Carl F Falk

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

38 papers	1,353 citations	430874 18 h-index	361022 35 g-index
44 all docs	44 docs citations	44 times ranked	1594 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Assessing Mediational Models: Testing and Interval Estimation for Indirect Effects. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2010, 45, 661-701.	3.1	254
2	Why do Westerners selfâ€enhance more than East Asians?. European Journal of Personality, 2009, 23, 183-203.	3.1	135
3	For Whom Is Parting With Possessions More Painful?. Psychological Science, 2010, 21, 1910-1917.	3.3	119
4	Not all collectivisms are equal: Opposing preferences for ideal affect between East Asians and Mexicans.. Emotion, 2012, 12, 1206-1209.	1.8	98
5	A flexible full-information approach to the modeling of response styles.. Psychological Methods, 2016, 21, 328-347.	3.5	78
6	Two Cross-Platform Programs for Inferences and Interval Estimation About Indirect Effects in Mediational Models. SAGE Open, 2016, 6, 215824401562544.	1.7	69
7	Recovering Substantive Factor Loadings in the Presence of Acquiescence Bias: A Comparison of Three Approaches. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2014, 49, 407-424.	3.1	54
8	Are Robust Standard Errors the Best Approach for Interval Estimation With Nonnormal Data in Structural Equation Modeling?. Structural Equation Modeling, 2018, 25, 244-266.	3.8	52
9	What Is Implicit Self-Esteem, and Does it Vary Across Cultures?. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 2015, 19, 177-198.	6.0	45
10	The Relationship Between Unstandardized and Standardized Alpha, True Reliability, and the Underlying Measurement Model. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2011, 93, 445-453.	2.1	43
11	Cultural Variation in the Minimal Group Effect. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 2014, 45, 265-281.	1.6	39
12	Unpacking Cultural Differences in Alexithymia. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 2012, 43, 1297-1312.	1.6	38
13	Are Implicit Selfâ€Esteem Measures Valid for Assessing Individual and Cultural Differences?. Journal of Personality, 2015, 83, 56-68.	3.2	33
14	An approach to structural equation modeling with both factors and components: Integrated generalized structured component analysis.. Psychological Methods, 2021, 26, 273-294.	3.5	31
15	Robust Two-Stage Approach Outperforms Robust Full Information Maximum Likelihood With Incomplete Nonnormal Data. Structural Equation Modeling, 2014, 21, 280-302.	3.8	30
16	Inference and Interval Estimation Methods for Indirect Effects With Latent Variable Models. Structural Equation Modeling, 2015, 22, 24-38.	3.8	27
17	Maximum Marginal Likelihood Estimation of a Monotonic Polynomial Generalized Partial Credit Model with Applications to Multiple Group Analysis. Psychometrika, 2016, 81, 434-460.	2.1	24
18	How dynamic are exercise group dynamics? Examining changes in cohesion within class-based exercise programs.. Health Psychology, 2013, 32, 1240-1243.	1.6	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Cultural Variation in the Importance of Expected Enjoyment for Decision Making. Social Cognition, 2010, 28, 609-629.	0.9	21
20	Model Specification Searches in Structural Equation Modeling with R. Structural Equation Modeling, 2018, 25, 484-491.	3.8	19
21	Development and Validation of the Four Facet Mindful Eating Scale (FFaMES). Appetite, 2022, 168, 105689.	3.7	15
22	Modeling Response Styles in Crossâ€Country Selfâ€Reports: An Application of a Multilevel Multidimensional Nominal Response Model. Journal of Educational Measurement, 2019, 56, 169-191.	1.2	14
23	Parsimony in model selection: Tools for assessing fit propensity.. Psychological Methods, 2023, 28, 123-136.	3.5	14
24	Semiparametric Item Response Functions in the Context of Guessing. Journal of Educational Measurement, 2016, 53, 229-247.	1.2	13
25	On Lagrange Multiplier Tests in Multidimensional Item Response Theory: Information Matrices and Model Misspecification. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2018, 78, 653-678.	2.4	10
26	Comparison of different scoring methods based on latent variable models of the PHQ-9: an individual participant data meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2022, 52, 3472-3483.	4.5	9
27	Investigation of Type I Error Rates of Three Versions of Robust Chi-Square Difference Tests. Structural Equation Modeling, 2015, 22, 517-530.	3.8	6
28	Controlling Acquiescence Bias with Multidimensional IRT Modeling. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 2019, , 39-52.	0.2	6
29	Estimation of Response Styles Using the Multidimensional Nominal Response Model: A Tutorial and Comparison With Sum Scores. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 72.	2.1	5
30	The Monotonic Polynomial Graded Response Model: Implementation and a Comparative Study. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2020, 44, 465-481.	1.0	5
31	On the Performance of Semi- and Nonparametric Item Response Functions in Computer Adaptive Tests. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2022, 82, 57-75.	2.4	4
32	Model Selection for Monotonic Polynomial Item Response Models. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 2019, , 75-85.	0.2	3
33	More flexible response functions for the PROMIS physical functioning item bank by application of a monotonic polynomial approach. Quality of Life Research, 2021, , 1.	3.1	3
34	Performance of Personâ€Fit Statistics Under Model Misspecification. Journal of Educational Measurement, 2020, 57, 423-442.	1.2	2
35	A Comparison of Limited-Information Test Statistics for a Response Style MIRT Model. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2020, 56, 1-16.	3.1	2
36	OpenMx: A Modular Research Environment for Item Response Theory Method Development. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2020, 44, 561-562.	1.0	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	A note on the interpretation and simulation of reparameterized intercepts in constrained versions of the nominal response model. The Quantitative Methods for Psychology, 2021, 17, 345-354.	0.9	1
38	A Comparison of Modern and Popular Approaches to Calculating Reliability for Dichotomously Scored Items. Applied Psychological Measurement, 0, , 014662162210842.	1.0	1