List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5051390/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



DIANA L MICHOPETTI

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Nonhomogeneous Markov chain for estimating the cumulative risk of multiple false positive screening tests. Biometrics, 2022, 78, 1244-1256.	0.8	1
2	Breast Biopsy Recommendations and Breast Cancers Diagnosed during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Radiology, 2022, 303, 287-294.	3.6	21
3	Mammography adherence in relation to function-related indicators in older women. Preventive Medicine, 2022, 154, 106869.	1.6	2
4	Cost-Effectiveness of Screening Mammography Beyond Age 75 Years. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 11-19.	2.0	13
5	Cumulative Advanced Breast Cancer Risk Prediction Model Developed in a Screening Mammography Population. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2022, 114, 676-685.	3.0	18
6	A Procedure for Eliciting Women's Preferences for Breast Cancer Screening Frequency. Medical Decision Making, 2022, , 0272989X2110733.	1.2	1
7	Pan-cortical coordination underlying mental effort. Clinical Neurophysiology, 2022, 136, 130-137.	0.7	2
8	Quantifying cancer risk from exposures to medical imaging in the Risk of Pediatric and Adolescent Cancer Associated with Medical Imaging (RIC) Study: research methods and cohort profile. Cancer Causes and Control, 2022, 33, 711-726.	0.8	5
9	Breast Cancer Screening Strategies for Women With <i>ATM, CHEK2</i> , and <i>PALB2</i> Pathogenic Variants. JAMA Oncology, 2022, 8, 587.	3.4	36
10	Breast biopsy patterns and findings among older women undergoing screening mammography: The role of age and comorbidity. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2022, 13, 161-169.	0.5	2
11	Breast Density Knowledge in a Screening Mammography Population Exposed to Density Notification. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 615-624.	0.9	3
12	Cumulative Probability of False-Positive Results After 10 Years of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e222440.	2.8	21
13	Estimation of Breast Cancer Overdiagnosis in a U.S. Breast Screening Cohort. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 471-478.	2.0	49
14	Marginal indirect standardization using latent clustering on multiple hospitals. Statistics in Medicine, 2022, 41, 554-566.	0.8	0
15	Diagnostic Mammography Performance across Racial and Ethnic Groups in a National Network of Community-Based Breast Imaging Facilities. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2022, 31, 1324-1333.	1.1	3
16	Association of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography With Risk of Interval Invasive and Advanced Breast Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2022, 327, 2220.	3.8	25
17	Multilevel Factors Associated With Time to Biopsy After Abnormal Screening Mammography Results by Race and Ethnicity. JAMA Oncology, 2022, 8, 1115.	3.4	28
18	Advanced Breast Cancer Definitions by Staging System Examined in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 909-916.	3.0	21

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Age at initiation of screening mammography by family history of breast cancer in the breast cancer surveillance consortium. Cancer Causes and Control, 2021, 32, 103-107.	0.8	6
20	Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Breast Cancer Mortality in the US: Estimates From Collaborative Simulation Modeling. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 1484-1494.	3.0	92
21	Comparative Access to and Use of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Screening by Women's Race/Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2037546.	2.8	28
22	Changes in Mammography Use by Women's Characteristics During the First 5 Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 1161-1167.	3.0	69
23	Response to Pisano, Gastonis, Sparano, et al. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 940-941.	3.0	Ο
24	Assessment of a Risk-Based Approach for Triaging Mammography Examinations During Periods of Reduced Capacity. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e211974.	2.8	9
25	Guidelines for the Evaluation of Pulmonary Nodules Detected Incidentally or by Screening: A Survey of Radiologist Awareness, Agreement, and Adherence From the Watch the Spot Trial. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 545-553.	0.9	5
26	Impact of disruptions in breast cancer control due to the COVID-19 pandemic on breast cancer mortality in the United States: Estimates from collaborative simulation modeling Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 6562-6562.	0.8	0
27	Breast cancer screening for carriers of ATM, CHEK2, and PALB2 pathogenic variants: A comparative modeling analysis Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 10500-10500.	0.8	Ο
28	Function-related Indicators and Outcomes of Screening Mammography in Older Women: Evidence from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Cohort. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, 1582-1590.	1.1	3
29	Leukemia Risk in a Cohort of 3.9 Million Children with and without Down Syndrome. Journal of Pediatrics, 2021, 234, 172-180.e3.	0.9	25
30	Breast Cancer Screening Among Childhood Cancer Survivors Treated Without Chest Radiation: Clinical Benefits and Cost-Effectiveness. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, , .	3.0	9
31	Mammographic Variation Measures, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 326-335.	1.0	9
32	Digital Mammography and Breast Tomosynthesis Performance in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer, 2007–2016. Radiology, 2021, 300, 290-300.	3.6	13
33	Prioritizing breast imaging services during the COVID pandemic: A survey of breast imaging facilities within the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 151, 106540.	1.6	19
34	Women's considerations and experiences for breast cancer screening and surveillance during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States: A focus group study. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 151, 106542.	1.6	14
35	Trade-Offs Between Harms and Benefits of Different Breast Cancer Screening Intervals Among Low-Risk Women. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 1017-1026.	3.0	9
36	Comparing Mammographic Density Assessed by Digital Breast Tomosynthesis or Digital Mammography: The Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Radiology, 2021, , 204579.	3.6	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Positive predictive value and sensitivity of ICDâ€9â€CM codes for identifying pediatric leukemia. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2021, 69, e29383.	0.8	2
38	Relationships Between Fear of Cancer Recurrence and Lifestyle Factors Among Cancer Survivors. Journal of Cancer Education, 2020, 35, 669-677.	0.6	9
39	Long-Term Outcomes and Cost-Effectiveness of Breast Cancer Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in the United States. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2020, 112, 582-589.	3.0	48
40	Fear of cancer recurrence and associations with mental health status and individual characteristics among cancer survivors: Findings from a nationally representative sample. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 2020, 38, 125-142.	0.6	18
41	Screening Mammography Outcomes: Risk of Breast Cancer and Mortality by Comorbidity Score and Age. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2020, 112, 599-606.	3.0	29
42	Reactions of women underscreened for cervical cancer who received unsolicited human papillomavirus self-sampling kits. Journal of Medical Screening, 2020, 27, 146-156.	1.1	9
43	Clinical Benefits, Harms, and Cost-Effectiveness of Breast Cancer Screening for Survivors of Childhood Cancer Treated With Chest Radiation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 173, 331-341.	2.0	24
44	Breast Cancer Population Attributable Risk Proportions Associated with Body Mass Index and Breast Density by Race/Ethnicity and Menopausal Status. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2020, 29, 2048-2056.	1.1	41
45	Screening Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography in Community Practice by Patient Age, Screening Round, and Breast Density. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e2011792.	2.8	68
46	New mammography screening performance metrics based on the entire screening episode. Cancer, 2020, 126, 3289-3296.	2.0	11
47	Effect of Mailed Human Papillomavirus Test Kits vs Usual Care Reminders on Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake, Precancer Detection, and Treatment: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2020, 75, 167-168.	0.2	0
48	Facility Variability in Examination Indication Among Women With Prior Breast Cancer: Implications and the Need for Standardization. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2020, 17, 755-764.	0.9	9
49	Comparison of the Effectiveness of Single-Component and Multicomponent Interventions for Reducing Radiation Doses in Patients Undergoing Computed Tomography. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2020, 180, 666.	2.6	14
50	Assessment of Radiologist Performance in Breast Cancer Screening Using Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e201759.	2.8	28
51	Attention, Not Performance, Correlates With Afterdischarge Termination During Cortical Stimulation. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2020, 14, 609188.	1.0	2
52	Trends in Imaging for Suspected Pulmonary Embolism Across US Health Care Systems, 2004 to 2016. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e2026930.	2.8	24
53	Patterns of Breast Imaging Use Among Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 2098-2106.	1.3	7
54	Lack of Standardized Terminology in Ultrasound Reports for Ovarian Cysts—Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 848.	2.6	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Trends in Medical Imaging During Pregnancy in the United States and Ontario, Canada, 1996 to 2016. JAMA Network Open, 2019, 2, e197249.	2.8	30
56	Cognitive effort decreases beta, alpha, and theta coherence and ends afterdischarges in human brain. Clinical Neurophysiology, 2019, 130, 2169-2181.	0.7	5
57	Strategies to Identify Women at High Risk of Advanced Breast Cancer During Routine Screening for Discussion of Supplemental Imaging. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 1230.	2.6	39
58	A Scalable Database of Organ Doses for Common Diagnostic Fluoroscopy Procedures of Children: Procedures of Historical Practice for Use in Radiation Epidemiology Studies. Radiation Research, 2019, 192, 649.	0.7	2
59	Automated volumetric breast density measures: differential change between breasts in women with and without breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research, 2019, 21, 118.	2.2	13
60	Effect of Mailed Human Papillomavirus Test Kits vs Usual Care Reminders on Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake, Precancer Detection, and Treatment. JAMA Network Open, 2019, 2, e1914729.	2.8	52
61	Trends in Use of Medical Imaging in US Health Care Systems and in Ontario, Canada, 2000-2016. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 322, 843.	3.8	350
62	Methods for the Watch the Spot Trial. A Pragmatic Trial of More- versus Less-Intensive Strategies for Active Surveillance of Small Pulmonary Nodules. Annals of the American Thoracic Society, 2019, 16, 1567-1576.	1.5	12
63	Analysis of Computed Tomography Radiation Doses Used for Lung Cancer Screening Scans. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 1650.	2.6	19
64	Discussions of Dense Breasts, Breast Cancer Risk, and Screening Choices in 2019. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 322, 69.	3.8	15
65	Combined effect of volumetric breast density and body mass index on breast cancer risk. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 177, 165-173.	1.1	21
66	Surveillance Breast MRI and Mammography: Comparison in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer. Radiology, 2019, 292, 311-318.	3.6	46
67	Longitudinal Changes in Volumetric Breast Density in Healthy Women across the Menopausal Transition. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2019, 28, 1324-1330.	1.1	17
68	Re: "Linkage of theÂACR National Mammography Database toÂthe Network of State Cancer Registries: Proof of Concept Evaluation by the ACR NationalÂMammography Database Committee― Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 135-136.	0.9	0
69	Performance of Screening Ultrasonography as an Adjunct to Screening Mammography in Women Across the Spectrum of Breast Cancer Risk. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 658.	2.6	66
70	Population-Based Assessment of the Association Between Magnetic Resonance Imaging Background Parenchymal Enhancement and Future Primary Breast Cancer Risk. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 954-963.	0.8	65
71	Validation of the breast cancer surveillance consortium model of breast cancer risk. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 175, 519-523.	1.1	55
72	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Radiologist Learning Curve. Radiology, 2019, 291, 34-42.	3.6	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	International variation in radiation dose for computed tomography examinations: prospective cohort study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 364, k4931.	2.4	98
74	Understanding Patients' Perspectives and Information Needs Following a Positive Home Human Papillomavirus Self-Sampling Kit Result. Journal of Women's Health, 2019, 28, 384-392.	1.5	23
75	Risk of Malignant Ovarian Cancer Based on Ultrasonography Findings in a Large Unselected Population. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 71.	2.6	48
76	Joint Indirect Standardization When Only Marginal Distributions are Observed in the Index Population. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2019, 114, 622-630.	1.8	0
77	Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of breast cancer screening for childhood cancer survivors treated with chest radiation: A comparative modeling study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 6525-6525.	0.8	Ο
78	67â€Breast biopsy patterns and findings among older women undergoing screening mammography: what is the impact of age and comorbidity?. , 2019, , .		0
79	Family History and Breast Cancer Risk Among Older Women in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Cohort. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2018, 178, 494.	2.6	36
80	Common Model Inputs Used in CISNET Collaborative Breast Cancer Modeling. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 9S-23S.	1.2	37
81	Rationale and design of the HOME trial: A pragmatic randomized controlled trial of home-based human papillomavirus (HPV) self-sampling for increasing cervical cancer screening uptake and effectiveness in a U.S. healthcare system. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2018, 64, 77-87.	0.8	21
82	Cumulative Risk Distribution for Interval Invasive Second Breast Cancers After Negative Surveillance Mammography. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 2070-2077.	0.8	17
83	The Effect of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Adoption on Facility-Level Breast Cancer Screening Volume. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 957-963.	1.0	7
84	Automated and Clinical Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System Density Measures Predict Risk for Screen-Detected and Interval Cancers. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 168, 757-765.	2.0	56
85	National Institutes of Health Pathways to Prevention Workshop: Methods for Evaluating Natural Experiments in Obesity. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 168, 809-814.	2.0	14
86	[P060] The effect of technical parameters in practice on abdominal and computed tomography (CT) dose. Physica Medica, 2018, 52, 117.	0.4	0
87	Fear of cancer recurrence and associations with mental health status and individual characteristics among cancer survivors: Findings from a nationally representative sample Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 147-147.	0.8	2
88	Abstract 3226: Overweight and obese women with high volumetric breast density at high breast cancer risk. , 2018, , .		0
89	Population-Attributable Risk Proportion of Clinical Risk Factors for Breast Cancer. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 1228.	3.4	165
90	Family History of Breast Cancer, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk in a U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Population. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2017, 26, 938-944.	1.1	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Longitudinal Changes in Volumetric Breast Density with Tamoxifen and Aromatase Inhibitors. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2017, 26, 930-937.	1.1	37
92	Optimizing Radiation Doses for Computed Tomography Across Institutions. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2017, 177, 810.	2.6	40
93	Correlation Between Screening Mammography Interpretive Performance on a Test Set and Performance in Clinical Practice. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1256-1264.	1.3	8
94	National Performance Benchmarks for Modern Diagnostic Digital Mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Radiology, 2017, 283, 59-69.	3.6	102
95	National Performance Benchmarks for Modern Screening Digital Mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Radiology, 2017, 283, 49-58.	3.6	418
96	Risk Factors That Increase Risk of Estrogen Receptor–Positive and –Negative Breast Cancer. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2017, 109, djw276.	3.0	55
97	Emerging Trends in Family History of Breast Cancer and Associated Risk. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2017, 26, 1753-1760.	1.1	33
98	Mammography Performance Benchmarks in an Era of Value-based Care. Radiology, 2017, 284, 605-607.	3.6	1
99	Performance Benchmarks for Screening Breast MR Imaging in Community Practice. Radiology, 2017, 285, 44-52.	3.6	66
100	Subsequent Breast Cancer Risk Following Diagnosis of Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia on Needle Biopsy. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 36.	3.4	57
101	Predictors of CT Radiation Dose and Their Effect on Patient Care: A Comprehensive Analysis Using Automated Data. Radiology, 2017, 282, 182-193.	3.6	34
102	Combining quantitative and qualitative breast density measures to assess breast cancer risk. Breast Cancer Research, 2017, 19, 97.	2.2	35
103	Radiologists' interpretive skills in screening vs. diagnostic mammography: are they related?. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 1096-1103.	0.8	5
104	Radiation-Induced Breast Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 165, 452.	2.0	0
105	Statistical Methods for Estimating the Cumulative Risk of Screening Mammography Outcomes. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 513-520.	1.1	9
106	Population-Based Precision Cancer Screening: A Symposium on Evidence, Epidemiology, and Next Steps. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 1449-1455.	1.1	43
107	Tailoring Breast Cancer Screening Intervals by Breast Density and Risk for Women Aged 50 Years or Older: Collaborative Modeling of Screening Outcomes. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 165, 700.	2.0	90
108	Collaborative Modeling of the Benefits and Harms Associated With Different U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Strategies. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 164, 215.	2.0	209

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Who Gets to Decide?. Radiology, 2016, 278, 635-637.	3.6	2
110	Facility Mammography Volume in Relation to Breast Cancer Screening Outcomes. Journal of Medical Screening, 2016, 23, 31-37.	1.1	26
111	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. JAMA Oncology, 2016, 2, 725.	3.4	33
112	Criteria for Identifying Radiologists With Acceptable Screening Mammography Interpretive Performance on Basis of Multiple Performance Measures. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 204, W486-W491.	1.0	30
113	Comparative Effectiveness of Combined Digital Mammography and Tomosynthesis Screening for Women with Dense Breasts. Radiology, 2015, 274, 772-780.	3.6	98
114	Identifying Women With Dense Breasts at High Risk for Interval Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 162, 673-681.	2.0	215
115	One versus Two Breast Density Measures to Predict 5- and 10-Year Breast Cancer Risk. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2015, 24, 889-897.	1.1	30
116	Computed Tomography Radiation Dose in Patients With Suspected Urolithiasis. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1413.	2.6	35
117	Diagnostic Accuracy of Digital Screening Mammography With and Without Computer-Aided Detection. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1828.	2.6	452
118	Calculation of Organ Doses for a Large Number of Patients Undergoing CT Examinations. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 827-833.	1.0	12
119	Radiation Doses in Consecutive CT Examinations from Five University of California Medical Centers. Radiology, 2015, 277, 134-141.	3.6	100
120	Breast Tumor Prognostic Characteristics and Biennial vs Annual Mammography, Age, and Menopausal Status. JAMA Oncology, 2015, 1, 1069.	3.4	85
121	Benefits, Harms, and Cost-Effectiveness of Supplemental Ultrasonography Screening for Women With Dense Breasts. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 162, 157-166.	2.0	175
122	Breast Density and Benign Breast Disease: Risk Assessment to Identify Women at High Risk of Breast Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 3137-3143.	0.8	170
123	Breast Cancer Characteristics Associated With Digital Versus Film-Screen Mammography for Screen-Detected and Interval Cancers. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 676-684.	1.0	30
124	Effect of Radiologists' Diagnostic Work-up Volume on Interpretive Performance. Radiology, 2014, 273, 351-364.	3.6	25
125	Radiation Dose Metrics in CT: Assessing Dose Using the National Quality Forum CT Patient Safety Measure. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 309-315.	0.9	10
126	Upgrade of high-risk breast lesions detected on mammography in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. American Journal of Surgery, 2014, 207, 24-31.	0.9	77

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Prevalence of Mammographically Dense Breasts in the United States. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2014, 106, .	3.0	281
128	Breast MRI BI-RADS Assessments and Abnormal Interpretation Rates by Clinical Indication in US Community Practices. Academic Radiology, 2014, 21, 1370-1376.	1.3	15
129	Personalized Technologist Dose Audit Feedback for Reducing Patient Radiation Exposure From CT. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2014, 11, 300-308.	0.9	15
130	Educational Interventions to Improve Screening Mammography Interpretation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2014, 202, W586-W596.	1.0	19
131	Benefits, Harms, and Costs for Breast Cancer Screening After US Implementation of Digital Mammography. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2014, 106, dju092.	3.0	120
132	Impact of Mammography Screening Interval on Breast Cancer Diagnosis by Menopausal Status and BMI. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2013, 28, 1454-1462.	1.3	16
133	Screening Outcomes in Older US Women Undergoing Multiple Mammograms in Community Practice: Does Interval, Age, or Comorbidity Score Affect Tumor Characteristics or False Positive Rates?. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2013, 105, 334-341.	3.0	88
134	Mammographic screening interval in relation to tumor characteristics and falseâ€positive risk by race/ethnicity and age. Cancer, 2013, 119, 3959-3967.	2.0	16
135	Establishing a Gold Standard for Test Sets. Academic Radiology, 2013, 20, 731-739.	1.3	10
136	The Use of Computed Tomography in Pediatrics and the Associated Radiation Exposure and Estimated Cancer Risk. JAMA Pediatrics, 2013, 167, 700.	3.3	1,123
137	Reported Mammographic Density: Film-Screen versus Digital Acquisition. Radiology, 2013, 266, 752-758.	3.6	37
138	Outcomes of Screening Mammography by Frequency, Breast Density, and Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2013, 173, 807.	2.6	177
139	Risk of Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer among Older Women with Comorbidities. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2012, 21, 1510-1519.	1.1	21
140	Association Between Time Spent Interpreting, Level of Confidence, and Accuracy of Screening Mammography. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2012, 198, 970-978.	1.0	19
141	Risk Factors for Breast Cancer for Women Aged 40 to 49 Years. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 156, 635.	2.0	316
142	Comparative Effectiveness of Digital Versus Film-Screen Mammography in Community Practice in the United States. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 493.	2.0	232
143	Cumulative Probability of False-Positive Recall or Biopsy Recommendation After 10 Years of Screening Mammography. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 155, 481.	2.0	354
144	Accuracy and Outcomes of Screening Mammography in Women With a Personal History of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2011, 305, 790.	3.8	141

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Influence of Annual Interpretive Volume on Screening Mammography Performance in the United States. Radiology, 2011, 259, 72-84.	3.6	97
146	Breast Cancer Risk by Breast Density, Menopause, and Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy Use. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010, 28, 3830-3837.	0.8	188
147	Modelling the cumulative risk of a false-positive screening test. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2010, 19, 429-449.	0.7	42
148	Defining menopausal status in epidemiologic studies: A comparison of multiple approaches and their effects on breast cancer rates. Maturitas, 2010, 67, 60-66.	1.0	117
149	Variability in Interpretive Performance at Screening Mammography and Radiologists' Characteristics Associated with Accuracy. Radiology, 2009, 253, 641-651.	3.6	219
150	Bias Associated With Self-Report of Prior Screening Mammography. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2009, 18, 1699-1705.	1.1	117
151	Physician Workload in Mammography. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2008, 190, 526-532.	1.0	18
152	Obesity, Mammography Use and Accuracy, and Advanced Breast Cancer Risk. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2008, 100, 1724-1733.	3.0	81
153	Longitudinal Measurement of Clinical Mammographic Breast Density to Improve Estimation of Breast Cancer Risk. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2007, 99, 386-395.	3.0	220
154	Declines in Invasive Breast Cancer and Use of Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy in a Screening Mammography Population. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2007, 99, 1335-1339.	3.0	151
155	Does Utilization of Screening Mammography Explain Racial and Ethnic Differences in Breast Cancer?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2006, 144, 541.	2.0	284
156	Marginal Modeling of Nonnested Multilevel Data using Standard Software. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2006, 165, 453-463.	1.6	98
157	Modeling the dissemination of mammography in the United States. Cancer Causes and Control, 2005, 16, 701-712.	0.8	61
158	Performance Benchmarks for Diagnostic Mammography. Radiology, 2005, 235, 775-790.	3.6	186
159	Marginal modeling of multilevel binary data with time-varying covariates. Biostatistics, 2004, 5, 381-398.	0.9	56
160	Marginal modeling of multilevel binary data with time-varying covariates. Biostatistics, 2004, 5, 381-98.	0.9	36
161	Prognostic Characteristics of Breast Cancer Among Postmenopausal Hormone Users in a Screened Population. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2003, 21, 4314-4321.	0.8	127