
Johan Stranne

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4452446/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Risk of hernia formation after radical prostatectomy: a comparison between open and robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy within the prospectively controlled LAPPRO trial. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2022, 26, 157-164.	2.0	7
2	Prostate Cancer Screening with Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Results from the Second Round of the Göteborg Prostate Cancer Screening 2 Trial. European Urology Oncology, 2022, 5, 54-60.	5.4	12
3	Lymph swelling after radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection. BJU International, 2022, 129, 695-698.	2.5	1
4	A Phase 2 Trial of the Effect of Antiandrogen Therapy on COVID-19 Outcome: No Evidence of Benefit, Supported by Epidemiology and In Vitro Data. European Urology, 2022, 81, 285-293.	1.9	40
5	Results from 22 years of Followup in the Göteborg Randomized Population-Based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. Journal of Urology, 2022, 208, 292-300.	0.4	31
6	Learning curve for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a large prospective multicentre study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 182-190.	1.0	0
7	Characteristics of Patients in SPCG-15—A Randomized Trial Comparing Radical Prostatectomy with Primary Radiotherapy plus Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men with Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 41, 63-73.	0.4	3
8	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 1: early detection, diagnostics, staging, patient support and primary management of non-metastatic disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 265-273.	1.0	13
9	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 2: recurrent, metastatic and castration resistant disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 278-284.	1.0	10
10	The Impact of Robotic-Assisted Surgery on Team Performance: A Systematic Mixed Studies Review. Human Factors, 2021, 63, 1352-1379.	3.5	19
11	Surgeon heterogeneity significantly affects functional and oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy in the Swedish LAPPRO trial. BJU International, 2021, 127, 361-368.	2.5	24
12	Vesicourethral Anastomotic Stenosis After Open or Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Retropubic Prostatectomy—Results from the Laparoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 317-324.	3.1	14
13	The GÖTEBORG prostate cancer screening 2 trial: a prospective, randomised, population-based prostate cancer screening trial with prostate-specific antigen testing followed by magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2021, 55, 116-124.	1.0	27
14	COVIDENZA - A prospective, multicenter, randomized PHASE II clinical trial of enzalutamide treatment to decrease the morbidity in patients with Corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 209.	1.6	8
15	Urinary continence recovery and oncological outcomes after surgery for prostate cancer analysed by risk category: results from the LAParoscopic prostatectomy robot and open trial. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3239-3249.	2.2	11
16	Degree of Preservation of Neurovascular Bundles in Radical Prostatectomy and Recurrence of Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 30, 25-33.	0.4	6
17	Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. European Urology, 2021, 80, 650-660.	1.9	46
18	Reply to Wei Zhang So, Ziting Wang, and Ho Yee Tiong's Letter to the Editor re: Anna Lantz, David Bock, Olof Akre, et al. Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. Eur Urol 2021;80:650–60. European Urology, 2021, 81, e43-e43.	1.9	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Associations between intraoperative factors and surgeons' self-assessed operative satisfaction. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 61-68.	2.4	6
20	Single institution followed by national implementation of systematic surgical quality control and feedback for radical prostatectomy: a 20-year journey. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1397-1411.	2.2	11
21	Hospital readmissions after limited vs. extended lymph node dissection during open and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 5.e1-5.e8.	1.6	2
22	Risk of Recurrent Disease 6 Years After Open or Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in the Prospective Controlled Trial LAPPRO. European Urology Open Science, 2020, 20, 54-61.	0.4	7
23	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy and modern aspects of complications and antibiotic treatment. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 162-170.	1.0	8
24	Prostate volume and age are predictors of energy delivery using the CoreTherm Concept in patients with LUTS/BPO: a study on thermal dose. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 248-252.	1.0	1
25	Long-term Outcomes for Men in a Prostate Screening Trial with an Initial Benign Prostate Biopsy: A Population-based Cohort. European Urology Oncology, 2019, 2, 716-722.	5.4	11
26	The rate of deterioration of erectile function increases with age: results from a longitudinal population based survey. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 161-165.	1.0	4
27	90-Day readmission after radical prostatectomy—a prospective comparison between robot-assisted and open surgery. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 26-33.	1.0	23
28	Quality of Life After Open Radical Prostatectomy Compared with Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 389-398.	3.1	38
29	Ureteroscopy: a population based study of clinical complications and possible risk factors for stone surgery. Central European Journal of Urology, 2019, 72, 285-295.	0.3	2
30	Thinking about one's own death after prostate-cancer diagnosis. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2018, 26, 1665-1673.	2.2	3
31	Eighteen-year follow-up of the Göteborg Randomized Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial: effect of sociodemographic variables on participation, prostate cancer incidence and mortality. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 27-37.	1.0	53
32	Social constraints and psychological wellâ€being after prostate cancer: A followâ€up at 12 and 24Âmonths after surgery. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 668-675.	2.3	7
33	Erectile Function and Oncologic Outcomes Following Open Retropubic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Results from the LAParoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology, 2018, 73, 618-627.	1.9	62
34	SPCG-15: a prospective randomized study comparing primary radical prostatectomy and primary radiotherapy plus androgen deprivation therapy for locally advanced prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 313-320.	1.0	40
35	Prostate cancer risk assessment in men with an initial P.S.A. below 3 ng/mL: results from the Göteborg randomized population-based prostate cancer screening trial. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 256-262.	1.0	9
36	Long-Term Outcomes after Deferred Radical Prostatectomy in Men Initially Treated with Active Surveillance. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 779-785.	0.4	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Functional and Oncologic Outcomes Between Open and Robotic Radical Prostatectomy at 24-month Follow-up in the Swedish LAPPRO Trial. European Urology Oncology, 2018, 1, 353-360.	5.4	61
38	Health Economic Analysis of Open and Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Prostate Cancer Within the Prospective Multicentre LAPPRO Trial. European Urology, 2018, 74, 816-824.	1.9	58
39	Habits and self-assessed quality of life, negative intrusive thoughts and depressed mood in patients with prostate cancer: a longitudinal study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 353-359.	1.0	10
40	Corrigendum re: "Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial―[Eur Urol 2015;68:216–25]. European Urology, 2017, 72, e81-e82.	1.9	4
41	How badly did it hit? Self-assessed emotional shock upon prostate cancer diagnosis and psychological well-being: a follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 months after surgery. Acta Oncológica, 2017, 56, 984-990.	1.8	6
42	Careâ€related predictors for negative intrusive thoughts after prostate cancer diagnosis—data from the prospective LAPPRO trial. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1749-1757.	2.3	5
43	Complications in extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy: a cohort study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 407-413.	1.0	9
44	Oncological and functional outcomes 1 year after radical prostatectomy for veryâ€lowâ€risk prostate cancer: results from the prospective <scp>LAPPRO</scp> trial. BJU International, 2016, 118, 205-212.	2.5	38
45	Preparedness for side effects and bother in symptomatic men after radical prostatectomy in a prospective, non-randomized trial, LAPPRO. Acta Oncológica, 2016, 55, 1467-1476.	1.8	10
46	Psychological Well-being and Private and Professional Psychosocial Support After Prostate Cancer Surgery: A Follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 Months After Surgery. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 418-425.	3.1	12
47	Long-term Results of Active Surveillance in the Göteborg Randomized, Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. European Urology, 2016, 70, 760-766.	1.9	130
48	Reply from Authors re: Roderick C.N. van den Bergh, Declan G. Murphy, Henk G. van der Poel. Expectant Management for Prostate Cancer: Lessons from the Past, Challenges for the Future. Eur Urol 2016;70:767–8. European Urology, 2016, 70, 769-770.	1.9	0
49	Editorial Comment from Dr Stranne and Psychotherapist Stranne to Postoperative urinary incontinence exacerbates nocturiaâ€specific quality of life after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2016, 23, 879-880.	1.0	0
50	The Effect of Start and Stop Age at Screening on the Risk of Being Diagnosed with Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, 1390-1396.	0.4	20
51	Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Prostate Cancer Screening: A Pilot Study Within the Göteborg Randomised Screening Trial. European Urology, 2016, 70, 566-573.	1.9	65
52	Degree of Preservation of the Neurovascular Bundles During Radical Prostatectomy and Urinary Continence 1 Year after Surgery. European Urology, 2015, 67, 559-568.	1.9	107
53	Opportunistic Testing Versus Organized Prostate-specific Antigen Screening: Outcome After 18 Years in the GA¶teborg Randomized Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 354-360.	1.9	110
54	Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 216-225.	1.9	347

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	1.9	84
56	Thromboembolic Complications in 3,544 Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy with or without Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 117-125.	0.4	58
57	High-energy feedback microwave thermotherapy and intraprostatic injections of mepivacaine and adrenaline: an evaluation of calculated cell kill accuracy and responder rate. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2014, 48, 374-378.	1.0	5
58	Effects of surgeon variability on oncologic and functional outcomes in a population-based setting. BMC Urology, 2014, 14, 25.	1.4	20
59	Incidence of Groin Hernia Repair After Radical Prostatectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 259, 1223-1227.	4.2	48
60	Editorial Comment to Patent processus vaginalis in adults who underwent robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Predictive signs of postoperative inguinal hernia in the internal inguinal floor. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 183-184.	1.0	2
61	Influence of age and changes over time on erectile dysfunction: Results from two large cross-sectional surveys 11 years apart. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2013, 47, 198-205.	1.0	8
62	Inguinal hernia after radical retropubic prostatectomy: risk factors and prevention. Nature Reviews Urology, 2011, 8, 267-273.	3.8	34
63	Radical retropubic prostatectomy: A review of outcomes and side-effects. Acta Oncológica, 2011, 50, 92-97.	1.8	41
64	LAPPRO: A prospective multicentre comparative study of robot-assisted laparoscopic and retropubic radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2011, 45, 102-112.	1.4	63
65	High accuracy of Swedish death certificates in men participating in screening for prostate cancer: A comparative study of official death certificates with a cause of death committee using a standardized algorithm. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2011, 45, 226-232.	1.4	46
66	Inguinal Hernia After Radical Prostatectomy for Prostate Cancer: Results From a Randomized Setting and a Nonrandomized Setting. European Urology, 2010, 58, 719-726.	1.9	76
67	Mortality results from the Göteborg randomised population-based prostate-cancer screening trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2010, 11, 725-732.	10.7	843
68	Post-Radical Prostatectomy Inguinal Hernia: A Simple Surgical Intervention can Substantially Reduce the Incidence—Results From a Prospective Randomized Trial. Journal of Urology, 2010, 184, 984-989.	0.4	30
69	One-third of the Swedish male population over 50 years of age suffers from lower urinary tract symptoms. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2009, 43, 199-205.	1.4	11
70	Inguinal hernia is a common complication in lower midline incision surgery. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2007, 11, 247-252.	2.0	34
71	Post-Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy Inguinal Hernia: An Analysis of Risk Factors With Special Reference to Preoperative Inguinal Hernia Morbidity and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2006, 176, 2072-2076.	0.4	55
72	UP-02.49. Urology, 2006, 68, 267.	1.0	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Inguinal hernia in Stage M0 prostate cancer: A comparison of incidence in men treated with and without radical retropubic prostatectomy—an analysis of 1105 patients. Urology, 2005, 65, 847-851.	1.0	50
74	Singleâ€dose orally administered quinolone appears to be sufficient antibiotic prophylaxis for radical retropubic prostatectomy. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2004, 38, 143-147.	1.4	17
75	INGUINAL HERNIA AFTER RADICAL RETROPUBIC PROSTATECTOMY FOR PROSTATE CANCER: A STUDY OF INCIDENCE AND RISK FACTORS IN COMPARISON TO NO OPERATION AND LYMPHADENECTOMY. Journal of Urology, 2001, 166, 964-967.	0.4	102