Mary Dixon-Woods

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5226770/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01

		19636	9334
208	22,315	61	143
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
222	222	222	25051
232	232	232	25051
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Encouraging openness in health care: Policy and practice implications of a mixed-methods study in the English National Health Service. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2023, 28, 14-24.	0.8	3
2	Identifying how GPs spend their time and the obstacles they face: a mixed-methods study. British Journal of General Practice, 2022, 72, e148-e160.	0.7	9
3	Qualitative study of candidacy and access to secondary mental health services during the COVID-19 pandemic. Social Science and Medicine, 2022, 296, 114711.	1.8	22
4	What counts as a voiceable concern in decisions about speaking out in hospitals: A qualitative study. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2022, 27, 88-95.	0.8	5
5	Concordance of Hospital Ranks and Category Ratings Using the Current Technical Specification of US Hospital Star Ratings and Reasonable Alternative Specifications. JAMA Health Forum, 2022, 3, e221006.	1.0	6
6	Visual identifier systems for patients with cognitive impairment in healthcare settings: A survey of practice in <scp>UK</scp> hospitals. International Journal of Older People Nursing, 2022, 17, e12472.	0.6	8
7	Quality framework for remote antenatal care: qualitative study with women, healthcare professionals and system-level stakeholders. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2022, , bmjqs-2021-014329.	1.8	7
8	A mixed-methods study of challenges experienced by clinical teams in measuring improvement. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2021, 30, 106-115.	1.8	23
9	Training in the use of intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring with cardiotocography: systematic review and metaâ€analysis. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, 128, 1408-1419.	1.1	12
10	Seven features of safety in maternity units: a framework based on multisite ethnography and stakeholder consultation. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2021, 30, 444-456.	1.8	27
11	What can data trusts for health research learn from participatory governance in biobanks?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021, , medethics-2020-107020.	1.0	10
12	Characterising and describing postpartum haemorrhage emergency kits in context: a protocol for a mixed-methods study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e044310.	0.8	1
13	Remote care for mental health: qualitative study with service users, carers and staff during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049210.	0.8	77
14	How to specify healthcare process improvements collaboratively using rapid, remote consensus-building: a framework and a case study of its application. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 103.	1.4	5
15	A qualitative study of experiences of NHS mental healthcare workers during the Covid-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry, 2021, 21, 250.	1.1	88
16	Re: Training in the use of intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring with cardiotocography: systematic review and metaâ€analysis. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, 128, 1889-1890.	1.1	1
17	The role of the informal and formal organisation in voice about concerns in healthcare: A qualitative interview study. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 280, 114050.	1.8	11
18	Why do systems for responding to concerns and complaints so often fail patients, families and healthcare staff? A qualitative study. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 287, 114375.	1.8	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Uncovering, creating or constructing problems? Enacting a new role to support staff who raise concerns about quality and safety in the English National Health Service. Health (United Kingdom), 2021, 25, 757-774.	0.9	12
20	Using clinical simulation to study how to improve quality and safety in healthcare. BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning, 2020, 6, 87-94.	0.7	95
21	Revising ethical guidance for the evaluation of programmes and interventions not initiated by researchers. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 26-30.	1.0	12
22	Operational failures and how they influence the work of GPs: a qualitative study in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, e825-e832.	0.7	8
23	Randomised evaluation of government health programmes does present a challenge to standard research ethics frameworks. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 34-35.	1.0	0
24	Clinical negligence costs: taking action to safeguard NHS sustainability. BMJ, The, 2020, 368, m552.	3.0	38
25	Impacts of Operational Failures on Primary Care Physicians' Work: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis of the Literature. Annals of Family Medicine, 2020, 18, 159-168.	0.9	15
26	A qualitative study of design stakeholders' views of developing and implementing a registry-based learning health system. Implementation Science, 2020, 15, 16.	2.5	18
27	A modified Delphi study to identify the features of high quality measurement plans for healthcare improvement projects. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2020, 20, 8.	1.4	32
28	IMproving the practice of intrapartum electronic fetal heart rate MOnitoring with cardiotocography for safer childbirth (the IMMO programme): protocol for a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e030271.	0.8	7
29	How to improve healthcare improvement—an essay by Mary Dixon-Woods. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, I5514.	2.4	119
30	How to be a very safe maternity unit: An ethnographic study. Social Science and Medicine, 2019, 223, 64-72.	1.8	58
31	Senior stakeholder views on policies to foster a culture of openness in the English National Health Service: a qualitative interview study. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2019, 112, 153-159.	1.1	15
32	The problem with composite indicators. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2019, 28, 338-344.	1.8	64
33	How not to waste a crisis: a qualitative study of problem definition and its consequences in three hospitals. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2019, 24, 145-154.	0.8	9
34	Harveian Oration 2018: Improving quality and safety in healthcare. Clinical Medicine, 2019, 19, 47-56.	0.8	20
35	Why is reporting quality improvement so hard? A qualitative study in perioperative care. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e030269.	0.8	17
36	Challenges in making standardisation work in healthcare: lessons from a qualitative interview study of a line-labelling policy in a UK region. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031771.	0.8	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Improving Employee Voice About Transgressive or Disruptive Behavior. Academic Medicine, 2019, 94, 579-585.	0.8	28
38	An ethnographic study of improving data collection and completeness in large-scale data exercises. Wellcome Open Research, 2019, 4, 203.	0.9	9
39	Learning from high risk industries may not be straightforward: a qualitative study of the hierarchy of risk controls approach in healthcare. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2018, 30, 39-43.	0.9	30
40	Making soft intelligence hard: a multi-site qualitative study of challenges relating to voice about safety concerns. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2018, 27, 710-717.	1.8	46
41	Taking the heat or taking the temperature? A qualitative study of a large-scale exercise in seeking to measure for improvement, not blame. Social Science and Medicine, 2018, 198, 157-164.	1.8	25
42	Reporting of stepped wedge cluster randomised trials: extension of the CONSORT 2010 statement with explanation and elaboration. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k1614.	2.4	235
43	Coproducing healthcare service improvement for people with common mental health disorders including psychotic experiences: a study protocol of a multiperspective qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e026064.	0.8	0
44	Estimating deaths due to medical error: the ongoing controversy and why it matters: TableÂ1. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2017, 26, bmjqs-2016-006144.	1.8	61
45	The problem with root cause analysis. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2017, 26, bmjqs-2016-005511.	1.8	167
46	A qualitative study of speaking out about patient safety concerns in intensive care units. Social Science and Medicine, 2017, 193, 8-15.	1.8	34
47	A qualitative study of participants' views on re-consent in a longitudinal biobank. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 22.	1.0	15
48	Estimating preventable hospital deaths: the authors reply. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2017, 26, 694-694.	1.8	10
49	Does quality improvement improve quality?. Future Hospital Journal, 2016, 3, 191-194.	0.2	201
50	Patient focused registries can improve health, care, and science. BMJ, The, 2016, 354, i3319.	3.0	132
51	Can an ethics officer role reduce delays in research ethics approval? A mixed-method evaluation of an improvement project. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011973.	0.8	11
52	How Well Is Quality Improvement Described in the Perioperative Care Literature? A Systematic Review. Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety, 2016, 42, 196-AP10.	0.4	37
53	What is the role of individual accountability in patient safety? A multiâ€site ethnographic study. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2016, 38, 216-232.	1.1	80
54	Multimethod study of a large-scale programme to improve patient safety using a harm-free care approach. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011886.	0.8	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Ethnographic process evaluation of a quality improvement project to improve transitions of care for older people. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010988.	0.8	13
56	Ethnography as a methodological descriptor: the editors' reply. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 555-556.	1.8	6
57	What is the right approach to infection prevention and control for children living at home with invasive devices?. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2016, 93, 89-91.	1.4	4
58	Patient safety and the problem of many hands. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 485-488.	1.8	92
59	Qualitative study of views and experiences of performance management for healthcare-associated infections. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2016, 94, 41-47.	1.4	34
60	Challenges, solutions and future directions in the evaluation of service innovations in health care and public health. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2016, 4, 1-136.	1.4	83
61	Consent revisited: the impact of return of results on participants' views and expectations about trial participation. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 2042-2053.	1.1	13
62	The social licence for research: why <i>care.data</i> ran into trouble. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2015, 41, 404-409.	1.0	260
63	Republished: How to study improvement interventions: a brief overview of possible study types. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 2015, 91, 343-354.	0.9	36
64	How to study improvement interventions: a brief overview of possible study types: TableÂ1. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2015, 24, 325-336.	1.8	210
65	Demystifying theory and its use in improvement. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2015, 24, 228-238.	1.8	528
66	What to expect when you're evaluating healthcare improvement: a concordat approach to managing collaboration and uncomfortable realities. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2015, 24, 318-324.	1.8	32
67	Beyond metrics? Utilizing †̃soft intelligence' for healthcare quality and safety. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 142, 19-26.	1.8	127
68	Why is patient safety so hard in low-income countries? A qualitative study of healthcare workers' views in two African hospitals. Globalization and Health, 2015, 11, 6.	2.4	62
69	Professionalism Redundant, Reshaped, or Reinvigorated? Realizing the "Third Logic―in Contemporary Health Care. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 2015, 56, 378-397.	2.7	64
70	How collaborative are quality improvement collaboratives: a qualitative study in stroke care. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 32.	2.5	46
71	Describing methods and interventions: a protocol for the systematic analysis of the perioperative quality improvement literature. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 98.	2.5	11
72	After Mid Staffordshire: from acknowledgement, through learning, to improvement. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2014, 23, 706-708.	1.8	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The friends and family test: a qualitative study of concerns that influence the willingness of English National Health Service staff to recommend their organisation. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2014, 107, 318-325.	1.1	6

Can we tell whether hospital care is safe?. British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England:) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT/Overlock 10 Tf 50 7

75	Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g1687-g1687.	3.0	5,661
76	Culture and behaviour in the English National Health Service: overview of lessons from a large multimethod study. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2014, 23, 106-115.	1.8	318
77	Primary care quality and safety systems in the English National Health Service: a case study of a new type of primary care provider. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2014, 19, 34-41.	0.8	9
78	Did a quality improvement collaborative make stroke care better? A cluster randomized trial. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 40.	2.5	56
79	Walkrounds in Practice: Corrupting or Enhancing a Quality Improvement Intervention? A Qualitative Study. Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety, 2014, 40, 303-310.	0.4	20
80	Keystone, Matching Michigan, and Bacteremia Zero. Critical Care Medicine, 2014, 42, e383-e384.	0.4	5
81	Explaining Matching Michigan: an ethnographic study of a patient safety program. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 70.	2.5	221
82	Promotion of improvement as a science. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, 419-421.	6.3	152
83	Provision of cancer information as a "support for navigating the knowledge landscapeâ€+ Findings from a critical interpretive literature synthesis. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2013, 17, 360-369.	0.9	33
84	Between surveillance and subjectification: Professionals and the governance of quality and patient safety in English hospitals. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 99, 80-88.	1.8	39
85	â€~Bad apples': time to redefine as a type of systems problem?. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2013, 22, 528-531.	1.8	37
86	A qualitative study comparing experiences of the surgical safety checklist in hospitals in high-income and low-income countries. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003039.	0.8	101
87	Frameworks for change in healthcare organisations: A formative evaluation of the NHS Change Model. Health Services Management Research, 2013, 26, 65-75.	1.0	19
88	Optimizing patient involvement in quality improvement. Health Expectations, 2013, 16, e36-47.	1.1	155
89	Improving Quality and Safety of Care Using "Technovigilance†An Ethnographic Case Study of Secondary Use of Data from an Electronic Prescribing and Decision Support System. Milbank Quarterly, 2013, 91, 424-454.	2.1	45
90	â€~New' and distributed leadership in quality and safety in health care, or â€~old' and hierarchical? An interview study with strategic stakeholders. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2013, 18, 11-19.	0.8	45

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Unblinding following trial participation: Qualitative study of participants' perspectives. Clinical Trials, 2013, 10, 97-103.	0.7	7

Using routine data to improve patient safety. British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England:) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf

93	When Counting Central Line Infections Counts. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, 2013, 34, 555-557.	1.0	7
94	Reciprocal peer review for quality improvement: an ethnographic case study of the Improving Lung Cancer Outcomes Project. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2012, 21, 1034-1041.	1.8	24
95	Consent to tissue banking for research: qualitative study and recommendations. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2012, 97, 632-636.	1.0	8
96	The role of primary care professionals in women's experiences of cervical cancer screening: a qualitative study. Family Practice, 2012, 29, 462-466.	0.8	22
97	Quality improvement through clinical communities: eight lessons for practice. Journal of Health Organization and Management, 2012, 26, 158-174.	0.6	110
98	Ten challenges in improving quality in healthcare: lessons from the Health Foundation's programme evaluations and relevant literature: Table 1. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2012, 21, 876-884.	1.8	439
99	What Counts? An Ethnographic Study of Infection Data Reported to a Patient Safety Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2012, 90, 548-591.	2.1	108
100	Do informed consent documents for cancer trials do what they should? A study of manifest and latent functions. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2012, 34, 1230-1245.	1.1	27
101	Defending Rights or Defending Privileges?. Public Management Review, 2011, 13, 257-272.	3.4	5
101 102	Defending Rights or Defending Privileges?. Public Management Review, 2011, 13, 257-272. Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 167-205.	3.4 2.1	5 507
	Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank		
102	Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 167-205. Why is UK medicine no longer a self-regulating profession? The role of scandals involving "bad apple―	2.1	507
102 103	 Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 167-205. Why is UK medicine no longer a self-regulating profession? The role of scandals involving "bad apple― doctors. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 73, 1452-1459. Using framework-based synthesis for conducting reviews of qualitative studies. BMC Medicine, 2011, 9, 	2.1 1.8	507 146
102 103 104	 Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 167-205. Why is UK medicine no longer a self-regulating profession? The role of scandals involving "bad apple― doctors. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 73, 1452-1459. Using framework-based synthesis for conducting reviews of qualitative studies. BMC Medicine, 2011, 9, 39. Problems and promises of innovation: why healthcare needs to rethink its love/hate relationship with 	2.1 1.8 2.3	507 146 287
102 103 104 105	 Explaining Michigan: Developing an Ex Post Theory of a Quality Improvement Program. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 167-205. Why is UK medicine no longer a self-regulating profession? The role of scandals involving "bad apple― doctors. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 73, 1452-1459. Using framework-based synthesis for conducting reviews of qualitative studies. BMC Medicine, 2011, 9, 39. Problems and promises of innovation: why healthcare needs to rethink its love/hate relationship with the new. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2011, 20, i47-i51. Large scale organisational intervention to improve patient safety in four UK hospitals: mixed method 	2.1 1.8 2.3 1.8	507 146 287 78

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	A qualitative study of families of a child with a nut allergy. Chronic Illness, 2011, 7, 255-266.	0.6	47
110	Can an electronic prescribing system detect doctors who are more likely to make a serious prescribing error?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2011, 104, 208-218.	1.1	19
111	Learning through observation: the role of ethnography in improving critical care. Current Opinion in Critical Care, 2010, 16, 639-642.	1.6	28
112	Design-based regulation and patient safety: A regulatory studies perspective. Social Science and Medicine, 2010, 71, 502-509.	1.8	44
113	What do research ethics committees say about applications to conduct research involving children?. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2010, 95, 915-917.	1.0	15
114	How will it work? A qualitative study of strategic stakeholders' accounts of a patient safety initiative. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2010, 19, 74-78.	2.5	13
115	Women's views and experiences of a patient preference trial in surgery: a qualitative study of the CARPET1 trial. Clinical Trials, 2010, 7, 696-704.	0.7	26
116	Regulating research, regulating professionals. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2010, 103, 125-126.	1.1	4
117	Response to Kennedy and Farthing. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2010, 103, 214-214.	1.1	0
118	Continuity and Trust in Primary Care: A Qualitative Study Informed by Game Theory. Annals of Family Medicine, 2010, 8, 440-446.	0.9	72
119	Why is Patient Safety so Hard? A Selective Review of Ethnographic Studies. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2010, 15, 11-16.	0.8	109
120	Modernising medical regulation: where are we now?. Journal of Health Organization and Management, 2010, 24, 540-555.	0.6	33
121	Still awaiting screening facts. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 341, c6152-c6152.	2.4	17
122	Subject positions in research ethics committee letters: a discursive analysis. Clinical Ethics, 2009, 4, 187-194.	0.5	3
123	Research involving storage and use of human tissue: how did the Human Tissue Act 2004 affect decisions by research ethics committees?. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2009, 62, 825-829.	1.0	7
124	Do research ethics committees identify process errors in applications for ethical approval?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2009, 35, 130-132.	1.0	25
125	Research involving adults who lack capacity: how have research ethics committees interpreted the requirements?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2009, 35, 377-381.	1.0	27
126	Why do people cooperate with medical research? Findings from three studies. Social Science and Medicine, 2009, 68, 2215-2222.	1.8	64

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	An ethnographic study of classifying and accounting for risk at the sharp end of medical wards. Social Science and Medicine, 2009, 69, 362-369.	1.8	85
128	Seeking consent to tissue banking: a survey of health professionals in childhood cancer. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2009, 18, 391-400.	0.7	10
129	Doing accountability: a discourse analysis of research ethics committee letters. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2009, 31, 246-261.	1.1	79
130	Reality check for checklists. Lancet, The, 2009, 374, 444-445.	6.3	386
131	Regulation and the social licence for medical research. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2008, 11, 381-391.	0.9	55
132	Improving recruitment to clinical trials for cancer in childhood. Lancet Oncology, The, 2008, 9, 392-399.	5.1	50
133	What do research ethics committees say about applications to do cancer trials?. Lancet Oncology, The, 2008, 9, 700-701.	5.1	12
134	Tissue Samples as â€~Gifts' for Research: A Qualitative Study of Families and Professionals. Medical Law International, 2008, 9, 131-150.	0.4	26
135	Human Tissue and â€~the Public': The Case of Childhood Cancer Tumour Banking. BioSocieties, 2008, 3, 57-80.	0.8	35
136	Whose Interest? British Newspaper Reporting of use of Medical Records for Research. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2008, 13, 140-145.	0.8	6
137	Education and self-management for people newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study of patients' views. Chronic Illness, 2008, 4, 28-37.	0.6	64
138	Style Matters: An Analysis of 100 Research Ethics Committee Decision Letters. Research Ethics, 2008, 4, 101-105.	0.8	1
139	An analysis of decision letters by research ethics committees: the ethics/scientific quality boundary examined. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2008, 17, 131-136.	2.5	54
140	ls 'inconsistency' in research ethics committee decision-making really a problem? An empirical investigation and reflection. Clinical Ethics, 2007, 2, 92-99.	0.5	28
141	Gender and access to healthcare in the UK: a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature. Evidence and Policy, 2007, 3, 463-486.	0.5	33
142	Interpreting change: the role of qualitative research in evaluating interventions in chronic respiratory disease. Chronic Respiratory Disease, 2007, 4, 127-128.	1.0	4
143	Towards a new era of tissue-based diagnosis and research. Chronic Illness, 2007, 3, 301-309.	0.6	11
144	Appraising qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a quantitative and qualitative comparison of three methods. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2007, 12, 42-47.	0.8	410

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Qualitative research on breastfeeding in the UK: a narrative review and methodological reflection. Evidence and Policy, 2007, 3, 197-230.	0.5	9
146	Consent for childhood cancer tissue banking in the UK: the effect of the Human Tissue Act 2004. Lancet Oncology, The, 2007, 8, 266-272.	5.1	17
147	A qualitative study of the healthâ€related quality of life of disabled children. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 2007, 49, 660-665.	1.1	50
148	Referral and attendance at a specialist antenatal clinic: a qualitative study of women's views. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2007, 114, 375-375.	1.1	4
149	Written work: The social functions of Research Ethics Committee letters. Social Science and Medicine, 2007, 65, 792-802.	1.8	49
150	Beyond "misunderstanding― Written information and decisions about taking part in a genetic epidemiology study. Social Science and Medicine, 2007, 65, 2212-2222.	1.8	120
151	Breaking the ceremonial order: patients' and doctors' accounts of removal from a general practitioner's list. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2006, 28, 611-636.	1.1	22
152	Practices and views on fetal heart monitoring: a structured observation and interview study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2006, 113, 409-418.	1.1	38
153	Referral and attendance at a specialist antenatal clinic: qualitative study of women's views. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2006, 113, 909-913.	1.1	10
154	Why do women consent to surgery, even when they do not want to? An interactionist and Bourdieusian analysis. Social Science and Medicine, 2006, 62, 2742-2753.	1.8	82
155	Conducting a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature on access to healthcare by vulnerable groups. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2006, 6, 35.	1.4	1,217
156	Patients' perceptions of written consent: questionnaire study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 333, 528.	2.4	98
157	Consistency in decision making by research ethics committees: a controlled comparison. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2006, 32, 662-664.	1.0	41
158	Why women consent to surgery, even when they don't want to: a qualitative study. Clinical Ethics, 2006, 1, 153-158.	0.5	7
159	Getting women to hospital is not enough: a qualitative study of access to emergency obstetric care in Bangladesh. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2006, 15, 214-219.	2.5	38
160	Researching chronic childhood illness: the example of childhood cancer. Chronic Illness, 2006, 2, 165-177.	0.6	28
161	Why is compliance with occlusion therapy for amblyopia so hard? A qualitative study. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2006, 91, 491-494.	1.0	83
162	Commodification of Body Parts: By Medicine or by Media?. Body and Society, 2006, 12, 25-42.	0.3	40

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Participating in a trial in a critical situation: a qualitative study in pregnancy. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2006, 15, 98-101.	2.5	63
164	Receiving a summary of the results of a trial: qualitative study of participants' views. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 332, 206-210.	2.4	95
165	How can systematic reviews incorporate qualitative research? A critical perspective. Qualitative Research, 2006, 6, 27-44.	2.2	603
166	Researching chronic childhood illness: the example of childhood cancer. Chronic Illness, 2006, 2, 165-177.	0.6	8
167	Synthesising qualitative and quantitative evidence: A review of possible methods. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2005, 10, 45-53.	0.8	1,270
168	Factors influencing repeat caesarean section: qualitative exploratory study of obstetricians' and midwives' accounts. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2005, 112, 1054-1060.	1.1	45
169	A qualitative study of choosing and using an NHS Walk-in Centre. Family Practice, 2005, 22, 269-274.	0.8	19
170	Effect of media portrayals of removal of children's tissue on UK tumour bank. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2005, 331, 401-403.	2.4	15
171	Synthesising qualitative and quantitative evidence: a review of possible methods. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2005, 10, 45-53.	0.8	511
172	Women's accounts of consenting to surgery: is consent a quality problem?. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2004, 13, 422-427.	2.5	39
173	Organising services for influenza vaccination for older people. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2004, 9, 85-90.	0.8	11
174	The problem of appraising qualitative research. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2004, 13, 223-225.	2.5	460
175	Ending the doctor-patient relationship in general practice: a proposed model. Family Practice, 2004, 21, 507-514.	0.8	17
176	Informed consent for elective and emergency surgery: questionnaire study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2004, 111, 1133-1138.	1.1	91
177	What do they know?: a content analysis of women's perceptions of trial information. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2004, 111, 1341-1345.	1.1	13
178	Finding qualitative research: an evaluation of search strategies. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2004, 4, 5.	1.4	212
179	Representing childhood cancer: accounts from newspapers and parents. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2003, 25, 143-164.	1.1	30
180	What can ethnography do for quality and safety in health care?. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2003, 12, 326-327.	2.5	78

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Managing communication with young people who have a potentially life threatening chronic illness: qualitative study of patients and parents. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 305-305.	2.4	249
182	Breaking up is never easy: GPs' accounts of removing patients from their lists. Family Practice, 2003, 20, 628-634.	0.8	12
183	Patients' accounts of being removed from their general practitioner's list: qualitative study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 1316-1316.	2.4	17
184	Effect of NHS walk-in centre on local primary healthcare services: before and after observational study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 530-530.	2.4	30
185	Identity and role in parenting a child with cancer. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 2002, 5, 209-214.	1.1	37
186	Parents' accounts of wheeze and asthma related symptoms: a qualitative study. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2002, 87, 131-134.	1.0	46
187	Recruitment of women into trials. Lancet, The, 2002, 359, 164-165.	6.3	17
188	Factors affecting uptake of childhood immunisation: a Bayesian synthesis of qualitative and quantitative evidence. Lancet, The, 2002, 360, 1596-1599.	6.3	137
189	Parenting in a crisis: conceptualising mothers of children with cancer. Social Science and Medicine, 2002, 55, 1835-1847.	1.8	222
190	Lay evaluation of services for childhood asthma. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2002, 10, 503-511.	0.7	23
191	Teaching and learning about human sexuality in undergraduate medical education. Medical Education, 2002, 36, 432-440.	1.1	51
192	Childhood cancer and users' views: a critical perspective. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2002, 11, 173-177.	0.7	17
193	Participating in primary care research. British Journal of General Practice, 2002, 52, 971-2.	0.7	4
194	Parents' accounts of obtaining a diagnosis of childhood cancer. Lancet, The, 2001, 357, 670-674.	6.3	142
195	Screening for breast cancer with mammography. Lancet, The, 2001, 358, 2166-2167.	6.3	18
196	Qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2001, 323, 765-766.	2.4	189
197	Including qualitative research in systematic reviews: opportunities and problems. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2001, 7, 125-133.	0.9	222
198	Writing wrongs? An analysis of published discourses about the use of patient information leaflets. Social Science and Medicine, 2001, 52, 1417-1432.	1.8	196

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Choosing and using services for sexual health: a qualitative study of women's views. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2001, 77, 335-339.	0.8	63
200	Written information for treating minor illness. Authors did not consider patients' view of information they received. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2001, 323, 516-7.	2.4	1
201	Medical and lay views of irritable bowel syndrome. Family Practice, 2000, 17, 108-113.	0.8	100
202	The production of printed consumer health information: order from chaos?. Health Education Journal, 2000, 59, 108-115.	0.6	4
203	Partnerships with children. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1999, 319, 778-780.	2.4	66
204	Patient removals from GPs' lists. British Journal of General Practice, 1999, 49, 144.	0.7	3
205	Dissemination of printed information for patients: a qualitative study of general practices. Health Education Journal, 1998, 57, 16-30.	0.6	7
206	Developing, validating and consolidating the doctor-patient relationship. British Journal of General Practice, 1998, 48, 1788-9.	0.7	1
207	Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. , 0, .		1
208	Design-Based Regulation and Patient Safety: A Regulatory Studies Perspective. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1