Don R Husereau

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4510174/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

94 papers 7,557 citations

30 h-index 83 g-index

98 all docs 98 docs citations

98 times ranked 12195 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2022, 129, 336-344.	1.1	26
2	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Journal of Medical Economics, 2022, 25, 1-7.	1.0	9
3	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BMJ, The, 2022, 376, e067975.	3.0	141
4	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 601-609.	1.7	39
5	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) 2022 Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR CHEERS II Good Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 10-31.	0.1	251
6	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BMC Public Health, 2022, 22, 179.	1.2	7
7	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. Journal of Managed Care & Specialty Pharmacy, 2022, , 1-10.	0.5	O
8	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 114.	0.9	5
9	Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2022, 38, e13.	0.2	78
10	Estándares Consolidados de Reporte de Evaluaciones Económicas Sanitarias: adaptación al español de la lista de comprobación CHEERS 2022. Value in Health Regional Issues, 2022, 27, 110-114.	0.5	4
11	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 3-9.	0.1	254
12	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. MDM Policy and Practice, 2022, 7, 238146832110610.	0.5	1
13	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: Updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. Health Policy OPEN, 2022, 3, 100063.	0.5	11
14	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BMC Medicine, 2022, 20, 23.	2.3	73
15	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2022, 20, 213.	1.0	12
16	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. European Journal of Health Economics, 2022, 23, 1309-1317.	1.4	9
17	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. Journal of Managed Care & Specialty Pharmacy, 2022, 28, 146-155.	0.5	3
18	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Clinical Therapeutics, 2022, 44, 158-168.	1.1	22

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	Delivering precision oncology to patients with cancer. Nature Medicine, 2022, 28, 658-665.	15.2	125
20	Designing and Implementing Deliberative Processes for Health Technology Assessment: A Good Practices Report of a Joint HTAi/ISPOR Task Force. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2022, 38, .	0.2	8
21	Designing and Implementing Deliberative Processes for Health Technology Assessment: A Good Practices Report of a Joint HTAi/ISPOR Task Force. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 869-886.	0.1	11
22	Redefining Health Technology Assessment: A Comment on "The New Definition of Health Technology Assessment: A Milestone in International Collaboration― International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2022, 38, .	0.2	4
23	Optimizing the delivery of genetic and advanced diagnostic testing in the province of Ontario: challenges and implications for laboratory technology assessment and management in decentralized healthcare systems. Journal of Medical Economics, 2022, 25, 993-1004.	1.0	1
24	Evaluating the conduct and application of health utility studies: a review of critical appraisal tools and reporting checklists. European Journal of Health Economics, 2021, 22, 723-733.	1.4	7
25	Towards Transparency in the Selection of Published Health Utility Inputs in Cost-Utility Analyses: The Health Utility Application Tool (HAT). Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 1075-1084.	1.7	0
26	Health and Budget Impact of Liquid-Biopsy-Based Comprehensive Genomic Profile (CGP) Testing in Tissue-Limited Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (aNSCLC) Patients. Current Oncology, 2021, 28, 5278-5294.	0.9	5
27	Value assessment of oncology drugs using a weighted criterionâ€based approach. Cancer, 2020, 126, 1530-1540.	2.0	13
28	Systematic Review of Economic Evaluations of Services Provided by Community Pharmacists. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2020, 18, 375-392.	1.0	3
29	Reproducible research practices, openness and transparency in health economic evaluations: study protocol for a cross-sectional comparative analysis. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034463.	0.8	27
30	Pharmacologic treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0240584.	1.1	36
31	Citation impact was highly variable for reporting guidelines of health research: a citation analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 127, 96-104.	2.4	22
32	Gross profits of Canadian pharmacies: A changing policy regime. Healthcare Management Forum, 2020, 33, 228-232.	0.6	1
33	Reporting guidelines of health research studies are frequently used inappropriately. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 122, 87-94.	2.4	52
34	Matchingâ€adjusted comparison of oral corticosteroid reduction in asthma: Systematic review of biologics. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 2020, 50, 442-452.	1.4	18
35	Health Utility Book (HUB)–Cancer: Protocol for a Systematic Literature Review of Health State Utility Values in Cancer. MDM Policy and Practice, 2019, 4, 238146831985259.	0.5	1
36	Variability in aneurysm sac regression after endovascular aneurysm repair based on a comprehensive registry of patients in Eastern Ontario. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2019, 70, 1469-1478.	0.6	18

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A Beginner's Guide to Understanding Curative Therapies. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 619-620.	0.1	2
38	Use of Real-World Data Sources for Canadian Drug Pricing and Reimbursement Decisions: Stakeholder Views and Lessons for Other Countries. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2019, 35, 181-188.	0.2	5
39	Toward a Centralized, Systematic Approach to the Identification, Appraisal, and Use of Health State Utility Values for Reimbursement Decision Making: Introducing the Health Utility Book (HUB). Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 371-379.	1.2	14
40	Identifying the Need for Good Practices in Health Technology Assessment: Summary of the ISPOR HTA Council Working Group Report on Good Practices in HTA. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 13-20.	0.1	76
41	Systematic review and MAIC of OCS reduction with biologic asthma treatments. , 2019, , .		0
42	Policy Options for Infliximab Biosimilars in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Given Emerging Evidence for Switching. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2018, 16, 279-288.	1.0	7
43	Oncology Modeling for Fun and Profit! Key Steps for Busy Analysts in Health Technology Assessment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 7-15.	1.7	11
44	Evidence and its impact on pharmacy practice: Don't be "evidence-illiterate― Canadian Pharmacists Journal, 2018, 151, 357-358.	0.4	2
45	Economic evaluations of eHealth technologies: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0198112.	1.1	65
46	Matching-adjusted indirect comparison of benralizumab <i>versus</i> interleukin-5 inhibitors for the treatment of severe asthma: a systematic review. European Respiratory Journal, 2018, 52, 1801393.	3.1	74
47	Severe, eosinophilic asthma in primary care in Canada: a longitudinal study of the clinical burden and economic impact based on linked electronic medical record data. Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology, 2018, 14, 15.	0.9	16
48	PCO30 Device-Specific Variability in Aneurysm Sac Regression Following Endovascular Aneurysm Repair Based on a Comprehensive Registry of Patients in Eastern Ontario. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2017, 65, 148S.	0.6	0
49	Device-Specific Variability in Aneurysm Sac Regression After Endovascular Aneurysm Repair Based on a Comprehensive Registry of Patients in Eastern Ontario. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2017, 66, e73-e74.	0.6	0
50	Roteiro para relato de estudos de avaliação econômica. Epidemiologia E Servicos De Saude: Revista Do Sistema Unico De Saude Do Brasil, 2017, 26, 895-898.	0.3	5
51	Systematic review and network meta-analysis of stroke-prevention treatments in patients with atrial fibrillation. Clinical Pharmacology: Advances and Applications, 2016, Volume 8, 93-107.	0.8	35
52	CHANGING HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT PARADIGMS?. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2016, 32, 191-199.	0.2	34
53	Using Phase-Based Costing of Real-World Data to Inform Decision–Analytic Models for Atrial Fibrillation. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2016, 14, 313-322.	1.0	6
54	MEDICAL DEVICE PRICES IN ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2015, 31, 86-89.	0.2	4

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
55	David Sackett 1934–2015. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 549.	0.1	0
56	How do we value a cure?. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2015, 15, 551-555.	0.7	12
57	Reply to Roberts et al.: CHEERS is Sufficient for Reporting Cost-Benefit Analysis, but May Require Further Elaboration. Pharmacoeconomics, 2015, 33, 535-536.	1.7	7
58	How do Economic Evaluations Inform Health Policy Decisions for Treatment and Prevention in Canada and the United States?. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2015, 13, 273-279.	1.0	11
59	A Systematic Review of Cost-Sharing Strategies Used within Publicly-Funded Drug Plans in Member Countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e90434.	1.1	35
60	Incorporating economic evaluation into immunization decision making in Canada: a workshop. Expert Review of Vaccines, 2014, 13, 1291-1296.	2.0	4
61	Evolution of Drug Reimbursement in Canada: The Pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance for New Drugs. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 888-894.	0.1	23
62	HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND PERSONALIZED MEDICINE: ARE ECONOMIC EVALUATION GUIDELINES SUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT DECISION MAKING?. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2014, 30, 179-187.	0.2	30
63	ADAPTIVE APPROACHES TO LICENSING, HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, AND INTRODUCTION OF DRUGS AND DEVICES. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2014, 30, 241-249.	0.2	16
64	A Questionnaire to Assess the Relevance and Credibility of Observational Studies to Inform Health Care Decision Making:ÂAn ISPOR-AMCP-NPC Good Practice Task Force Report. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 143-156.	0.1	96
65	A Synthesis of Drug Reimbursement Decision-Making Processes in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Countries. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 98-108.	0.1	57
66	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, $2013,11,6.$	0.6	264
67	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BMC Medicine, 2013, 11, 80.	2.3	185
68	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)â€"Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 231-250.	0.1	1,657
69	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 361-367.	1.7	124
70	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Value in Health, 2013, 16, e1-e5.	0.1	513
71	Lista de Comprobaci \tilde{A}^3 n CHEERS11Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards. en Espa $\tilde{A}\pm \hat{I}\dagger$ ol: Est \tilde{A}_1 ndares Consolidados de Reporte de Evaluaciones Econ \tilde{A}^3 micas Sanitarias. Value in Health Regional Issues, 2013, 2, 335-337.	0.5	0
72	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Clinical Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.	1.1	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. European Journal of Health Economics, 2013, 14, 367-372.	1.4	191
74	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 120, 765-770.	1.1	36
75	Identifying strategies to improve diabetes care in Alberta, Canada, using the knowledge-to-action cycle. CMAJ Open, 2013, 1, E142-E150.	1.1	6
76	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. Journal of Medical Economics, 2013, 16, 713-719.	1.0	18
77	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH ECONOMIC EVALUATION REPORTING STANDARDS (CHEERS) STATEMENT. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 117-122.	0.2	281
78	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f1049-f1049.	3.0	1,082
79	Statins for primary prevention. Cmaj, 2012, 184, 791.2-792.	0.9	1
80	Trends in the utilization of endovascular therapy for elective and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm procedures in Canada. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2012, 56, 1518-1526.e1.	0.6	17
81	Wait times among patients with symptomatic carotid artery stenosis requiring carotid endarterectomy for stroke prevention. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2012, 56, 661-667.e2.	0.6	20
82	Guidelines for Health Technologies: Specific Guidance for Oncology Products in Canada. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 580-585.	0.1	14
83	THE EFFECT OF ENGLISH-LANGUAGE RESTRICTION ON SYSTEMATIC REVIEW-BASED META-ANALYSES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EMPIRICAL STUDIES. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2012, 28, 138-144.	0.2	804
84	RR12. Trends in the Utilization of Endovascular Therapy for Elective and Ruptured Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Procedures across Canada: A Cohort Study. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2011, 53, 97S-98S.	0.6	0
85	Efficacy of statins for primary prevention in people at low cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis. Cmaj, 2011, 183, E1189-E1202.	0.9	112
86	Cost-effectiveness of the use of low- and high-potency statins in people at low cardiovascular risk. Cmaj, 2011, 183, E1180-E1188.	0.9	32
87	Editorial [Sentence First, Verdict Afterwards: Using Value of Information Analysis to Inform Decisions about Pharmacogenomic Test Adoption and Research]. Current Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine, 2010, 8, 167-170.	0.2	5
88	Priority setting for health technology assessment at CADTH. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2010, 26, 341-347.	0.2	55
89	Health technology assessment in Canada. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2009, 25, 53-60.	0.2	30
90	Health Technology Assessment: A Review of International Activity and Examples of Approaches With Computed Tomographic Colonography. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2009, 6, 343-352.	0.9	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Priority setting for health technology assessments: A systematic review of current practical approaches. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2007, 23, 310-315.	0.2	114
92	Priority setting for health technology assessments: A systematic review of current practical approaches. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2007, 23, 519-519.	0.2	1
93	Long acting \hat{I}^2 2agonists for stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with poor reversibility: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 2004, 4, 7.	0.8	10
94	A general affinity method to purify peroxidase-tagged antibodies. Journal of Immunological Methods, 2001, 249, 33-41.	0.6	15