Koonal Shah

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4754625/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

206112 331670 2,468 58 21 48 h-index citations g-index papers 60 60 60 4275 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Valuing health-related quality of life: An EQ-5D-5L value set for England. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq1 1 0.3	784314 i 1.7	gBŢ/Qverlock
2	EuroQol Protocols for Time Trade-Off Valuation of Health Outcomes. Pharmacoeconomics, 2016, 34, 993-1004.	3.3	170
3	Severity of illness and priority setting in healthcare: A review of the literature. Health Policy, 2009, 93, 77-84.	3.0	145
4	International Valuation Protocol for the EQ-5D-Y-3L. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 653-663.	3.3	84
5	Using QALYs in Cancer. Pharmacoeconomics, 2011, 29, 673-685.	3.3	74
6	Comparing the UK EQ-5D-3L and English EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 699-713.	3.3	74
7	Valuation of EuroQol Five-Dimensional Questionnaire, Youth Version (EQ-5D-Y) and EuroQol Five-Dimensional Questionnaire, Three-Level Version (EQ-5D-3L) Health States: The Impact of Wording and Perspective. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1291-1298.	0.3	70
8	Valuing health at the end of life: A stated preference discrete choice experiment. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 124, 48-56.	3.8	69
9	Utility Values for Health States in Ireland: A Value Set for the EQ-5D-5L. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 1345-1353.	3.3	67
10	New methods for modelling EQ-5D-5L value sets: An application to English data. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, 23-38.	1.7	61
11	A COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVE VARIANTS OF THE LEAD AND LAG TIME TTO. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQ	q1.1 0.78	84 <u>31</u> 4 rgBT /C
12	Valuing health at the end of life: an empirical study of public preferences. European Journal of Health Economics, 2014, 15, 389-399.	2.8	49
13	Cultural Values: Can They Explain Differences in Health Utilities between Countries?. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 605-616.	2.4	42
14	NICE's social value judgements about equity in health and health care. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2013, 8, 145-165.	1.8	38
15	Multinational Evidence of the Applicability and Robustness of Discrete Choice Modeling for Deriving EQ-5D-5L Health-State Values. Medical Care, 2014, 52, 935-943.	2.4	38
16	HTA methodology and value frameworks for evaluation and policy making for cell and gene therapies. European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 1421-1437.	2.8	38
17	An exploration of methods for obtaining 0 = dead anchors for latent scale EQ-5D-Y values. European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 1091-1103.	2.8	36
18	Using patient-reported outcome measures to estimate cost-effectiveness of hip replacements in English hospitals. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2013, 106, 323-331.	2.0	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Valuing EQ-5D-Y-3L Health States Using a Discrete Choice Experiment: Do Adult and Adolescent Preferences Differ?. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 584-596.	2.4	30
20	A new method for valuing health: directly eliciting personal utility functions. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 257-270.	2.8	26
21	Is the aim of the English health care system to maximize QALYs?. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2012, 17, 157-163.	1.7	25
22	Economic evaluation of meningococcal vaccines: considerations for the future. European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 297-309.	2.8	25
23	One-to-one versus group setting for conducting computer-assisted TTO studies: findings from pilot studies in England and the Netherlands. European Journal of Health Economics, 2013, 14, 65-73.	2.8	23
24	Valuing health at the end of life: A review of stated preference studies in the social sciences literature. Social Science and Medicine, 2018, 204, 39-50.	3.8	23
25	Valuing Health Using Time Trade-Off and Discrete Choice Experiment Methods: Does Dimension Order Impact on Health State Values?. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 210-217.	0.3	21
26	Views of the UK General Public on Important Aspects of Health Not Captured by EQ-5D. Patient, 2017, 10, 701-709.	2.7	21
27	Experience-Based Values: A Framework for Classifying Different Types of Experience in Health Valuation Research. Patient, 2018, 11, 253-270.	2.7	21
28	An Investigation of the Feasibility and Cultural Appropriateness of Stated Preference Methods to Generate Health State Values in the United Arab Emirates. Value in Health Regional Issues, 2015, 7, 34-41.	1.2	20
29	The effect of religion on the perception of health states among adults in the United Arab Emirates: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016969.	1.9	19
30	PAYER PERSPECTIVES ON FUTURE ACCEPTABILITY OF COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS AND RELATIVE EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2015, 31, 90-98.	0.5	16
31	The EQ-5D-5L Value Set for England: Response to the "Quality Assurance― Value in Health, 2020, 23, 649-655.	0.3	16
32	Exploration of the Reasons Why Health State Valuation Differs for Children Compared With Adults: A Mixed Methods Approach. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 1185-1195.	0.3	16
33	A REVIEW OF HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISALS: CASE STUDIES IN ONCOLOGY. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 101-109.	0.5	14
34	An Empirical Study of Two Alternative Comparators for Use in Time Trade-Off Studies. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 53-59.	0.3	14
35	Is willingness to pay higher for cancer prevention and treatment?. Journal of Cancer Policy, 2017, 11, 60-64.	1.4	12
36	Evaluation of Split Version and Feedback Module on the Improvement of Time Trade-Off Data. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 732-741.	0.3	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	EQ-5D-5L Health-State Values for the Mexican Population. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2021, 19, 905-914.	2.1	11
38	Does Changing the Age of a Child to be Considered in 3-Level Version of EQ-5D-Y Discrete Choice Experiment†Based Valuation Studies Affect Health Preferences?. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 1196-1204.	0.3	10
39	How Should Discrete Choice Experiments with Duration Choice Sets Be Presented for the Valuation of Health States?. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 306-318.	2.4	9
40	Valuing EQ-5D-5L health states †in context†using a discrete choice experiment. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 595-605.	2.8	8
41	Euthanasia, religiosity and the valuation of health states: results from an Irish EQ5D5L valuation study and their implications for anchor values. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2018, 16, 152.	2.4	8
42	The value of international volunteers experience to the NHS. Globalization and Health, 2019, 15, 31.	4.9	8
43	Time and lexicographic preferences in the valuation of EQ-5D-Y with time trade-off methodology. European Journal of Health Economics, 2023, 24, 293-305.	2.8	7
44	A note on the relationship between age and health-related quality of life assessment. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 1201-1205.	3.1	6
45	Government and charity funding of cancer research: public preferences and choices. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2015, 13, 38.	2.8	5
46	Using EQ-5D Data to Measure Hospital Performance: Are General Population Values Distorting Patients' Choices?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 511-521.	2.4	5
47	Criteria for developing, assessing and selecting candidate EQ-5D bolt-ons. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 3041-3048.	3.1	5
48	IS THE LINK BETWEEN HEALTH AND WEALTH CONSIDERED IN DECISION MAKING? RESULTS FROM A QUALITATIVE STUDY. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2015, 31, 449-456.	0.5	4
49	Do people with private health insurance attach a higher value to health than those without insurance? Results from an EQ-5D-5 L valuation study in Ireland. Health Policy, 2020, 124, 639-646.	3.0	4
50	Valuing Health at the End of Life: An Exploratory Preference Elicitation Study. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4
51	Does Society Place Special Value on End of Life Treatments?., 2016, , 155-166.		3
52	Adapting preference-based utility measures to capture the impact of cancer treatment-related symptoms. European Journal of Health Economics, 2021, 22, 1301-1309.	2.8	3
53	Understanding Social Preferences Regarding the Prioritisation of Treatments Addressing Unmet Need and Severity. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	3
54	Understanding Social Preferences Regarding the Prioritisation of Treatments Addressing Unmet Need and Severity. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	An Investigation of the Feasibility and Cultural Appropriateness of Stated Preference Methods to Generate EQ-5D-5L Values in the United Arab Emirates. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
56	Valuing Health at the End of Life: A Stated Preference Discrete Choice Experiment. SSRN Electronic Journal, $0, , .$	0.4	0
57	Impact of Including Carer Information in Time Trade-Off Tasks: Results from a Pilot Study. PharmacoEconomics - Open, 2021, 5, 665-675.	1.8	0
58	Is the Link between Health and Wealth Considered in Decision Making? Results from a Qualitative Study. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0