints and forecasts. Physical Review D, 2005, 72, .	4.7	154
52	Weak lensing and CMB: Parameter forecasts including a running spectral index. Physical Review D, 2004, 69, .	4.7	41
53	Perfect fluid models in noncomoving observational spherical coordinates. Physical Review D, 2004, 69, .	4.7	5
54	Inverse approach to Einstein's equations for nonconducting fluids. Physical Review D, 2003, 68, .	4.7	7

Mustapha Ishak

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	An online interactive geometric database including exact solutions of Einstein's field equations. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 2002, 19, 505-514.	4.0	8
56	Adiabatic Models of the Cosmological Radiative Era. General Relativity and Gravitation, 2002, 34, 1589-1616.	2.0	4
57	Exact solutions withwmodes. Physical Review D, 2001, 64, .	4.7	10
58	Tolman type VII solution, trapped null orbits, andw-modes. Physical Review D, 2001, 64, .	4.7	17
59	Intrinsic galaxy alignments from the 2SLAQ and SDSS surveys: luminosity and redshift scalings and implications for weak lensing surveys. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 0, 381, 1197-1218.	4.4	210