Dagmar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4805677/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

13	138	1478505	1281871
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
19 all docs	19 docs citations	19 times ranked	113 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The fetus in the age of the genome. Human Genetics, 2022, 141, 1017-1026.	3.8	3
2	Is there a need for a clear advice? A retrospective comparative analysis of ethics consultations with and without recommendations in a maximum-care university hospital. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 20.	2.4	5
3	Ethics experts and fetal patients: a proposal for modesty. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 161.	2.4	2
4	Why public funding for non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) might still be wrong: a response to Bunnik and colleagues. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 781-782.	1.8	5
5	Ethics rounds: affecting ethics quality at all organisational levels. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2018, 44, 805-809.	1.8	10
6	Lost in Translation? Ethical Challenges of Implementing a New Diagnostic Procedure. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 2016, 924, 193-197.	1.6	0
7	Unfair discrimination in prenatal aneuploidy screening using cell-free DNA?. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2016, 198, 27-29.	1.1	12
8	A new era in prenatal testing: are we prepared?. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2013, 16, 357-364.	1.8	9
9	Terminating pregnancy after prenatal diagnosis—with a little help of professional ethics?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2012, 38, 399-402.	1.8	6
10	Exceptional know how? Possible pitfalls of routinising genetic services. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2010, 36, 529-533.	1.8	5
11	Commentary: No risk, no objections? Ethical pitfalls of cell-free fetal DNA and RNA testing. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b2690-b2690.	2.3	12
12	Reply: Non-invasive prenatal diagnosis: an ethical imperative. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2009, 10, 733-733.	16.3	6
13	An offer you can't refuse? Ethical implications of non-invasive prenatal diagnosis. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2009, 10, 515-515.	16.3	52