
Laura L Bix

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5162239/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



ΙλιφλΙΒιγ

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Identifying over-the-counter information to prioritize for the purpose of reducing adverse drug reactions in older adults: A national survey of pharmacists. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA, 2022, 62, 167-175.e1.	1.5	2
2	Gripping strategies employed by young children aged 3–5 years when interacting with child-resistant push and turn closures used by pharmacies in the United States. Applied Ergonomics, 2021, 92, 103349.	3.1	1
3	Using change detection to objectively evaluate whether novel over-the-counter drug labels can increase attention to critical health information among older adults. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2021, 6, 40.	2.0	1
4	Paramedic interactions with the packaging of medications and medical supplies: Poor package design has the potential to impact patient outcomes. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0255099.	2.5	1
5	The Role of Dispensing Device and Label Warnings on Dosing for Sunscreen Application: A Randomized Trial. Health Education and Behavior, 2020, 47, 143-152.	2.5	1
6	Empirical evaluation of the presence of a label containing standard drinks on pour accuracy among US college students. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0241583.	2.5	0
7	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
8	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
9	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
10	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
11	Munchy Monster: Using video gaming to objectively evaluate frontâ€ofâ€pack labelling strategies for schoolâ€aged children. Packaging Technology and Science, 2019, 32, 395-404.	2.8	1
12	Investigating the efficacy of an interactive warning for use in labeling strategies used by us pharmacies. Pharmacy Practice, 2019, 17, 1463.	1.5	1
13	A method for quantifying key components of the opening process for opening pouch-style packages containing medical devices. Applied Ergonomics, 2019, 76, 97-104.	3.1	2
14	Reducing levels of medical device contamination through package redesign and opening technique. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0206892.	2.5	5
15	Chasing red herrings: Can visual distracters extend the time children take to open child resistant vials?. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0207738.	2.5	1
16	Do Healthcare Professionals Comprehend Standardized Symbols Present on Medical Device Packaging?: An Important Factor in the Fight Over Label Space. Packaging Technology and Science, 2017, 30, 61-73.	2.8	5
17	Evaluating Varied Label Designs for Use with Medical Devices: Optimized Labels Outperform Existing Labels in the Correct Selection of Devices and Time to Select. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0165002.	2.5	4
18	Note: An Affordance-Based Methodology for Package Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2016, 29, 612-612.	2.8	0

Laura L Bix

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Assessing attentional prioritization of front-of-pack nutrition labels using change detection. Applied Ergonomics, 2016, 54, 90-99.	3.1	16
20	Tallman lettering as a strategy for differentiation in look-alike, sound-alike drug names: The role of familiarity in differentiating drugÂdoppelgangers. Applied Ergonomics, 2016, 52, 77-84.	3.1	19
21	An Affordanceâ€Based Methodology for Package Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2015, 28, 157-171.	2.8	28
22	Front of pack labels enhance attention to nutrition information in novel and commercial brands. Food Policy, 2015, 56, 76-86.	6.0	94
23	Mapping kinematic functional abilities of the hand to three dimensional shapes for inclusive design. Journal of Biomechanics, 2015, 48, 2903-2910.	2.1	6
24	To See or Not to See: Do Front of Pack Nutrition Labels Affect Attention to Overall Nutrition Information?. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0139732.	2.5	35
25	Promoting Safe and Effective Use of OTC Medications: CHPA-GSA National Summit. Gerontologist, The, 2014, 54, 909-918.	3.9	39
26	Determining Functional Finger Capabilities of Healthy Adults: Comparing Experimental Data to a Biomechanical Model. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, 2014, 136, 021022.	1.3	15
27	The Role of Packaging Size on Contamination Rates during Simulated Presentation to a Sterile Field. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e100414.	2.5	8
28	Differences in the Kinematics of Restrained and Unrestrained Conditions of Opening for Two Sizes of Glass Jar. Packaging Technology and Science, 2013, 26, 105-113.	2.8	4
29	The Effect of Colour Contrast on Consumers' Attentive Behaviours and Perception of Fresh Produce. Packaging Technology and Science, 2013, 26, 96-104.	2.8	13
30	Consumer Attention to an Overâ€theâ€counter Warning in Four Different Styles of Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2012, 25, 385-396.	2.8	5
31	Quantifying Age-Related Differences in Information Processing Behaviors When Viewing Prescription Drug Labels. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e38819.	2.5	27
32	How wide do you want the jar?: the effect on diameter for ease of opening for wideâ€mouth closures. Packaging Technology and Science, 2010, 23, 11-18.	2.8	17
33	The use of change detection as a method of objectively evaluating labels. Packaging Technology and Science, 2010, 23, 393-401.	2.8	4
34	Examining the conspicuousness and prominence of two required warnings on OTC pain relievers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2009, 106, 6550-6555.	7.1	34
35	Is the test of senior friendly/child resistant packaging ethical?. Health Expectations, 2009, 12, 430-437.	2.6	15
36	Interrater Reliability of Students Using Hand and Pinch Dynamometers. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 2009, 63, 193-197.	0.3	47

Laura L Bix

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	WAKE UP! The effectiveness of a student response system in large packaging classes. Packaging Technology and Science, 2007, 20, 183-195.	2.8	20
38	A New Methodology for Whole-Package Microbial Challenge Testing for Medical Device Trays. Journal of Testing and Evaluation, 2007, 35, 373-380.	0.7	2
39	Using scanning electron, confocal and optical microscopes to measure microscopic holes in trays. Packaging Technology and Science, 2005, 18, 311-320.	2.8	7
40	Retailers' tagging practices: a potential liability?. Packaging Technology and Science, 2004, 17, 3-11.	2.8	5
41	ls x-height a better indicator of legibility than type size for drug labels?. Packaging Technology and Science, 2003, 16, 199-207.	2.8	9
42	Testing the FDA's Mandate for Over-the-Counter Medication Labels. Journal of Pharmaceutical Marketing and Management, 2003, 15, 17-36.	0.1	1
43	Testing the FDA's Mandate for Over-the-Counter Medication Labels. Journal of Pharmaceutical Marketing and Management, 2003, 15, 17-36.	0.1	0