Swati A Kulkarni

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4412934/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

35 333 9 17
papers citations h-index g-index

35 35 35 478

35 35 35 478 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	ASO Author Reflections: Do Surgeon Practice Patterns Follow National Guidelines for Axillary Staging?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 551-552.	1.5	1
2	Social cognitive variables and physical activity during chemotherapy for breast cancer: An intensive longitudinal examination. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, 31, 425-435.	2.3	8
3	A Single-Center Study of Adherence to Breast Cancer Screening Mammography Guidelines by Transgender and Non-Binary Patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1707-1717.	1.5	16
4	ASO Author Reflections: Constructing a Transgender and Nonbinary Cohort to Analyze Breast Cancer Screening. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1718-1719.	1.5	0
5	Evaluating the Effects of a Tissue Selective Estrogen Complex (TSEC) in Women with Newly Diagnosed Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2769-2770.	1.5	1
6	Using ecological momentary assessment to understand associations between daily physical activity and symptoms in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 6613-6622.	2.2	2
7	At-risk patient and healthcare provider perspectives on clinical trial participation for ductal carcinoma in situ Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 40, 10539-10539.	1.6	О
8	Ergonomics Workshop Improves Musculoskeletal Symptoms in General Surgery Residents. Journal of Surgical Research, 2022, 280, 567-574.	1.6	13
9	Is Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging an Accurate Predictor of Nodal Status After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy?. Journal of Surgical Research, 2021, 257, 412-418.	1.6	5
10	The Effect of Obesity on Operating Room Utilization in Breast Surgery. Journal of Surgical Research, 2021, 260, 229-236.	1.6	2
11	High-Resolution Full-3D Specimen Imaging for Lumpectomy Margin Assessment in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 5513-5524.	1.5	10
12	Controversial Areas in Axillary Staging: Are We Following the Guidelines?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 5580-5587.	1.5	7
13	ASO Author Reflections: Volumetric Specimen Imaging for Margin Assessment in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	O
14	Evaluation of the Novel 4R Oncology Care Planning Model in Breast Cancer: Impact on Patient Self-Management and Care Delivery in Safety-Net and Non–Safety-Net Centers. JCO Oncology Practice, 2021, 17, e1202-e1214.	2.9	2
15	ASO Visual Abstract: High-Resolution Full 3D Specimen Imaging for Lumpectomy Margin AssessmentÂin Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 626-627.	1.5	O
16	ASO Visual Abstract: Controversial Areas in Axillary Staging: Are We Following the Guidelines?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 710-711.	1.5	0
17	Adherence to screening mammogram guidelines in the transgender/non-binary population Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 120-120.	1.6	O
18	ASO Visual Abstract: A Single Center Study of Adherence to Breast Cancer Screening Mammography Guidelines by Transgender and Non-BinaryÂPatients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A lysosome-targeted DNA nanodevice selectively targets macrophages to attenuate tumours. Nature Nanotechnology, 2021, 16, 1394-1402.	31.5	42
20	Daily Physical Activity and Symptom Reporting in Breast Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy: An Intensive Longitudinal Examination. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2020, 29, 2608-2616.	2.5	12
21	Breast cancer risk assessment and management programs: A practical guide. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 1556-1564.	1.0	7
22	4R Care Delivery Program results: Impact of implementation metrics on patient self-management and 4R usefulness Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, e14039-e14039.	1.6	0
23	4R Program results in breast cancer: the impact of 4R Care Delivery Model on timing and sequence of guideline recommended care Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 573-573.	1.6	O
24	4R Program results: What is the impact of 4R care delivery model on patient self-management and which patients benefited?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, e19211-e19211.	1.6	0
25	Feasibility and acceptability of intensive longitudinal data collection of activity and patient-reported outcomes during chemotherapy for breast cancer. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 3333-3346.	3.1	19
26	Stromal Markers of Breast Cancer Progression: A Review of Recent Findings. Current Surgery Reports, 2019, 7, 1.	0.9	2
27	What does risk of future cancer mean to breast cancer patients?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 175, 579-584.	2.5	9
28	Assessing long-term complications in patients undergoing immediate postmastectomy breast reconstruction and adjuvant radiation. Practical Radiation Oncology, 2017, 7, e91-e97.	2.1	20
29	The Surgical Impact of E-Cigarettes: A Case Report and Review of the Current Literature. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 2017, 44, 477-481.	0.9	31
30	Written care plan and patient enablement: Pre-implementation data from the 4R in Oncology Project Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 55-55.	1.6	0
31	How well is interdependent care managed across specialties in breast cancer? Metrics and pre-implementation data from the 4R in Oncology Project Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 171-171.	1.6	0
32	Radiology as the Point of Cancer Patient and CareÂTeam Engagement: Applying the 4R Model atÂa Patient's Breast Cancer Care Initiation. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 1579-1589.	1.8	12
33	The Breast–Thyroid Cancer Link: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 231-238.	2.5	103
34	Examining the Relationship between Pre-Malignant Breast Lesions, Carcinogenesis and Tumor Evolution in the Mammary Epithelium Using an Agent-Based Model. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152298.	2.5	4
35	The effect of obesity on operating room (OR) utilization in breast surgery Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 36-36.	1.6	4

3