

Gary L Wells

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5135617/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

133
papers

11,392
citations

36203

51
h-index

30010

103
g-index

135
all docs

135
docs citations

135
times ranked

3099
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads.. Law and Human Behavior, 1998, 22, 603-647.	0.6	607
2	Distraction can enhance or reduce yielding to propaganda: Thought disruption versus effort justification.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1976, 34, 874-884.	2.6	521
3	Stimulus Sampling and Social Psychological Experimentation. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 1999, 25, 1115-1125.	1.9	518
4	Improving eyewitness identifications from lineups: Simultaneous versus sequential lineup presentation.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1985, 70, 556-564.	4.2	476
5	The Effects of Over Head Movements on Persuasion: Compatibility and Incompatibility of Responses. Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 1980, 1, 219-230.	1.2	414
6	Applied eyewitness-testimony research: System variables and estimator variables.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1978, 36, 1546-1557.	2.6	387
7	What do we know about eyewitness identification?. American Psychologist, 1993, 48, 553-571.	3.8	366
8	"Good, you identified the suspect": Feedback to eyewitnesses distorts their reports of the witnessing experience.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1998, 83, 360-376.	4.2	333
9	Eyewitness Testimony. Annual Review of Psychology, 2003, 54, 277-295.	9.9	311
10	Mental simulation of causality.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1989, 56, 161-169.	2.6	307
11	Eyewitness Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society, 2006, 7, 45-75.	6.7	301
12	The Relationship Between Eyewitness Confidence and Identification Accuracy: A New Synthesis. Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society, 2017, 18, 10-65.	6.7	285
13	The Psychology of Lineup Identifications1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1984, 14, 89-103.	1.3	250
14	Can people detect eyewitness-identification accuracy within and across situations?. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, 66, 79-89.	4.2	231
15	The confidence-accuracy relationship in eyewitness identification: Effects of lineup instructions, foil similarity, and target-absent base rates.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2006, 12, 11-30.	0.9	228
16	On estimating the diagnosticity of eyewitness nonidentifications.. Psychological Bulletin, 1980, 88, 776-784.	5.5	215
17	Measuring psychological uncertainty: Verbal versus numeric methods.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 1996, 2, 343-364.	0.9	207
18	Naked statistical evidence of liability: Is subjective probability enough?. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1992, 62, 739-752.	2.6	195

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The malleability of eyewitness confidence: Co-witness and perseverance effects.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1994, 79, 714-723.	4.2	194
20	The tractability of eyewitness confidence and its implications for triers of fact.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, 66, 688-696.	4.2	180
21	Eyewitness identification and the selection of distracters for lineups.. Law and Human Behavior, 1991, 15, 43-57.	0.6	178
22	The selection of distractors for eyewitness lineups.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1993, 78, 835-844.	4.2	161
23	Seventy-two tests of the sequential lineup superiority effect: A meta-analysis and policy discussion.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2011, 17, 99-139.	0.9	160
24	Guidelines for empirically assessing the fairness of a lineup.. Law and Human Behavior, 1979, 3, 285-293.	0.6	146
25	Do people use consensus information in making causal attributions?. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1977, 35, 279-293.	2.6	145
26	The undoing of scenarios.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1987, 53, 421-430.	2.6	142
27	What price justice? Exploring the relationship of lineup fairness to identification accuracy.. Law and Human Behavior, 1980, 4, 303-313.	0.6	139
28	Eyewitness identification: The importance of lineup models.. Psychological Bulletin, 1986, 99, 320-329.	5.5	136
29	Counterfactual processing of normal and exceptional events. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 1989, 25, 314-325.	1.3	130
30	The damaging effect of confirming feedback on the relation between eyewitness certainty and identification accuracy.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 2002, 87, 112-120.	4.2	126
31	Policy and procedure recommendations for the collection and preservation of eyewitness identification evidence.. Law and Human Behavior, 2020, 44, 3-36.	0.6	115
32	Memory for faces: Encoding and retrieval operations. Memory and Cognition, 1984, 12, 338-344.	0.9	114
33	How do triers of fact infer the accuracy of eyewitness identifications? Using memory for peripheral detail can be misleading.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, 66, 682-687.	4.2	110
34	Methodological notes on the accuracyâ€“confidence relation in eyewitness identifications.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1985, 70, 413-419.	4.2	110
35	Mock-juror belief of accurate and inaccurate eyewitnesses: A replication and extension.. Law and Human Behavior, 1989, 13, 333-339.	0.6	107
36	Police Lineups as Experiments. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 1990, 16, 106-117.	1.9	96

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Crime seriousness as a determinant of accuracy in eyewitness identification .. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1978, 63, 345-351.	4.2	93
38	Verbal descriptions of faces from memory: Are they diagnostic of identification accuracy?. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1985, 70, 619-626.	4.2	92
39	Effects of expert psychological advice on human performance in judging the validity of eyewitness testimony.. Law and Human Behavior, 1980, 4, 275-285.	0.6	90
40	Effects of Postidentification Feedback on Eyewitness Identification and Nonidentification Confidence.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 2004, 89, 334-346.	4.2	86
41	Suggestive eyewitness identification procedures and the Supreme Court's reliability test in light of eyewitness science: 30 years later.. Law and Human Behavior, 2009, 33, 1-24.	0.6	86
42	What Makes a Good Alibi? A Proposed Taxonomy.. Law and Human Behavior, 2004, 28, 157-176.	0.6	84
43	The alternative-outcomes effect.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1998, 75, 1411-1423.	2.6	82
44	The perceived validity of eyewitness identification testimony: A test of the five Biggers criteria.. Law and Human Behavior, 2000, 24, 581-594.	0.6	80
45	Trait aggressiveness and hockey penalties: Predicting hot tempers on the ice.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1998, 83, 969-974.	4.2	76
46	What can psychology say about the Neil v. Biggers criteria for judging eyewitness accuracy?. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1983, 68, 347-362.	4.2	75
47	The Confidence of Eyewitnesses in Their Identifications From Lineups. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2002, 11, 151-154.	2.8	74
48	The eyewitness post identification feedback effect 15 years later: Theoretical and policy implications.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2014, 20, 1-18.	0.9	72
49	Double-blind photo lineups using actual eyewitnesses: An experimental test of a sequential versus simultaneous lineup procedure.. Law and Human Behavior, 2015, 39, 1-14.	0.6	68
50	Distorted retrospective eyewitness reports as functions of feedback and delay.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2003, 9, 42-52.	0.9	62
51	Eyewitness Identification Accuracy and Response Latency: The Unruly 10-12-Second Rule.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2004, 10, 139-147.	0.9	60
52	The Conjunction Error and the Representativeness Heuristic. Social Cognition, 1985, 3, 266-279.	0.5	52
53	Children's metacognitive judgments in an eyewitness identification task. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2007, 97, 286-314.	0.7	52
54	Eyewitness Identification Reforms. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2012, 7, 264-271.	5.2	50

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Eyewitness identification: Bayesian information gain, base-rate effect equivalency curves, and reasonable suspicion.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2015, 39, 99-122.	0.6	50
56	ROC analysis of lineups does not measure underlying discriminability and has limited value.. <i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i> , 2015, 4, 313-317.	0.7	47
57	The Effects of Recipient Posture on Persuasion. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 1983, 9, 209-222.	1.9	44
58	Expert psychological testimony: Empirical and conceptual analyses of effects.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 1986, 10, 83-95.	0.6	44
59	The other-race effect in eyewitness identification: What do we do about it?. <i>Psychology, Public Policy, and Law</i> , 2001, 7, 230-246.	0.9	44
60	On the diagnosticity of multiple-witness identifications.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2008, 32, 406-422.	0.6	44
61	Eyewitness identification: Psychological research and legal policy on lineups.. <i>Psychology, Public Policy, and Law</i> , 1995, 1, 765-791.	0.9	43
62	Narrative Impressions of Literature: The Availability Bias and the Corrective Properties of Meta-Analytic Approaches. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 2001, 27, 1123-1130.	1.9	42
63	Building face composites can harm lineup identification performance.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied</i> , 2005, 11, 147-156.	0.9	42
64	Does Knowledge that a Crime Was Staged Affect Eyewitness Performance?1. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 1982, 12, 42-53.	1.3	39
65	Fair lineups are better than biased lineups and showups, but not because they increase underlying discriminability.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2017, 41, 127-145.	0.6	39
66	Eyewitness lineups: Is the appearance-change instruction a good idea?. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2007, 31, 3-22.	0.6	37
67	Not the same old hindsight bias: Outcome information distorts a broad range of retrospective judgments. <i>Memory and Cognition</i> , 2005, 33, 120-130.	0.9	36
68	Temporal discounting: The differential effect of proximal and distal consequences on confession decisions.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2012, 36, 13-20.	0.6	34
69	Does Group Discussion Attenuate the Dispositional Bias?. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 1985, 15, 531-546.	1.3	33
70	Is the Attitude-Attribution Paradigm Suitable for Investigating the Dispositional Bias?. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 1988, 14, 183-190.	1.9	30
71	Base rates do not constrain nonprobability judgments. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 1996, 19, 40-41.	0.4	30
72	Facial Composite Production by Eyewitnesses. <i>Current Directions in Psychological Science</i> , 2007, 16, 6-10.	2.8	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	The dud effect: Adding highly dissimilar fillers increases confidence in lineup identifications.. Law and Human Behavior, 2011, 35, 479-500.	0.6	30
74	Eyewitness Identification. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2014, 23, 11-16.	2.8	24
75	Forensic science testing: The forensic filler-control method for controlling contextual bias, estimating error rates, and calibrating analysts' reports.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2013, 2, 53-55.	0.7	23
76	Eyewitness identification: information gain from incriminating and exonerating behaviors. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2002, 8, 155-67.	0.9	23
77	Priming of mediators in causal attribution.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1980, 38, 461-470.	2.6	22
78	Catching the bad guy: Morphing composite faces helps.. Law and Human Behavior, 2007, 31, 193-207.	0.6	22
79	Is there a magical time boundary for diagnosing eyewitness identification accuracy in sequential line-ups?. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2008, 13, 123-135.	1.5	21
80	The alibi-generation effect: Alibi-generation experience influences alibi evaluation. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2012, 17, 151-164.	1.5	21
81	Post-identification feedback to eyewitnesses impairs evaluators' abilities to discriminate between accurate and mistaken testimony.. Law and Human Behavior, 2014, 38, 194-202.	0.6	21
82	Assessing Theoretical Conclusions With Blinded Inference to Investigate a Potential Inference Crisis. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2019, 2, 335-349.	5.4	20
83	Do masked-face lineups facilitate eyewitness identification of a masked individual?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2019, 25, 396-409.	0.9	20
84	Asymmetric attributions for compliance: Reward vs punishment. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 1980, 16, 47-60.	1.3	19
85	Self-Versus Other-Referent Processing at Encoding and Retrieval. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 1984, 10, 574-584.	1.9	19
86	Increasing the Similarity of Lineup Fillers to the Suspect Improves the Applied Value of Lineups Without Improving Memory Performance: Commentary on Colloff, Wade, and Strange (2016). Psychological Science, 2018, 29, 1548-1551.	1.8	19
87	Mistaken eyewitness identification rates increase when either witnessing or testing conditions get worse.. Law and Human Behavior, 2019, 43, 358-368.	0.6	19
88	Contamination of Eyewitness Self-Reports and the Mistaken-Identification Problem. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2015, 24, 120-124.	2.8	18
89	Child eyewitnesses: Seeing is believing.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1995, 80, 317-326.	4.2	17
90	Field experiments on eyewitness identification: Towards a better understanding of pitfalls and prospects.. Law and Human Behavior, 2008, 32, 6-10.	0.6	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Confirming feedback following a mistaken identification impairs memory for the culprit.. Law and Human Behavior, 2014, 38, 283-292.	0.6	16
92	Deviation from perfect performance measures the diagnostic utility of eyewitness lineups but partial area under the roc curve does not.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2019, 8, 50-59.	0.7	16
93	Plea-Bargaining Law: the Impact of Innocence, Trial Penalty, and Conviction Probability on Plea Outcomes. American Journal of Criminal Justice, 2021, 46, 554-575.	1.3	16
94	Attribution and reconstructive memory. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 1982, 18, 447-463.	1.3	15
95	Bluffed by the dealer: Distinguishing false pleas from false confessions.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2018, 24, 158-170.	0.9	15
96	Scientific study of witness memory: Implications for public and legal policy.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1995, 1, 726-731.	0.9	14
97	Can eyewitnesses correct for external influences on their lineup identifications? The actual/counterfactual assessment paradigm.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2008, 14, 5-20.	0.9	14
98	The Moderating Effect of Ecphoric Experience on Post-identification Feedback: A Critical Test of the Cues-based Inference Conceptualization. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2012, 26, 243-250.	0.9	14
99	Distinguishing Between Investigator Discriminability and Eyewitness Discriminability: A Method for Creating Full Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves of Lineup Identification Performance. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2020, 15, 589-607.	5.2	14
100	Discounting and Augmentation. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 1982, 8, 566-572.	1.9	13
101	Do pre-admonition suggestions moderate the effect of unbiased lineup instructions?. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2012, 17, 165-176.	1.5	13
102	Eyewitness identification performance on showups improves with an additional-opportunities instruction: Evidence for present-absent criteria discrepancy.. Law and Human Behavior, 2018, 42, 215-226.	0.6	12
103	Fillers can help control for contextual bias in forensic comparison tasks.. Law and Human Behavior, 2018, 42, 295-305.	0.6	12
104	Outcome trees and baseball: A study of expertise and list-length effects. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 1991, 50, 324-340.	1.4	11
105	Psychological science on eyewitness identification and its impact on police practices and policies.. American Psychologist, 2020, 75, 1316-1329.	3.8	11
106	Is manipulative intent necessary to mitigate the eyewitness post-identification feedback effect?. Law and Human Behavior, 2010, 34, 186-197.	0.6	10
107	Eyewitnesses' free-report verbal confidence statements are diagnostic of accuracy.. Law and Human Behavior, 2021, 45, 138-151.	0.6	10
108	The Timing of the Defense Opening Statement: Don't Wait Until the Evidence Is in1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1985, 15, 758-772.	1.3	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Assessment of bias in police lineups.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2020, 26, 393-412.	0.9	9
110	Test a Witness's Memory of a Suspect Only Once. Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society, 2021, 22, 1S-18S.	6.7	9
111	Applying eyewitness identification research to the legal system: A glance at where we have been and where we could go.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2016, 5, 290-294.	0.7	8
112	Four utilities in eyewitness identification practice: Dissociations between receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis and expected utility analysis.. Law and Human Behavior, 2019, 43, 26-44.	0.6	8
113	Applied eyewitness research: The other mission.. Law and Human Behavior, 1995, 19, 319-324.	0.6	7
114	Murder, Extramarital Affairs, and the Issue of Probative Value.. Law and Human Behavior, 2003, 27, 623-627.	0.6	7
115	Distinguishing accurate from inaccurate eyewitness identifications with an optional deadline procedure. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2008, 14, 397-414.	0.8	7
116	The flaw in Amendola and Wixted's conclusion on simultaneous versus sequential lineups. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 2015, 11, 285-289.	1.9	7
117	Should We Be Partial to Partial Identification?. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 1995, 22, 373-385.	1.1	6
118	Improving face identification of mask-wearing individuals. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2022, 7, 27.	1.1	6
119	Eyewitness behavior: The Alberta Conference.. Law and Human Behavior, 1980, 4, 237-242.	0.6	5
120	A Bayesian analysis on the (dis)utility of iterative-showup procedures: The moderating impact of prior probabilities.. Law and Human Behavior, 2016, 40, 503-516.	0.6	4
121	Maximizing the Utility of Eyewitness Identification Evidence. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 1994, 3, 194-198.	2.8	3
122	Behavioral Consensus Information Affects People's Inferences about Population Traits. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 1997, 23, 148-156.	1.9	3
123	The Effects of Pre-admonition Suggestions on Eyewitnesses' Choosing Rates and Retrospective Identification Judgments. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2017, 32, 236-246.	1.2	3
124	An unrepresentative sample is unrepresentative regardless of the reason: a rejoinder to Amendola and Wixted. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 2015, 11, 295-298.	1.9	2
125	What's in a Question?. PsycCritiques, 1993, 38, 383-385.	0.0	1
126	Do multiple doses of feedback have cumulative effects on eyewitness confidence?. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2020, 9, 508-518.	0.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Experimental psychology and the courtroom. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1984, 2, 363-373.	0.6	0
128	Gaps and Canyons in Psycho-Legal Research. PsycCritiques, 1982, 27, 55-57.	0.0	0
129	Perceived Legitimacy and Legal Compliance. PsycCritiques, 1993, 38, 294-294.	0.0	0
130	Psychology and Law: A Jam Session. PsycCritiques, 1994, 39, 490-491.	0.0	0
131	Partners in Crime: Giving Away Psychology to Police. PsycCritiques, 1997, 42, 219-220.	0.0	0
132	Do the Eyes Have It?. PsycCritiques, 1997, 42, 413-414.	0.0	0
133	Thinking About People. PsycCritiques, 1985, 30, 376-377.	0.0	0