

# Lies Notebaert

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5195613/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

48  
papers

1,301  
citations

430874

18  
h-index

377865

34  
g-index

49  
all docs

49  
docs citations

49  
times ranked

1353  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Gamification of cognitive bias modification for interpretations in anxiety increases training engagement and enjoyment. <i>Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</i> , 2022, 76, 101727.	1.2	12
2	Chronic Pain, Insomnia and their Mutual Maintenance: A Call for Cognitive Bias Research. <i>Journal of Pain</i> , 2022, 23, 1530-1542.	1.4	7
3	For there is nothing either good or bad: a study of the mediating effect of interpretation bias on the association between mindfulness and reduced post-traumatic stress vulnerability. <i>BMC Psychiatry</i> , 2022, 22, 329.	2.6	1
4	Emotion generation and emotion regulation: The role of emotion beliefs. <i>Journal of Affective Disorders Reports</i> , 2022, 9, 100351.	1.7	3
5	Emotion-in-Motion: An ABM Approach that Modifies Attentional Disengagement from, Rather than Attentional Engagement with, Negative Information. <i>Cognitive Therapy and Research</i> , 2021, 45, 90-98.	1.9	6
6	Frontal tDCS and Emotional Reactivity to Negative Content: Examining the Roles of Biased Interpretation and Emotion Regulation. <i>Cognitive Therapy and Research</i> , 2021, 45, 19-30.	1.9	5
7	The relationship between worry and attentional bias to threat cues signalling controllable and uncontrollable dangers. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0251350.	2.5	6
8	Attentional processes and contamination-related intrusion distress. <i>Behaviour Research and Therapy</i> , 2021, 140, 103833.	3.1	3
9	Trait anxiety and the alignment of attentional bias with controllability of danger. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2020, 84, 743-756.	1.7	15
10	tDCS increases anxiety reactivity to intentional worry. <i>Journal of Psychiatric Research</i> , 2020, 120, 34-39.	3.1	14
11	The effect of varying danger controllability on attention to threat messages. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2020, 34, 425-433.	1.6	4
12	The effects of left DLPFC tDCS on emotion regulation, biased attention, and emotional reactivity to negative content. <i>Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 20, 1323-1335.	2.0	29
13	Effects of cognitive load during interpretation bias modification on interpretation bias and stress reactivity. <i>Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</i> , 2020, 68, 101561.	1.2	11
14	Effects of interpretation bias modification on unregulated and regulated emotional reactivity. <i>Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</i> , 2019, 64, 123-132.	1.2	7
15	The effects of attentional bias modification on emotion regulation. <i>Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</i> , 2019, 62, 38-48.	1.2	21
16	Investigating the Effects of Inhibition Training on Attentional Bias Change: A Simple Bayesian Approach. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 9, 2782.	2.1	2
17	Anxiety-Linked Attentional Bias: Is It Reliable?. <i>Annual Review of Clinical Psychology</i> , 2019, 15, 529-554.	12.3	85
18	Trait Anxiety and Biased Prospective Memory for Targets Associated with Negative Future Events. <i>Cognitive Therapy and Research</i> , 2019, 43, 550-560.	1.9	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Authors'™ reply. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2018, 212, 246-247.	2.8	1
20	Can training change attentional breadth? Failure to find transfer effects. Psychological Research, 2018, 82, 520-534.	1.7	2
21	Emotion-in-Motion, a Novel Approach for the Modification of Attentional Bias: An Experimental Proof-of-Concept Study. JMIR Serious Games, 2018, 6, e10993.	3.1	22
22	Task relevance of emotional information affects anxiety-linked attention bias in visual search. Biological Psychology, 2017, 122, 13-20.	2.2	40
23	Prediction of pre-exam state anxiety from ruminative disposition: The mediating role of impaired attentional disengagement from negative information. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2017, 91, 102-110.	3.1	11
24	Attention bias modification training under working memory load increases the magnitude of change in attentional bias. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 2017, 57, 25-31.	1.2	14
25	When a Bad Bias Can Be Good: Anxiety-Linked Attentional Bias to Threat in Contexts Where Dangers Can Be Avoided. Clinical Psychological Science, 2017, 5, 485-496.	4.0	11
26	Individuals with clinically significant insomnia symptoms are characterised by a negative sleep-related expectancy bias: Results from a cognitive-experimental assessment. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2017, 95, 71-78.	3.1	5
27	Attentional bias mediates the effect of neurostimulation on emotional vulnerability. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 2017, 93, 12-19.	3.1	26
28	Confusing procedures with process when appraising the impact of cognitive bias modification on emotional vulnerability. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2017, 211, 266-271.	2.8	140
29	Attentional control predicts change in bias in response to attentional bias modification. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2017, 99, 47-56.	3.1	31
30	It's all about Control: Memory Bias in Anxiety is Restricted to Threat Cues that Signal Controllable Danger. Journal of Experimental Psychopathology, 2016, 7, 190-204.	0.8	6
31	Assessing the Therapeutic Potential of Targeted Attentional Bias Modification for Insomnia Using Smartphone Delivery. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2016, 85, 187-189.	8.8	35
32	To risk or not to risk: Anxiety and the calibration between risk perception and danger mitigation.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2016, 42, 985-995.	0.9	12
33	Does attentional bias to threat ameliorate or exacerbate the detrimental effect of trait anxiety on behavioural preparedness for real-world danger?. Australian Journal of Psychology, 2016, 68, 166-177.	2.8	10
34	The Potential Benefits of Targeted Attentional Bias Modification on Cognitive Arousal and Sleep Quality in Worry-Related Sleep Disturbance. Clinical Psychological Science, 2016, 4, 1015-1027.	4.0	19
35	Validation of a novel attentional bias modification task: The future may be in the cards. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2015, 65, 93-100.	3.1	41
36	Simply Imagining Sunshine, Lollipops and Rainbows Will Not Budge the Bias: The Role of Ambiguity in Interpretive Bias Modification. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 2014, 38, 120-131.	1.9	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Absence of evidence or evidence of absence: reflecting on therapeutic implementations of attentional bias modification. BMC Psychiatry, 2014, 14, 8.	2.6	146
38	The Causal Role of the Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex in the Modification of Attentional Bias: Evidence from Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation. Biological Psychiatry, 2014, 76, 946-952.	1.3	152
39	When We Should Worry More: Using Cognitive Bias Modification to Drive Adaptive Health Behaviour. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e85092.	2.5	9
40	Attentional prioritisation of threatening information: Examining the role of the size of the attentional window. Cognition and Emotion, 2013, 27, 621-631.	2.0	12
41	Pixelating Familiar People in the Media: Should Masking Be Taken at Face Value?. Psychologica Belgica, 2013, 47, 261.	1.9	11
42	Parental attention to their child's pain is modulated by threat-value of pain.. Health Psychology, 2012, 31, 623-631.	1.6	25
43	Signals of threat do not capture, but prioritize, attention: A conditioning approach.. Emotion, 2011, 11, 81-89.	1.8	91
44	Attempts to control pain prioritize attention towards signals of pain: An experimental study. Pain, 2011, 152, 1068-1073.	4.2	37
45	Looking out for danger: An attentional bias towards spatially predictable threatening stimuli. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2010, 48, 1150-1154.	3.1	25
46	Lexical Access Problems Lead to Disfluencies in Speech. Experimental Psychology, 2010, 57, 169-177.	0.7	52
47	Attentional bias to threat: A perceptual accuracy approach.. Emotion, 2008, 8, 820-827.	1.8	59
48	Western Australia's Local Government Act 25 years on and under review: A qualitative study of local government Chief Executive Officers. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 0, , .	1.7	2