
Shannon E Kelly

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5038806/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Systematic reviews of clinical practice guidelines: a methodological guide. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 108, 64-76.	5.0	140
2	Systematic review and network meta-analysis comparing antithrombotic agents for the prevention of stroke and major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004301-e004301.	1.9	93
3	Quality of conduct and reporting in rapid reviews: an exploration of compliance with PRISMA and AMSTAR guidelines. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 79.	5.3	88
4	Rapid review methods more challenging during COVID-19: commentary with a focus on 8 knowledge synthesis steps. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 177-183.	5.0	73
5	Testosterone therapy in hypogonadal men: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e015284.	1.9	60
6	ALK inhibitors for non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0229179.	2.5	57
7	DEFINING RAPID REVIEWS: A MODIFIED DELPHI CONSENSUS APPROACH. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2016, 32, 265-275.	0.5	36
8	A systematic review of clinical practice guidelines on the use of low molecular weight heparin and fondaparinux for the treatment and prevention of venous thromboembolism: Implications for research and policy decision-making. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0207410.	2.5	29
9	Allergen immunotherapy for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and/or asthma: an umbrella review. CMAJ Open, 2017, 5, E373-E385.	2.4	23
10	Remote Monitoring of Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Devices in Canada: Survey of Patients and Device Health Care Professionals. CJC Open, 2021, 3, 391-399.	1.5	17
11	Expediting evidence synthesis for healthcare decision-making: exploring attitudes and perceptions towards rapid reviews using Q methodology. PeerJ, 2016, 4, e2522.	2.0	14
12	Influence of prolonged treatment with omalizumab on the development of solid epithelial cancer in patients with atopic asthma and chronic idiopathic urticaria: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 2019, 49, 1291-1305.	2.9	12
13	Optimal Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Following Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: An Umbrella Review. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 35, 1039-1046.	1.7	9
14	Comparative efficacy and safety of antihyperglycemic drug classes for patients with type 2 diabetes following failure with metformin monotherapy: A systematic review and network metaâ€analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews, 2022, 38, e3515.	4.0	5
15	Managing unmanageable loads of evidence: are living reviews the answer?. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 1-2.	1.3	5
16	Optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: protocol for an umbrella review. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e015421.	1.9	3
17	Virtual follow-up and care for patients with cardiac electronic implantable devices: protocol for a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 153.	5.3	3
18	Patient and healthcare provider reported barriers and enablers to virtual or remote-only follow-up models for cardiovascular implantable electronic devices: protocol for a qualitative framework synthesis. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 151.	5.3	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Dual antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e022271.	1.9	1
20	Providing valid evidence for decision-making: the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network Methods and Applications Group in Indirect Comparisons (DSEN MAGIC). Facets, 2022, 7, 809-821.	2.4	0