Mengyang Cao

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4978934/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1040056 1281871 11 394 9 11 citations h-index g-index papers 12 12 12 378 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Small but Nontrivial: A Comparison of Six Strategies to Handle Cross-Loadings in Bifactor Predictive Models. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2023, 58, 115-132.	3.1	14
2	Faking Detection Improved: Adopting a Likert Item Response Process Tree Model. Organizational Research Methods, 2022, 25, 490-512.	9.1	10
3	Using Bifactor Models to Examine the Predictive Validity of Hierarchical Constructs: Pros, Cons, and Solutions. Organizational Research Methods, 2021, 24, 530-571.	9.1	29
4	Examining the item response process to personality measures in highâ€stakes situations: Issues of measurement validity and predictive validity. Personnel Psychology, 2020, 73, 305-332.	2.8	14
5	Does forcing reduce faking? A meta-analytic review of forced-choice personality measures in high-stakes situations Journal of Applied Psychology, 2019, 104, 1347-1368.	5.3	90
6	Detecting Curvilinear Relationships: A Comparison of Scoring Approaches Based on Different Item Response Models. International Journal of Testing, 2018, 18, 178-205.	0.3	8
7	A Monte Carlo Study of an Iterative Wald Test Procedure for DIF Analysis. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2017, 77, 104-118.	2.4	19
8	The factor structure of the Values in Action Inventory of Strengths (VIA-IS): An item-level exploratory structural equation modeling (ESEM) bifactor analysis Psychological Assessment, 2017, 29, 1053-1058.	1.5	48
9	An investigation of emotional intelligence measures using item response theory Psychological Assessment, 2015, 27, 1241-1252.	1.5	36
10	Developing Ideal Intermediate Personality Items for the Ideal Point Model. Organizational Research Methods, 2015, 18, 252-275.	9.1	24
11	An Overview and Practical Guide to IRT Measurement Equivalence Analysis. Organizational Research Methods, 2015, 18, 3-46.	9.1	100