Ana Pilar Betran

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5091770/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

74 papers	6,673 citations	186265 28 h-index	85541 71 g-index
75 all docs	75 docs citations	75 times ranked	5522 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The Increasing Trend in Caesarean Section Rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates: 1990-2014. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0148343.	2.5	1,331
2	Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicountry surveys. The Lancet Global Health, 2015, 3, e260-e270.	6.3	795
3	<scp>WHO</scp> Statement on Caesarean Section Rates. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2016, 123, 667-670.	2.3	672
4	Trends and projections of caesarean section rates: global and regional estimates. BMJ Global Health, 2021, 6, e005671.	4.7	410
5	What is the optimal rate of caesarean section at population level? A systematic review of ecologic studies. Reproductive Health, 2015, 12, 57.	3.1	356
6	Interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. Lancet, The, 2018, 392, 1358-1368.	13.7	345
7	Association between rates of caesarean section and maternal and neonatal mortality in the 21st century: a worldwide populationâ€based ecological study with longitudinal data. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2016, 123, 745-753.	2.3	270
8	Classifications for Cesarean Section: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e14566.	2.5	245
9	Searching for the Optimal Rate of Medically Necessary Cesarean Delivery. Birth, 2014, 41, 237-244.	2.2	227
10	Maternal and perinatal mortality and complications associated with caesarean section in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2019, 393, 1973-1982.	13.7	207
11	Within country inequalities in caesarean section rates: observational study of 72 low and middle income countries. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k55.	2.3	172
12	A Systematic Review of the Robson Classification for Caesarean Section: What Works, Doesn't Work and How to Improve It. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e97769.	2.5	149
13	Non-clinical interventions for reducing unnecessary caesarean section. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 2018, CD005528.	2.8	95
14	A global reference for caesarean section rates (Câ€Model): a multicountry crossâ€sectional study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2016, 123, 427-436.	2.3	92
15	Prepregnancy and early pregnancy calcium supplementation among women at high risk of pre-eclampsia: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2019, 393, 330-339.	13.7	90
16	Prevalence of and reasons for womenâ€™s, family membersâ€™, and health professionalsâ€™ preferences for cesarean section in China: A mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS Medicine, 2018, 15, e1002672.	8.4	82
17	Determinants of utilisation of antenatal care and skilled birth attendant at delivery in South West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. Reproductive Health, 2015, 12, 74.	3.1	78
18	Do Italian women prefer cesarean section? Results from a survey on mode of delivery preferences. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2013, 13, 78.	2.4	76

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Global inequities in dietary calcium intake during pregnancy: a systematic review and metaâ€•analysis. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2019, 126, 444-456.	2.3	64
20	Prevalence of and reasons for womenâ€™s, family membersâ€™, and health professionalsâ€™ preferences for cesarean section in Iran: a mixed-methods systematic review. Reproductive Health, 2021, 18, 3.	3.1	62
21	Googling caesarean section: a survey on the quality of the information available on the Internet. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2015, 122, 731-739.	2.3	43
22	Non-clinical interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean section targeted at organisations, facilities and systems: Systematic review of qualitative studies. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0203274.	2.5	43
23	Non-clinical interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections: WHO recommendations. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2020, 98, 66-68.	3.3	41
24	Challenges and opportunities for implementing evidence-based antenatal care in Mozambique: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2015, 15, 200.	2.4	39
25	Portrayal of caesarean section in Brazilian women's magazines: 20 year review. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d276-d276.	2.3	38
26	Womenâ€™s and communitiesâ€™ views of targeted educational interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean section: a qualitative evidence synthesis. Reproductive Health, 2018, 15, 130.	3.1	36
27	Barriers to utilisation of antenatal care services in South Sudan: a qualitative study in Rumbek North County. Reproductive Health, 2017, 14, 65.	3.1	35
28	Early neonatal mortality in twin pregnancy: Findings from 60 low- and middle-income countries. Journal of Global Health, 2018, 8, 010404.	2.7	33
29	What do popular S panish women's magazines say about caesarean section? A 21â€•year survey. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2014, 121, 548-555.	2.3	25
30	The effect of calcium supplementation on blood pressure in non-pregnant women with previous pre-eclampsia: An exploratory, randomized placebo controlled study. Pregnancy Hypertension, 2015, 5, 273-279.	1.4	25
31	Provision of medical supply kits to improve quality of antenatal care in Mozambique: a stepped-wedge cluster randomised trial. The Lancet Global Health, 2018, 6, e57-e65.	6.3	23
32	Womenâ€™s perspectives on health facility and system levels factors influencing mode of delivery in Tehran: a qualitative study. Reproductive Health, 2019, 16, 15.	3.1	22
33	Do women prefer caesarean sections? A qualitative evidence synthesis of their views and experiences. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0251072.	2.5	22
34	Effect of Calcium Fortified Foods on Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients, 2021, 13, 316.	4.1	22
35	Interventions targeted at health professionals to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections: a qualitative evidence synthesis. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e025073.	1.9	21
36	Increases in Caesarean Delivery Rates and Change of Perinatal Outcomes in Lowâ€•and Middleâ€•Income Countries: A Hospitalâ€•Level Analysis of Two WHO Surveys. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 2017, 31, 251-262.	1.7	20

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Regulatory and Policy-Related Aspects of Calcium Fortification of Foods. Implications for Implementing National Strategies of Calcium Fortification. Nutrients, 2020, 12, 1022.	4.1	20
38	Interpregnancy intervals and adverse birth outcomes in high-income countries: An international cohort study. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0255000.	2.5	20
39	Implementation of evidence-based antenatal care in Mozambique: a cluster randomized controlled trial: study protocol. BMC Health Services Research, 2014, 14, 228.	2.2	18
40	Measuring equity in utilization of emergency obstetric care at Wolisso Hospital in Oromiya, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2013, 12, 27.	3.5	17
41	Odon device for instrumental vaginal deliveries: results of a medical device pilot clinical study. Reproductive Health, 2018, 15, 45.	3.1	17
42	Monitoring perinatal outcomes in hospitals in Kabul, Afghanistan: The first step of a quality assurance process. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2009, 22, 285-292.	1.5	16
43	Reducing unnecessary caesarean sections: scoping review of financial and regulatory interventions. Reproductive Health, 2020, 17, 133.	3.1	16
44	Associations between interpregnancy interval and preterm birth by previous preterm birth status in four highâ€income countries: a cohort study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, 128, 1134-1143.	2.3	16
45	Developing evidence-based recommendations for optimal interpregnancy intervals in high-income countries: protocol for an international cohort study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027941.	1.9	15
46	Mass media campaigns to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections: a systematic review. BMJ Global Health, 2020, 5, e001935.	4.7	15
47	Feasibility and safety study of a new device (OdÃ³n device) for assisted vaginal deliveries: study protocol. Reproductive Health, 2013, 10, 33.	3.1	14
48	Implementation and evaluation of nonclinical interventions for appropriate use of cesarean section in low- and middle-income countries: protocol for a multisite hybrid effectiveness-implementation type III trial. Implementation Science, 2020, 15, 72.	6.9	13
49	Womenâ€™s, partnersâ€™ and healthcare providersâ€™ views and experiences of assisted vaginal birth: a systematic mixed methods review. Reproductive Health, 2020, 17, 83.	3.1	13
50	Are women with history of pre-eclampsia starting a new pregnancy in good nutritional status in South Africa and Zimbabwe?. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2018, 18, 236.	2.4	12
51	Analysis of caesareansection and neonatal outcome using the Robson classification in a rural district hospital in Tanzania: an observational retrospective study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e033348.	1.9	12
52	Lessons from a multidisciplinary partnership involving women parliamentarians to address the overuse of caesarean section in Italy. BMJ Global Health, 2020, 5, e002025.	4.7	12
53	Optimising the use of caesarean section: a generic formative research protocol for implementation preparation. Reproductive Health, 2019, 16, 170.	3.1	11
54	Trends in caesarean section rates between 2007 and 2013 in obstetric risk groups inspired by the Robson classification: results from populationâ€based surveys in a lowâ€resource setting. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2019, 126, 690-700.	2.3	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Participant recruitment and retention in longitudinal preconception randomized trials: lessons learnt from the Calcium And Pre-eclampsia (CAP) trial. Trials, 2017, 18, 500.	1.6	9
56	What is an appropriate caesarean delivery rate for China: a multicentre survey. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2022, 129, 138-147.	2.3	9
57	Supply kits for antenatal and childbirth care during antenatal care and delivery: a mixed-methods systematic review, the qualitative approach.. Reproductive Health, 2017, 14, 48.	3.1	8
58	Supply kits for antenatal and childbirth care: a systematic review. Reproductive Health, 2017, 14, 175.	3.1	8
59	DECIDE: a cluster-randomized controlled trial to reduce unnecessary caesarean deliveries in Burkina Faso. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 87.	5.5	8
60	Trends and sociodemographic inequalities in the use of caesarean section in Indonesia, 1987-2017. BMJ Global Health, 2020, 5, e003844.	4.7	8
61	The effect of calcium supplementation on blood pressure in non-pregnant women with previous pre-eclampsia: A randomized placebo-controlled study. Pregnancy Hypertension, 2021, 23, 91-96.	1.4	7
62	Timing of oxytocin administration to prevent post-partum hemorrhage in women delivered by cesarean section: A systematic review and metanalysis. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0252491.	2.5	7
63	Mode of delivery among nulliparous women with single, cephalic, term pregnancies: The <scp>WHO</scp> global survey on maternal and perinatal health, 2004â€“2008. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2019, 147, 165-172.	2.3	6
64	Would you like to participate in this trial? The practice of informed consent in intrapartum research in the last 30 years. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0228063.	2.5	6
65	Caesarean birth in public maternities in Argentina: a formative research study on the views of obstetricians, midwives and trainees. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e053419.	1.9	6
66	Determination of a single, universal threshold for caesarean section rate is not the way forward. Evidence-Based Medicine, 2016, 21, 237-237.	0.6	3
67	The portrayal and perceptions of cesarean section in Mexican media Facebook pages: a mixed-methods study. Reproductive Health, 2022, 19, 49.	3.1	3
68	Factors influencing the implementation of labour companionship: formative qualitative research in Thailand. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e054946.	1.9	3
69	Cesarean section in Uruguay from 2008 to 2018: country analysis based on the Robson classification. An observational study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2022, 22, .	2.4	3
70	Risk factors associated with adverse maternal outcomes following intrapartum cesarean birth: a secondary analysis of the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health, 2004â€“2008. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2020, 20, 687.	2.4	2
71	Collaborative model of intrapartum care: qualitative study on barriers and facilitators to implementation in a private Brazilian hospital. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e053636.	1.9	2
72	Association between prelabour caesarean section and perinatal outcomes: analysis of demographic and health surveys from 26 low-income and middle-income countries. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e053049.	1.9	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	The Effect of Calcium Supplementation on Body Weight Before and During Pregnancy in Women Enrolled in the WHO Calcium and Preeclampsia Trial. Food and Nutrition Bulletin, 2020, 41, 332-342.	1.4	0
74	A mobile cesarean birth center as a solution to improve access to surgical birth in rural Ethiopia: a mixed methods research protocol. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2021, 7, 218.	1.2	0