

Martin Reinhart

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5032671/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19
papers

326
citations

1307594

7
h-index

1058476

14
g-index

32
all docs

32
docs citations

32
times ranked

269
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The visibility of scientific misconduct: A review of the literature on retracted journal articles. <i>Current Sociology</i> , 2017, 65, 814-845.	1.4	93
2	Peer review of grant applications in biology and medicine. Reliability, fairness, and validity. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009, 81, 789-809.	3.0	65
3	What are Clinician Scientists Expected to do? The Undefined Space for Professionalizable Work in Translational Biomedicine. <i>Minerva</i> , 2019, 57, 219-237.	2.4	18
4	Peer review practices: a content analysis of external reviews in science funding. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2010, 19, 317-331.	2.6	13
5	The lottery in Babylon – On the role of chance in scientific success. <i>Journal of Responsible Innovation</i> , 2020, 7, S25-S29.	4.9	12
6	Measuring Scientific Misconduct – Lessons from Criminology. <i>Publications</i> , 2014, 2, 61-70.	3.8	11
7	Analyzing sentiments in peer review reports: Evidence from two science funding agencies. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2021, 2, 1271-1295.	3.3	11
8	Cycles of invisibility: The limits of transparency in dealing with scientific misconduct. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2021, 51, 414-438.	2.5	7
9	Science Means Never Having to Say You’re Sorry? Apologies for Scientific Misconduct. <i>Science Communication</i> , 2019, 41, 552-579.	3.3	5
10	Nach der Bewertung ist vor der Bewertung – Sichtbarkeit und Emotionalität als verbindende Elemente von Bewertungsprozessen. , 2019, , 125-145.		4
11	Reproducibility in the Social Sciences. , 2016, , 407-423.		3
12	Die Regierung der Wissenschaft im Peer Review. , 2020, 13, 100-123.	2.9	3
13	Assessing the Organizational Climate for Translational Research with a New Survey Tool. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2020, 26, 2893-2910.	2.9	2
14	More data needed on scientific misconduct. <i>Nature</i> , 2017, 549, 458-458.	27.8	1
15	How practitioners between bench and bedside evaluate biomedical translation?. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2020, , .	2.4	1
16	Wissenschaft und Wirtschaft: Von Entdeckung zu Innovation. , 2012, , 365-378.		1
17	<i>Fraud and Misconduct in Research: Detection, Investigation, and Organizational Response</i> . By Nachman Ben-Yehuda and Amalya Oliver-Lumerman. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2017. Pp. xii+266. \$75.00.. <i>American Journal of Sociology</i> , 2019, 124, 1598-1600.	0.5	0
18	Rätsel und Paranoia als Methode - Vorschläge zu einer Innovationsforschung der Sozialwissenschaften. <i>Science Studies</i> , 2016, , 159-192.	0.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Science Blogs as Critique – Building Public Identities in the Field of Translational Research. Science and Technology Studies, 2020, 33, 19-38.	0.7	0