Carly N Cook

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

49 papers	3,032 citations	201674 27 h-index	197818 49 g-index
49 all docs	49 docs citations	49 times ranked	4536 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Is conservation triage just smart decision making?. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2008, 23, 649-654.	8.7	501
2	Achieving Conservation Science that Bridges the Knowledge–Action Boundary. Conservation Biology, 2013, 27, 669-678.	4.7	395
3	Conservation in the dark? The information used to support management decisions. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2010, 8, 181-186.	4.0	251
4	The uncertain future of protected lands and waters. Science, 2019, 364, 881-886.	12.6	156
5	Decision Support Frameworks and Tools for Conservation. Conservation Letters, 2018, 11, e12385.	5.7	139
6	OFFSPRING SIZE EFFECTS MEDIATE COMPETITIVE INTERACTIONS IN A COLONIAL MARINE INVERTEBRATE. Ecology, 2006, 87, 214-225.	3.2	118
7	Strategic foresight: how planning for the unpredictable can improve environmental decision-making. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2014, 29, 531-541.	8.7	118
8	Managers consider multiple lines of evidence important for biodiversity management decisions. Journal of Environmental Management, 2012, 113, 341-346.	7.8	88
9	Finite conservation funds mean triage is unavoidable. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2009, 24, 183-184.	8.7	86
10	Contribution of Systematic Reviews to Management Decisions. Conservation Biology, 2013, 27, 902-915.	4.7	78
11	Standardized reporting of the costs of management interventions for biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology, 2018, 32, 979-988.	4.7	74
12	Using social‐network research to improve outcomes in natural resource management. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 53-65.	4.7	66
13	Aligning science and policy to achieve evolutionarily enlightened conservation. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 501-512.	4.7	57
14	Decision triggers are a critical part of evidence-based conservation. Biological Conservation, 2016, 195, 46-51.	4.1	51
15	Breaking the deadlock on ivory. Science, 2017, 358, 1378-1381.	12.6	50
16	Measuring progress in marine protection: A new set of metrics to evaluate the strength of marine protected area networks. Biological Conservation, 2018, 219, 20-27.	4.1	50
17	Improving conservation practice with principles and tools from systems thinking and evaluation. Sustainability Science, 2019, 14, 1531-1548.	4.9	48
18	Considering cost alongside the effectiveness of management in evidence-based conservation: A systematic reporting protocol. Biological Conservation, 2017, 209, 508-516.	4.1	44

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Simplifying the selection of evidence synthesis methods to inform environmental decisions: A guide for decision makers and scientists. Biological Conservation, 2017, 213, 135-145.	4.1	42
20	Conservation practitioners' perspectives on decision triggers for evidence-based management. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2016, 53, 1351-1357.	4.0	41
21	Protected area downgrading, downsizing, and degazettement as a threat to iconic protected areas. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 1275-1285.	4.7	41
22	Opportunities for improving the rigor of management effectiveness evaluations in protected areas. Conservation Letters, 2011, 4, 372-382.	5.7	39
23	Accountability, Reporting, or Management Improvement? Development of a State of the Parks Assessment System in New South Wales, Australia. Environmental Management, 2009, 43, 1013-1025.	2.7	35
24	Measuring the accuracy of management effectiveness evaluations of protected areas. Journal of Environmental Management, 2014, 139, 164-171.	7.8	33
25	Quantifying the extent of protected-area downgrading, downsizing, and degazettement in Australia. Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 1039-1052.	4.7	33
26	Privately protected areas provide key opportunities for the regional persistence of large-and medium-sized mammals. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2019, 56, 537-546.	4.0	33
27	To mix or not to mix gene pools for threatened species management? Few studies use genetic data to examine the risks of both actions, but failing to do so leads disproportionately to recommendations for separate management. Biological Conservation, 2021, 256, 109072.	4.1	33
28	Understanding managers' and scientists' perspectives on opportunities to achieve more evolutionarily enlightened management in conservation. Evolutionary Applications, 2018, 11, 1371-1388.	3.1	32
29	The case for embedding researchers in conservation agencies. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 1266-1274.	4.7	31
30	Poor understanding of evolutionary theory is a barrier to effective conservation management. Conservation Letters, 2019, 12, e12619.	5.7	25
31	Using Strategic Foresight to Assess Conservation Opportunity. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 1474-1483.	4.7	24
32	Assessing the current state of ecological connectivity in a large marine protected area system. Conservation Biology, 2021, 35, 699-710.	4.7	22
33	The role of privately protected areas in achieving biodiversity representation within a national protected area network. Conservation Science and Practice, 2020, 2, e307.	2.0	21
34	Conservation cost-effectiveness: a review of the evidence base. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e357.	2.0	20
35	A vision for documenting and sharing knowledge in conservation. Conservation Science and Practice, 2019, 1, e1.	2.0	19
36	Towards quantitative condition assessment of biodiversity outcomes: Insights from Australian marine protected areas. Journal of Environmental Management, 2017, 198, 183-191.	7.8	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Integrating decision triggers into conservation management practice. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2018, 55, 494-502.	4.0	14
38	Evaluating the use of risk assessment frameworks in the identification of population units for biodiversity conservation. Wildlife Research, 2020, 47, 208.	1.4	14
39	Supporting the adaptive capacity of species through more effective knowledge exchange with conservation practitioners. Evolutionary Applications, 2021, 14, 1969-1979.	3.1	14
40	Conservation practitioners' understanding of how to manage evolutionary processes. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 993-1001.	4.7	11
41	Bio‐physical models of marine environments reveal biases in the representation of protected areas. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 2019, 29, 499-510.	2.0	11
42	The <scp>COVID</scp>‐19 pandemic: A learnable moment for conservation. Conservation Science and Practice, 2020, 2, e255.	2.0	11
43	Protected area downgrading, downsizing, and degazettement (PADDD) in marine protected areas. Marine Policy, 2021, 129, 104437.	3.2	11
44	Beyond total area protected: A new set of metrics to measure progress in building a robust protected area estate. Global Environmental Change, 2019, 58, 101963.	7.8	10
45	Maintaining landholder satisfaction and management of private protected areas established under conservation agreements. Journal of Environmental Management, 2022, 305, 114355.	7.8	10
46	Moving from representation to persistence: The capacity of Australia's National Reserve System to support viable populations of mammals. Diversity and Distributions, 2018, 24, 1231-1241.	4.1	8
47	Lessons from other disciplines for setting management thresholds for biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology, 2022, 36, .	4.7	6
48	Tackling the tide: A rapid assessment protocol to detect terrestrial vertebrates in mangrove forests. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2020, 29, 2839-2860.	2.6	2
49	Cutting through the complexity to aid evidence synthesis. A response to Haddaway and Dicks. Biological Conservation, 2018, 218, 291-292.	4.1	1