## **Brooke Levis**

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4459519/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



RPOOKE LEVIS

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
1	Accuracy of Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect major depression: individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 365, 11476.	2.3	822
2	Accuracy of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) for screening to detect major depression among pregnant and postpartum women: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m4022.	6.0	298
3	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2632.	6.0	262
4	Accuracy of the PHQ-2 Alone and in Combination With the PHQ-9 for Screening to Detect Major Depression. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2020, 323, 2290.	7.4	242
5	Equivalency of the diagnostic accuracy of the PHQ-8 and PHQ-9: a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2020, 50, 1368-1380.	4.5	175
6	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 scores do not accurately estimate depression prevalence: individual participant data meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 122, 115-128.e1.	5.0	113
7	Effects of screening for psychological distress on patient outcomes in cancer: A systematic review. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2013, 75, 1-17.	2.6	111
8	Does Evidence Support the American Heart Association's Recommendation to Screen Patients for Depression in Cardiovascular Care? An Updated Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e52654.	2.5	109
9	Depression screening and patient outcomes in pregnancy or postpartum: A systematic review. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2014, 76, 433-446.	2.6	88
10	Accuracy of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Depression subscale (HADS-D) to screen for major depression: systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2021, 373, n972.	6.0	77
11	A systematic review of validated screening tools for anxiety disorders and PTSD in low to middle income countries. BMC Psychiatry, 2020, 20, 338.	2.6	73
12	The diagnostic accuracy of the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2), Patient Health Questionnaire-8 (PHQ-8), and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for detecting major depression: protocol for a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 124.	5.3	71
13	The Accuracy of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 Algorithm for Screening to Detect Major Depression: An Individual Participant Data Meta-Analysis. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2020, 89, 25-37.	8.8	67
14	Comparison of depression prevalence estimates in meta-analyses based on screening tools and rating scales versus diagnostic interviews: a meta-research review. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 65.	5.5	64
15	Accuracy of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for screening to detect major depression: updated systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2021, 375, n2183.	6.0	64
16	Psychosocial Aspects of Scleroderma. Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America, 2015, 41, 519-528.	1.9	58
17	Association between antihypertensive treatment and adverse events: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n189.	6.0	58
18	Probability of major depression diagnostic classification using semi-structured versus fully structured diagnostic interviews. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2018, 212, 377-385.	2.8	53

BROOKE LEVIS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Diagnostic accuracy of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) for detecting major depression in pregnant and postnatal women: protocol for a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analyses. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e009742.	1.9	46
20	Selective Cutoff Reporting in Studies of Diagnostic Test Accuracy: A Comparison of Conventional and Individual-Patient-Data Meta-Analyses of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 Depression Screening Tool. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2017, 185, 954-964.	3.4	45
21	Comparison of major depression diagnostic classification probability using the SCID, CIDI, and MINI diagnostic interviews among women in pregnancy or postpartum: An individual participant data metaâ€analysis. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 2019, 28, e1803.	2.1	34
22	Completeness of Reporting of Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Based on the PRISMA-DTA Reporting Guideline. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 291-301.	3.2	33
23	Probability of major depression diagnostic classification based on the SCID, CIDI and MINI diagnostic interviews controlling for Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression subscale scores: An individual participant data meta-analysis of 73 primary studies. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2020. 129. 109892.	2.6	33
24	CT/MRI and CEUS LI-RADS Major Features Association with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2022, 302, 326-335.	7.3	32
25	Depression prevalence based on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale compared to Structured Clinical Interview for DSM DIsorders classification: Systematic review and individual participant data metaâ€analysis. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 2021, 30, e1860.	2.1	30
26	Are MEDLINE searches sufficient for systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the diagnostic accuracy of depression screening tools? A review of meta-analyses. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2016, 87, 7-13.	2.6	29
27	Evaluation of Journal Registration Policies and Prospective Registration of Randomized Clinical Trials of Nonregulated Health Care Interventions. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 624.	5.1	25
28	Diagnostic accuracy of the Geriatric Depression Scale-30, Geriatric Depression Scale-15, Geriatric Depression Scale-5 and Geriatric Depression Scale-4 for detecting major depression: protocol for a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e026598.	1.9	24
29	Effects of a multi-faceted education and support programme on anxiety symptoms among people with systemic sclerosis and anxiety during COVID-19 (SPIN-CHAT): a two-arm parallel, partially nested, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Rheumatology, The, 2021, 3, e427-e437.	3.9	24
30	Rates and correlates of sexual activity and impairment among women with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Care and Research, 2012, 64, 340-350.	3.4	23
31	Diagnostic accuracy of the Depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D) for detecting major depression: protocol for a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analyses. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011913.	1.9	22
32	Protocol for a partially nested randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the scleroderma patient-centered intervention network COVID-19 home-isolation activities together (SPIN-CHAT) program to reduce anxiety among at-risk scleroderma patients. Journal of Psychosomatic Research. 2020. 135. 110132.	2.6	21
33	Validation of the COVID-19 Fears Questionnaires for Chronic Medical Conditions: A Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network COVID-19 Cohort study. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2020, 139, 110271.	2.6	20
34	Probability of Major Depression Classification Based on the SCID, CIDI, and MINI Diagnostic Interviews: A Synthesis of Three Individual Participant Data Meta-Analyses. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2021, 90, 28-40.	8.8	20
35	Depression prevalence using the HADS-D compared to SCID major depression classification: An individual participant data meta-analysis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2020, 139, 110256.	2.6	19
36	Sexual Activity and Impairment in Women with Systemic Sclerosis Compared to Women from a General Population Sample. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e52129.	2.5	17

BROOKE LEVIS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Shortening self-report mental health symptom measures through optimal test assembly methods: Development and validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire-Depression-4. Depression and Anxiety, 2019, 36, 82-92.	4.1	16
38	Impact of Reference Standard on CT, MRI, and Contrast-enhanced US LI-RADS Diagnosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2022, 303, 544-545.	7.3	15
39	Selective cutoff reporting in studies of the accuracy of the Patient Health Questionnaireâ€9 and Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale: Comparison of results based on published cutoffs versus all cutoffs using individual participant data metaâ€analysis. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2021, 30. e1873.	2.1	12
40	Using Marital Status and Continuous Marital Satisfaction Ratings to Predict Depressive Symptoms in Married and Unmarried Women With Systemic Sclerosis: A Canadian Scleroderma Research Group Study. Arthritis Care and Research, 2016, 68, 1143-1149.	3.4	10
41	Factors associated with symptoms of depression among informal caregivers of people with systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional study. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2020, 42, 394-399.	1.8	10
42	The association of sociodemographic and objectively-assessed disease variables with fatigue in systemic sclerosis: an analysis of 785 Canadian Scleroderma Research Group Registry patients. Clinical Rheumatology, 2017, 36, 373-379.	2.2	9
43	An empirical comparison of three methods for multiple cutoff diagnostic test metaâ€analysis of the Patient Health Questionnaireâ€9 ( PHQ â€9) depression screening tool using published data vs individual level data. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 833-848.	8.7	9
44	Overestimation of Postpartum Depression Prevalence Based on a 5-item Version of the EPDS: Systematic Review and Individual Participant Data Meta-analysis. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 2020, 65, 835-844.	1.9	9
45	Comparison of different scoring methods based on latent variable models of the PHQ-9: an individual participant data meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2022, 52, 3472-3483.	4.5	9
46	Data-driven methods distort optimal cutoffs and accuracy estimates of depression screening tools: a simulation study using individual participant data. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 137-147.	5.0	9
47	Pain levels and associated factors in the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) cohort: a multicentre cross-sectional study. Lancet Rheumatology, The, 2021, 3, e844-e854.	3.9	9
48	Reliability and Validity of Three Versions of the Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis: A Scleroderma Patientâ€Centered Intervention Network Cohort Study. Arthritis Care and Research, 2018, 70, 1646-1652.	3.4	8
49	Reporting of drug trial funding sources and author financial conflicts of interest in Cochrane and non-Cochrane meta-analyses: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035633.	1.9	8
50	PRIME-IPD SERIES Part 2. Retrieving, checking, and harmonizing data are underappreciated challenges in individual participant data meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 136, 221-223.	5.0	5
51	Shortening the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale using optimal test assembly methods: Development of the EPDSâ€Depâ€5. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 2021, 143, 348-362.	4.5	5
52	A comparison of bivariate, multivariate randomâ€effects, and Poisson correlated gammaâ€frailty models to metaâ€analyze individual patient data of ordinal scale diagnostic tests. Biometrical Journal, 2017, 59, 1317-1338.	1.0	4
53	The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Self-Management (SPIN-SELF) Program: protocol for a two-arm parallel partially nested randomized controlled feasibility trial with progression to full-scale trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 856.	1.6	4
54	Validation of the Body Concealment Scale for Scleroderma (BCSS): Replication in the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort. Body Image, 2017, 20, 99-106.	4.3	3

BROOKE LEVIS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	External validation of a shortened screening tool using individual participant data meta-analysis: A case study of the Patient Health Questionnaire-Dep-4. Methods, 2022, 204, 300-311.	3.8	3
56	Randomized feasibility trial of the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Self-Management (SPIN-SELF) Program. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2022, 8, 45.	1.2	3
57	Sample size and precision of estimates in studies of depression screening tool accuracy: A metaâ€research review of studies published in 2018–2021. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 2022, 31, e1910.	2.1	3
58	Inclusion of currently diagnosed or treated individuals in studies of depression screening tool accuracy: a meta-research review of studies published in 2018-2021. General Hospital Psychiatry, 2022, 76, 25-30.	2.4	3
59	The Comparability of Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy - Fatigue Scores between Cancer and Systemic Sclerosis. Journal of Scleroderma and Related Disorders, 2017, 2, 57-63.	1.7	2
60	Reducing Waste and Increasing the Usability of Psychiatry Research: The Family of EQUATOR Reporting Guidelines and One of Its Newest Members: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 2018, 63, 509-512.	1.9	2
61	Intensity of care and perceived burden among informal caregivers to persons with chronic medical conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2022, 44, 6230-6246.	1.8	2
62	PHQ-8 scores and estimation of depression prevalence. Lancet Public Health, The, 2021, 6, e793.	10.0	2
63	A protocol for the VISION study: An indiVidual patient data meta-analysis of randomised trials comparing MRI-targeted biopsy to standard transrectal ultraSound guided blopsy in the detection of prOstate cancer. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0263345.	2.5	2
64	Are Couple-Oriented Interventions Effective Across Chronic Illnesses? A Commentary on Martire et al Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2011, 42, 134-135.	2.9	1
65	Reassessing the clinical utility of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-9 for depression screening in prenatal women: a commentary on Sidebottom et al Archives of Women's Mental Health, 2013, 16, 253-254.	2.6	1
66	Resident Physicians With Depression or Depressive Symptoms. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2347.	7.4	1
67	THREE AUTHORS REPLY. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2017, 186, 895-895.	3.4	1
68	Group sample sizes in nonregulated health care intervention trials described as randomized controlled trials were overly similar. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 120, 8-16.	5.0	1