Laura L Bix

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5162239/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 677142 43 495 13 22 citations h-index g-index papers 43 43 43 589 citing authors all docs docs citations times ranked

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Front of pack labels enhance attention to nutrition information in novel and commercial brands. Food Policy, 2015, 56, 76-86.	6.0	94
2	Interrater Reliability of Students Using Hand and Pinch Dynamometers. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 2009, 63, 193-197.	0.3	47
3	Promoting Safe and Effective Use of OTC Medications: CHPA-GSA National Summit. Gerontologist, The, 2014, 54, 909-918.	3.9	39
4	To See or Not to See: Do Front of Pack Nutrition Labels Affect Attention to Overall Nutrition Information?. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0139732.	2.5	35
5	Examining the conspicuousness and prominence of two required warnings on OTC pain relievers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2009, 106, 6550-6555.	7.1	34
6	An Affordanceâ€Based Methodology for Package Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2015, 28, 157-171.	2.8	28
7	Quantifying Age-Related Differences in Information Processing Behaviors When Viewing Prescription Drug Labels. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e38819.	2.5	27
8	WAKE UP! The effectiveness of a student response system in large packaging classes. Packaging Technology and Science, 2007, 20, 183-195.	2.8	20
9	Tallman lettering as a strategy for differentiation in look-alike, sound-alike drug names: The role of familiarity in differentiating drugAdoppelgangers. Applied Ergonomics, 2016, 52, 77-84.	3.1	19
10	How wide do you want the jar?: the effect on diameter for ease of opening for wideâ€mouth closures. Packaging Technology and Science, 2010, 23, 11-18.	2.8	17
11	Assessing attentional prioritization of front-of-pack nutrition labels using change detection. Applied Ergonomics, 2016, 54, 90-99.	3.1	16
12	Is the test of senior friendly/child resistant packaging ethical?. Health Expectations, 2009, 12, 430-437.	2.6	15
13	Determining Functional Finger Capabilities of Healthy Adults: Comparing Experimental Data to a Biomechanical Model. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, 2014, 136, 021022.	1.3	15
14	The Effect of Colour Contrast on Consumers' Attentive Behaviours and Perception of Fresh Produce. Packaging Technology and Science, 2013, 26, 96-104.	2.8	13
15	Is x-height a better indicator of legibility than type size for drug labels?. Packaging Technology and Science, 2003, 16, 199-207.	2.8	9
16	The Role of Packaging Size on Contamination Rates during Simulated Presentation to a Sterile Field. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e100414.	2.5	8
17	Using scanning electron, confocal and optical microscopes to measure microscopic holes in trays. Packaging Technology and Science, 2005, 18, 311-320.	2.8	7
18	Mapping kinematic functional abilities of the hand to three dimensional shapes for inclusive design. Journal of Biomechanics, 2015, 48, 2903-2910.	2.1	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Retailers' tagging practices: a potential liability?. Packaging Technology and Science, 2004, 17, 3-11.	2.8	5
20	Consumer Attention to an Overâ€theâ€counter Warning in Four Different Styles of Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2012, 25, 385-396.	2.8	5
21	Do Healthcare Professionals Comprehend Standardized Symbols Present on Medical Device Packaging?: An Important Factor in the Fight Over Label Space. Packaging Technology and Science, 2017, 30, 61-73.	2.8	5
22	Reducing levels of medical device contamination through package redesign and opening technique. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0206892.	2.5	5
23	The use of change detection as a method of objectively evaluating labels. Packaging Technology and Science, 2010, 23, 393-401.	2.8	4
24	Differences in the Kinematics of Restrained and Unrestrained Conditions of Opening for Two Sizes of Glass Jar. Packaging Technology and Science, 2013, 26, 105-113.	2.8	4
25	Evaluating Varied Label Designs for Use with Medical Devices: Optimized Labels Outperform Existing Labels in the Correct Selection of Devices and Time to Select. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0165002.	2.5	4
26	A method for quantifying key components of the opening process for opening pouch-style packages containing medical devices. Applied Ergonomics, 2019, 76, 97-104.	3.1	2
27	Identifying over-the-counter information to prioritize for the purpose of reducing adverse drug reactions in older adults: A national survey of pharmacists. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA, 2022, 62, 167-175.e1.	1.5	2
28	A New Methodology for Whole-Package Microbial Challenge Testing for Medical Device Trays. Journal of Testing and Evaluation, 2007, 35, 373-380.	0.7	2
29	Testing the FDA's Mandate for Over-the-Counter Medication Labels. Journal of Pharmaceutical Marketing and Management, 2003, 15, 17-36.	0.1	1
30	Chasing red herrings: Can visual distracters extend the time children take to open child resistant vials?. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0207738.	2.5	1
31	Munchy Monster: Using video gaming to objectively evaluate frontâ€ofâ€pack labelling strategies for schoolâ€aged children. Packaging Technology and Science, 2019, 32, 395-404.	2.8	1
32	Investigating the efficacy of an interactive warning for use in labeling strategies used by us pharmacies. Pharmacy Practice, 2019, 17, 1463.	1.5	1
33	The Role of Dispensing Device and Label Warnings on Dosing for Sunscreen Application: A Randomized Trial. Health Education and Behavior, 2020, 47, 143-152.	2.5	1
34	Gripping strategies employed by young children aged 3–5 years when interacting with child-resistant push and turn closures used by pharmacies in the United States. Applied Ergonomics, 2021, 92, 103349.	3.1	1
35	Using change detection to objectively evaluate whether novel over-the-counter drug labels can increase attention to critical health information among older adults. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2021, 6, 40.	2.0	1
36	Paramedic interactions with the packaging of medications and medical supplies: Poor package design has the potential to impact patient outcomes. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0255099.	2.5	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Note: An Affordance-Based Methodology for Package Design. Packaging Technology and Science, 2016, 29, 612-612.	2.8	O
38	Empirical evaluation of the presence of a label containing standard drinks on pour accuracy among US college students. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0241583.	2.5	0
39	Testing the FDA's Mandate for Over-the-Counter Medication Labels. Journal of Pharmaceutical Marketing and Management, 2003, 15, 17-36.	0.1	O
40	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
41	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		O
42	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		0
43	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241583.		O