Johan Stranne

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4452446/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

186265 155660 3,193 75 28 55 h-index citations g-index papers 79 79 79 3088 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mortality results from the GÃ \P teborg randomised population-based prostate-cancer screening trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2010, 11, 725-732.	10.7	843
2	Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 216-225.	1.9	347
3	Long-term Results of Active Surveillance in the Göteborg Randomized, Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. European Urology, 2016, 70, 760-766.	1.9	130
4	Opportunistic Testing Versus Organized Prostate-specific Antigen Screening: Outcome After 18 Years in the Göteborg Randomized Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 354-360.	1.9	110
5	Degree of Preservation of the Neurovascular Bundles During Radical Prostatectomy and Urinary Continence 1 Year after Surgery. European Urology, 2015, 67, 559-568.	1.9	107
6	INGUINAL HERNIA AFTER RADICAL RETROPUBIC PROSTATECTOMY FOR PROSTATE CANCER: A STUDY OF INCIDENCE AND RISK FACTORS IN COMPARISON TO NO OPERATION AND LYMPHADENECTOMY. Journal of Urology, 2001, 166, 964-967.	0.4	102
7	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	1.9	84
8	Inguinal Hernia After Radical Prostatectomy for Prostate Cancer: Results From a Randomized Setting and a Nonrandomized Setting. European Urology, 2010, 58, 719-726.	1.9	76
9	Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Prostate Cancer Screening: A Pilot Study Within the GA¶teborg Randomised Screening Trial. European Urology, 2016, 70, 566-573.	1.9	65
10	LAPPRO: A prospective multicentre comparative study of robot-assisted laparoscopic and retropubic radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2011, 45, 102-112.	1.4	63
11	Erectile Function and Oncologic Outcomes Following Open Retropubic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Results from the LAParoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology, 2018, 73, 618-627.	1.9	62
12	Functional and Oncologic Outcomes Between Open and Robotic Radical Prostatectomy at 24-month Follow-up in the Swedish LAPPRO Trial. European Urology Oncology, 2018, 1, 353-360.	5.4	61
13	Thromboembolic Complications in 3,544 Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy with or without Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 117-125.	0.4	58
14	Health Economic Analysis of Open and Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Surgery for Prostate Cancer Within the Prospective Multicentre LAPPRO Trial. European Urology, 2018, 74, 816-824.	1.9	58
15	Post-Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy Inguinal Hernia: An Analysis of Risk Factors With Special Reference to Preoperative Inguinal Hernia Morbidity and Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2006, 176, 2072-2076.	0.4	55
16	Eighteen-year follow-up of the GA¶teborg Randomized Population-based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial: effect of sociodemographic variables on participation, prostate cancer incidence and mortality. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 27-37.	1.0	53
17	Inguinal hernia in Stage M0 prostate cancer: A comparison of incidence in men treated with and without radical retropubic prostatectomy—an analysis of 1105 patients. Urology, 2005, 65, 847-851.	1.0	50
18	Incidence of Groin Hernia Repair After Radical Prostatectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 259, 1223-1227.	4.2	48

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	High accuracy of Swedish death certificates in men participating in screening for prostate cancer: A comparative study of official death certificates with a cause of death committee using a standardized algorithm. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2011, 45, 226-232.	1.4	46
20	Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. European Urology, 2021, 80, 650-660.	1.9	46
21	Radical retropubic prostatectomy: A review of outcomes and side-effects. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2011, 50, 92-97.	1.8	41
22	SPCG-15: a prospective randomized study comparing primary radical prostatectomy and primary radiotherapy plus androgen deprivation therapy for locally advanced prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 313-320.	1.0	40
23	A Phase 2 Trial of the Effect of Antiandrogen Therapy on COVID-19 Outcome: No Evidence of Benefit, Supported by Epidemiology and In Vitro Data. European Urology, 2022, 81, 285-293.	1.9	40
24	Oncological and functional outcomes 1 year after radical prostatectomy for veryâ€lowâ€risk prostate cancer: results from the prospective <scp>LAPPRO</scp> trial. BJU International, 2016, 118, 205-212.	2.5	38
25	Quality of Life After Open Radical Prostatectomy Compared with Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 389-398.	3.1	38
26	Inguinal hernia is a common complication in lower midline incision surgery. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2007, 11, 247-252.	2.0	34
27	Inguinal hernia after radical retropubic prostatectomy: risk factors and prevention. Nature Reviews Urology, 2011, 8, 267-273.	3.8	34
28	Results from 22 years of Followup in the Göteborg Randomized Population-Based Prostate Cancer Screening Trial. Journal of Urology, 2022, 208, 292-300.	0.4	31
29	Post-Radical Prostatectomy Inguinal Hernia: A Simple Surgical Intervention can Substantially Reduce the Incidenceâ€"Results From a Prospective Randomized Trial. Journal of Urology, 2010, 184, 984-989.	0.4	30
30	The GÃ $-$ TEBORG prostate cancer screening 2 trial: a prospective, randomised, population-based prostate cancer screening trial with prostate-specific antigen testing followed by magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2021, 55, 116-124.	1.0	27
31	Surgeon heterogeneity significantly affects functional and oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy in the Swedish LAPPRO trial. BJU International, 2021, 127, 361-368.	2.5	24
32	90-Day readmission after radical prostatectomyâ€"a prospective comparison between robot-assisted and open surgery. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 26-33.	1.0	23
33	Effects of surgeon variability on oncologic and functional outcomes in a population-based setting. BMC Urology, 2014, 14, 25.	1.4	20
34	The Effect of Start and Stop Age at Screening on the Risk of Being Diagnosed with Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, 1390-1396.	0.4	20
35	The Impact of Robotic-Assisted Surgery on Team Performance: A Systematic Mixed Studies Review. Human Factors, 2021, 63, 1352-1379.	3.5	19
36	Singleâ€dose orally administered quinolone appears to be sufficient antibiotic prophylaxis for radical retropubic prostatectomy. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2004, 38, 143-147.	1.4	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Vesicourethral Anastomotic Stenosis After Open or Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Retropubic Prostatectomy—Results from the Laparoscopic Prostatectomy Robot Open Trial. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 317-324.	3.1	14
38	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 1: early detection, diagnostics, staging, patient support and primary management of non-metastatic disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 265-273.	1.0	13
39	Psychological Well-being and Private and Professional Psychosocial Support After Prostate Cancer Surgery: A Follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 Months After Surgery. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 418-425.	3.1	12
40	Prostate Cancer Screening with Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Results from the Second Round of the Göteborg Prostate Cancer Screening 2 Trial. European Urology Oncology, 2022, 5, 54-60.	5 . 4	12
41	One-third of the Swedish male population over 50 years of age suffers from lower urinary tract symptoms. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2009, 43, 199-205.	1.4	11
42	Long-Term Outcomes after Deferred Radical Prostatectomy in Men Initially Treated with Active Surveillance. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 779-785.	0.4	11
43	Long-term Outcomes for Men in a Prostate Screening Trial with an Initial Benign Prostate Biopsy: A Population-based Cohort. European Urology Oncology, 2019, 2, 716-722.	5.4	11
44	Single institution followed by national implementation of systematic surgical quality control and feedback for radical prostatectomy: a 20-year journey. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1397-1411.	2.2	11
45	Urinary continence recovery and oncological outcomes after surgery for prostate cancer analysed by risk category: results from the LAParoscopic prostatectomy robot and open trial. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3239-3249.	2.2	11
46	Preparedness for side effects and bother in symptomatic men after radical prostatectomy in a prospective, non-randomized trial, LAPPRO. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2016, 55, 1467-1476.	1.8	10
47	Habits and self-assessed quality of life, negative intrusive thoughts and depressed mood in patients with prostate cancer: a longitudinal study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 353-359.	1.0	10
48	The Swedish national guidelines on prostate cancer, part 2: recurrent, metastatic and castration resistant disease. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 278-284.	1.0	10
49	Complications in extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy: a cohort study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 407-413.	1.0	9
50	Prostate cancer risk assessment in men with an initial P.S.A. below 3 ng/mL: results from the Göteborg randomized population-based prostate cancer screening trial. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 256-262.	1.0	9
51	Influence of age and changes over time on erectile dysfunction: Results from two large cross-sectional surveys 11 years apart. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2013, 47, 198-205.	1.0	8
52	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy and modern aspects of complications and antibiotic treatment. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 162-170.	1.0	8
53	COVIDENZA - A prospective, multicenter, randomized PHASE II clinical trial of enzalutamide treatment to decrease the morbidity in patients with Corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 209.	1.6	8
54	Social constraints and psychological wellâ€being after prostate cancer: A followâ€up at 12 and 24Âmonths after surgery. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 668-675.	2.3	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Risk of Recurrent Disease 6 Years After Open or Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in the Prospective Controlled Trial LAPPRO. European Urology Open Science, 2020, 20, 54-61.	0.4	7
56	Risk of hernia formation after radical prostatectomy: a comparison between open and robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy within the prospectively controlled LAPPRO trial. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2022, 26, 157-164.	2.0	7
57	How badly did it hit? Self-assessed emotional shock upon prostate cancer diagnosis and psychological well-being: a follow-up at 3, 12, and 24 months after surgery. Acta Oncol \tilde{A}^3 gica, 2017, 56, 984-990.	1.8	6
58	Associations between intraoperative factors and surgeons' self-assessed operative satisfaction. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 61-68.	2.4	6
59	Degree of Preservation of Neurovascular Bundles in Radical Prostatectomy and Recurrence of Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 30, 25-33.	0.4	6
60	High-energy feedback microwave thermotherapy and intraprostatic injections of mepivacaine and adrenaline: an evaluation of calculated cell kill accuracy and responder rate. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2014, 48, 374-378.	1.0	5
61	Careâ€related predictors for negative intrusive thoughts after prostate cancer diagnosisâ€"data from the prospective LAPPRO trial. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1749-1757.	2.3	5
62	Corrigendum re: "Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial―[Eur Urol 2015;68:216–25]. European Urology, 2017, 72, e81-e82.	1.9	4
63	The rate of deterioration of erectile function increases with age: results from a longitudinal population based survey. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 161-165.	1.0	4
64	Thinking about one's own death after prostate-cancer diagnosis. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2018, 26, 1665-1673.	2.2	3
65	Characteristics of Patients in SPCG-15—A Randomized Trial Comparing Radical Prostatectomy with Primary Radiotherapy plus Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men with Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 41, 63-73.	0.4	3
66	Editorial Comment to Patent processus vaginalis in adults who underwent robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Predictive signs of postoperative inguinal hernia in the internal inguinal floor. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 183-184.	1.0	2
67	Hospital readmissions after limited vs. extended lymph node dissection during open and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2020, 38, 5.e1-5.e8.	1.6	2
68	Ureteroscopy: a population based study of clinical complications and possible risk factors for stone surgery. Central European Journal of Urology, 2019, 72, 285-295.	0.3	2
69	UP-02.49. Urology, 2006, 68, 267.	1.0	1
70	Prostate volume and age are predictors of energy delivery using the CoreTherm Concept in patients with LUTS/BPO: a study on thermal dose. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 248-252.	1.0	1
71	Lymph swelling after radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection. BJU International, 2022, 129, 695-698.	2.5	1
72	Reply from Authors re: Roderick C.N. van den Bergh, Declan G. Murphy, Henk G. van der Poel. Expectant Management for Prostate Cancer: Lessons from the Past, Challenges for the Future. Eur Urol 2016;70:767–8. European Urology, 2016, 70, 769-770.	1.9	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Editorial Comment from Dr Stranne and Psychotherapist Stranne to Postoperative urinary incontinence exacerbates nocturiaâ€specific quality of life after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2016, 23, 879-880.	1.0	O
74	Reply to Wei Zhang So, Ziting Wang, and Ho Yee Tiong's Letter to the Editor re: Anna Lantz, David Bock, Olof Akre, et al. Functional and Oncological Outcomes After Open Versus Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer: 8-Year Follow-up. Eur Urol 2021;80:650–60. European Urology, 2021, 81, e43-e43.	1.9	0
7 5	Learning curve for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a large prospective multicentre study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 182-190.	1.0	O