Andrea C Tricco

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4366003/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

13865 799 75,699 297 67 247 citations g-index h-index papers 320 320 320 53829 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n71.	6.0	26,066
2	PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 467-473.	3.9	15,209
3	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 89.	5.3	3,624
4	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 88, 105906.	2.7	3,487
5	PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n160.	6.0	3,413
6	Scoping reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 1291-1294.	5.0	1,766
7	Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2020, 18, 2119-2126.	1.3	1,717
8	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003583.	8.4	1,340
9	A scoping review on the conduct and reporting of scoping reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 15.	3.1	1,039
10	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 178-189.	5.0	995
11	Epidemiology and Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2007, 4, e78.	8.4	691
12	A scoping review of rapid review methods. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 224.	5.5	664
13	Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. , 0, , .		566
14	Effectiveness of quality improvement strategies on the management of diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2012, 379, 2252-2261.	13.7	557
15	Epidemiology and Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews of Biomedical Research: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS Medicine, 2016, 13, e1002028.	8.4	497
16	Harassment and Discrimination in Medical Training. Academic Medicine, 2014, 89, 817-827.	1.6	484
17	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia, 2021, 74, 790-799.	1.2	473
18	Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evidence Implementation, 2021, 19, 3-10.	3.2	430

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Comparisons of Interventions for Preventing Falls in Older Adults. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 1687.	7.4	420
20	Health effects of climate change: an overview of systematic reviews. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e046333.	1.9	395
21	A comparison of indirect versus direct measures for assessing physical activity in the pediatric population: A systematic review. Pediatric Obesity, 2009, 4, 2-27.	3.2	346
22	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed), 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.6	333
23	Comparing influenza vaccine efficacy against mismatched and matched strains: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2013, 11, 153.	5.5	323
24	Synthesis of recommendations for the assessment and management of low back pain from recent clinical practice guidelines. Spine Journal, 2010, 10, 514-529.	1.3	317
25	Registration of systematic reviews in PROSPERO: 30,000 records and counting. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 32.	5.3	238
26	Undertaking a scoping review: A practical guide for nursing and midwifery students, clinicians, researchers, and academics. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2021, 77, 2102-2113.	3.3	236
27	Best practice guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 953-968.	1.3	223
28	A systematic review of treatments for mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury, 2005, 19, 863-880.	1.2	221
29	What is the most appropriate knowledge synthesis method to conduct a review? Protocol for a scoping review. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2012, 12, 114.	3.1	215
30	Comparative safety of anti-epileptic drugs during pregnancy: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of congenital malformations and prenatal outcomes. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 95.	5.5	205
31	Transfer of learning and patient outcome in simulated crisis resource management: a systematic review. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2014, 61, 571-582.	1.6	200
32	Prevalence and Causes of Attrition Among Surgical Residents. JAMA Surgery, 2017, 152, 265.	4.3	188
33	Scoping reviews: reinforcing and advancing the methodology and application. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 263.	5.3	174
34	Advancing scoping study methodology: a web-based survey and consultation of perceptions on terminology, definition and methodological steps. BMC Health Services Research, 2016, 16, 305.	2.2	172
35	Reporting scoping reviews—PRISMA ScR extension. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 177-179.	5.0	168
36	Efficacy and safety of cognitive enhancers for patients with mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cmaj, 2013, 185, 1393-1401.	2.0	158

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Comparative safety of antiepileptic drugs for neurological development in children exposed during pregnancy and breast feeding: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017248.	1.9	154
38	Impact of crisis resource management simulation-based training for interprofessional and interdisciplinary teams: A systematic review. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 2015, 29, 433-444.	1.7	142
39	The art and science of knowledge synthesis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 11-20.	5.0	134
40	Identifying older adults at risk of harm following elective surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2018, 16, 2.	5.5	125
41	Scoping review identifies significant number of knowledge translation theories, models, and frameworks with limited use. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 100, 92-102.	5.0	124
42	The rank-heat plot is a novel way to present the results from a network meta-analysis including multiple outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 76, 193-199.	5.0	122
43	What are scoping reviews? Providing a formal definition of scoping reviews as a type of evidence synthesis. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 950-952.	1.3	122
44	Self-reported barriers and facilitators to preventive human papillomavirus vaccination among adolescent girls and young women: A systematic review. Preventive Medicine, 2014, 58, 22-32.	3.4	116
45	Effectiveness of quality improvement strategies for coordination of care to reduce use of health care services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cmaj, 2014, 186, E568-E578.	2.0	111
46	Conducting high quality scoping reviews-challenges and solutions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 156-160.	5.0	110
47	Barriers and facilitators to uptake of systematic reviews by policy makers and health care managers: a scoping review. Implementation Science, 2015, 11, 4.	6.9	109
48	A systematic review of surgeon–patient communication: Strengths and opportunities for improvement. Patient Education and Counseling, 2013, 93, 3-17.	2.2	107
49	Identifying Older Adults at Risk of Delirium Following Elective Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 500-509.	2.6	100
50	A scoping review identifies multiple emerging knowledge synthesis methods, but few studies operationalize the method. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 19-28.	5.0	99
51	Bibliographic study showed improving statistical methodology of network meta-analyses published between 1999 and 2015. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 82, 20-28.	5.0	98
52	Exploring the prevalence of gaming disorder and Internet gaming disorder: a rapid scoping review. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 68.	5.3	92
53	Comparative Effectiveness and Safety of Cognitive Enhancers for Treating Alzheimer's Disease: Systematic Review and Network Metaanalysis. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2018, 66, 170-178.	2.6	89
54	When and how to update systematic reviews. The Cochrane Library, 2010, 2010, MR000023.	2.8	88

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Bisphosphonate therapy for children and adolescents with secondary osteoporosis. The Cochrane Library, 2010, 2010, CD005324.	2.8	87
56	Comparative Efficacy of Interventions for Aggressive and Agitated Behaviors in Dementia. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 171, 633.	3.9	85
57	Assessment of Thiopurine S-Methyltransferase Activity in Patients Prescribed Thiopurines: A Systematic Review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 154, 814.	3.9	84
58	Safety, effectiveness, and cost effectiveness of long acting versus intermediate acting insulin for patients with type 1 diabetes: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g5459-g5459.	6.0	84
59	A scoping review describes methods used to identify, prioritize and display gaps in health research. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 109, 99-110.	5.0	84
60	Preferred Reporting Items for Overviews of Reviews (PRIOR): a protocol for development of a reporting guideline for overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 335.	5.3	84
61	Sustainability of knowledge translation interventions in healthcare decision-making: a scoping review. Implementation Science, 2015, 11, 55.	6.9	83
62	An international survey and modified Delphi approach revealed numerous rapid review methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 61-67.	5.0	82
63	Non-Cochrane vs. Cochrane reviews were twice as likely to have positive conclusion statements: cross-sectional study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 380-386.e1.	5.0	79
64	Reproducible research practices are underused in systematic reviews of biomedical interventions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 94, 8-18.	5.0	79
65	Conceptual recommendations for selecting the most appropriate knowledge synthesis method to answer research questions related to complex evidence. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 43-49.	5.0	76
66	Using rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems and progress towards universal health coverage. BMJ Global Health, 2019, 4, e001178.	4.7	74
67	Sodium Oxybate for Narcolepsy with Cataplexy: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine, 2012, 08, 451-458.	2.6	74
68	Efficacy, effectiveness, and safety of herpes zoster vaccines in adults aged 50 and older: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k4029.	2.3	73
69	Rapid review methods more challenging during COVID-19: commentary with a focus on 8 knowledge synthesis steps. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 177-183.	5.0	73
70	A systematic review identified few methods and strategies describing when and how to update systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2007, 60, 1095.e1-1095.e11.	5.0	70
71	Living network meta-analysis compared with pairwise meta-analysis in comparative effectiveness research: empirical study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k585.	2.3	68
72	Comparative effectiveness and safety of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions for insomnia: an overview of reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 281.	5.3	67

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Inequity to the Utilization of Bariatric Surgery: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Obesity Surgery, 2015, 25, 888-899.	2.1	66
74	Characteristics and knowledge synthesis approach for 456 network meta-analyses: a scoping review. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 3.	5.5	65
75	Utility of social media and crowd-intelligence data for pharmacovigilance: a scoping review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2018, 18, 38.	3.0	64
76	Interventions for preventing falls and fallâ€related fractures in communityâ€dwelling older adults: A systematic review and network metaâ€analysis. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2021, 69, 2973-2984.	2.6	64
77	Preventing the transmission of COVID-19 and other coronaviruses in older adults aged 60 years and above living in long-term care: a rapid review. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 218.	5.3	60
78	Same family, different species: methodological conduct and quality varies according to purpose for five types of knowledge synthesis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 96, 133-142.	5.0	59
79	Occupational Stress, Burnout, and Depression in Women in Healthcare During COVID-19 Pandemic: Rapid Scoping Review. Frontiers in Global Women S Health, 2020, 1, 596690.	2.3	59
80	Few systematic reviews exist documenting the extent of bias: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 422-434.	5.0	57
81	Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Hepatitis A Vaccine. Pharmacoeconomics, 2008, 26, 17-32.	3.3	57
82	Natural attack rate of influenza in unvaccinated children and adults: a meta-regression analysis. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2014, 14, 670.	2.9	57
83	Engaging policy-makers, health system managers, and policy analysts in the knowledge synthesis process: a scoping review. Implementation Science, 2018, 13, 31.	6.9	57
84	Advancing gender equity in medicine. Cmaj, 2021, 193, E244-E250.	2.0	57
85	Process description and evaluation of Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines development. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2010, 7, 42.	4.6	56
86	Assessment of Long-term Follow-up of Randomized Trial Participants by Linkage to Routinely Collected Data. JAMA Network Open, 2018, 1, e186019.	5.9	56
87	Is providing uncertainty intervals in treatment ranking helpful in a network meta-analysis?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 100, 122-129.	5.0	55
88	Hypothyroidism in neonates postâ€iodinated contrast media: a systematic review. Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics, 2009, 98, 1568-1574.	1.5	54
89	Guidelines for randomized clinical trial protocol content: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 43.	5. 3	53
90	Issues related to the conduct of systematic reviews: a focus on the nutrition field. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2008, 88, 1191-9.	4.7	53

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	An international survey indicated that unpublished systematic reviews exist. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 617-623.e5.	5.0	52
92	A third of systematic reviews changed or did not specify the primary outcome: a PROSPERO register study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 79, 46-54.	5.0	52
93	Updating Systematic Reviews: An International Survey. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e9914.	2.5	51
94	Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment for retinal conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e022031.	1.9	51
95	Pravila PRISMA 2020 Medicina Fluminensis, 2021, 57, 444-465.	0.3	50
96	A Systematic Review of Recent Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Diagnosis, Assessment and Management of Hypertension. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e53744.	2.5	49
97	Flaws in the application and interpretation of statistical analyses inÂsystematic reviews of therapeutic interventions were common: aÂcross-sectional analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 95, 7-18.	5.0	48
98	Ultrasound-Guided Regional Anesthesia Simulation Training. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 2017, 42, 741-750.	2.3	47
99	A systematic review of the role of C4d in the diagnosis of acute antibody-mediated rejection. Kidney International, 2015, 87, 182-194.	5.2	46
100	Research Techniques Made Simple: Network Meta-Analysis. Journal of Investigative Dermatology, 2019, 139, 4-12.e1.	0.7	46
101	Preparing scoping reviews for publication using methodological guides and reporting standards. Australian Journal of Cancer Nursing, 2020, 22, 1-4.	1.6	46
102	A systematic review of cost-effectiveness analyses of complex wound interventions reveals optimal treatments for specific wound types. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 90.	5.5	45
103	Diagnostic value of fine needle aspiration BRAFV600E mutation analysis in papillary thyroid cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Human Pathology, 2015, 46, 1443-1454.	2.0	45
104	Knowledge synthesis methods for integrating qualitative and quantitative data: a scoping review reveals poor operationalization of the methodological steps. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 29-35.	5.0	45
105	Comparative safety and effectiveness of long-acting inhaled agents for treating chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e009183.	1.9	44
106	Manitoba and Saskatchewan administrative health care utilization databases are used differently to answer epidemiologic research questions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 192-197.e12.	5.0	43
107	Tamoxifen with radiotherapy compared with Tamoxifen alone in elderly women with early-stage breast cancer treated with breast conserving surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2017, 123, 1-9.	0.6	43
108	NASS Contemporary Concepts in Spine Care: Spinal manipulation therapy for acute low back pain. Spine Journal, 2010, 10, 918-940.	1.3	42

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Does This Patient Have an Exudative Pleural Effusion?. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 311, 2422.	7.4	42
110	Enhancing the uptake of systematic reviews of effects: what is the best format for health care managers and policy-makers? A mixed-methods study. Implementation Science, 2018, 13, 84.	6.9	42
111	Using a distribution-based approach and systematic review methods to derive minimum clinically important differences. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 41.	3.1	42
112	Comparative efficacy of interventions for reducing symptoms of depression in people with dementia: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n532.	6.0	42
113	Safety of pharmacologic interventions for neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Geriatrics, 2020, 20, 212.	2.7	41
114	Comparative safety of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 142.	5.5	39
115	Knowledge synthesis methods for generating or refining theory: a scoping review reveals that little guidance is available. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 36-42.	5.0	39
116	A retrospective comparison of systematic reviews with same-topic rapid reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 96, 23-34.	5.0	39
117	Study protocol for a scoping review on rehabilitation scoping reviews. Clinical Rehabilitation, 2017, 31, 1249-1256.	2.2	37
118	Effectiveness of different compression-to-ventilation methods for cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A systematic review. Resuscitation, 2017, 118, 112-125.	3.0	37
119	Omission of axillary staging in elderly patients with early stage breast cancer impacts regional control but not survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2017, 8, 140-147.	1.0	36
120	Moving from consultation to co-creation with knowledge users in scoping reviews: guidance from the JBI Scoping Review Methodology Group. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 969-979.	1.3	36
121	Seroprevalence of hepatitis A infection in a low endemicity country: a systematic review. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2005, 5, 56.	2.9	34
122	Following 411 Cochrane Protocols to Completion: A Retrospective Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e3684.	2.5	34
123	A scoping review of indirect comparison methods and applications using individual patient data. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 47.	3.1	34
124	Work-related deaths and traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury, 2006, 20, 719-724.	1.2	33
125	Women in healthcare experiencing occupational stress and burnout during COVID-19: a rapid review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e048861.	1.9	33
126	Strategies to Prevent or Reduce Gender Bias in Peer Review of Research Grants: A Rapid Scoping Review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0169718.	2.5	33

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Barriers to and strategies to address COVID-19 testing hesitancy: a rapid scoping review. BMC Public Health, 2022, 22, 750.	2.9	33
128	The Cost and Public Health Burden of Invasive Meningococcal Disease Outbreaks: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 563-576.	3.3	32
129	Comparative safety and effectiveness of serotonin receptor antagonists in patients undergoing chemotherapy: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 216.	5.5	32
130	The number needed to treat in pairwise and network meta-analysis and its graphical representation. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 11-22.	5.0	32
131	Safety and effectiveness of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors versus intermediate-acting insulin or placebo for patients with type 2 diabetes failing two oral antihyperglycaemic agents: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005752.	1.9	31
132	Few studies exist examining methods for selecting studies, abstracting data, and appraising quality in a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 106, 121-135.	5.0	31
133	Sustainability of knowledge translation interventions in healthcare decision-making: protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e002970.	1.9	30
134	Systematic reviews in dentistry: Current status, epidemiological and reporting characteristics. Journal of Dentistry, 2019, 82, 71-84.	4.1	30
135	The effect of time since measles vaccination and age at first dose on measles vaccine effectiveness – A systematic review. Vaccine, 2020, 38, 460-469.	3.8	30
136	Interventions for the prevention and management of occupational stress injury in first responders: a rapid overview of reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 121.	5.3	30
137	Guidance for using artificial intelligence for title and abstract screening while conducting knowledge syntheses. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 285.	3.1	29
138	Seeking effective interventions to treat complex wounds: an overview of systematic reviews. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 89.	5.5	28
139	Comparative efficacy of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 136.	5.5	28
140	Diagnostic accuracy of virtual cognitive assessment and testing: Systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2021, 69, 1429-1440.	2.6	28
141	Association Between Depressive Symptoms or Depression and Health Outcomes for Low Back Pain: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 1233-1246.	2.6	27
142	What Is the Evidence to Support a Correlate of Protection for Measles? A Systematic Review. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2020, 221, 1576-1583.	4.0	26
143	A Systematic Review of Behavioral Interventions to Decrease Opioid Prescribing After Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, 266-278.	4.2	26
144	Comparative effectiveness of exercise interventions for preventing falls in older adults: A secondary analysis of a systematic review with network meta-analysis. Experimental Gerontology, 2021, 143, 111151.	2.8	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	A review of interventions triggered by hepatitis A infected food-handlers in Canada. BMC Health Services Research, 2006, 6, 157.	2.2	25
146	Efficacy of cognitive enhancers for Alzheimer's disease: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 31.	5.3	25
147	Enhanced recovery after vascular surgery: protocol for a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 52.	5.3	25
148	Perceptions and experiences of a gender gap at a Canadian research institute and potential strategies to mitigate this gap: a sequential mixed-methods study. CMAJ Open, 2017, 5, E144-E151.	2.4	25
149	Pauleâ€Mandel estimators for network metaâ€analysis with random inconsistency effects. Research Synthesis Methods, 2017, 8, 416-434.	8.7	24
150	Retrieval of individual patient data depended on study characteristics: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 113, 176-188.	5.0	24
151	Scoping Review on Rehabilitation Scoping Reviews. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2020, 101, 1462-1469.	0.9	24
152	Utility of social media and crowd-sourced data for pharmacovigilance: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e013474.	1.9	24
153	Canadian oncogenic human papillomavirus cervical infection prevalence: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2011, 11, 235.	2.9	23
154	Impact of H1N1 on Socially Disadvantaged Populations: Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e39437.	2.5	23
155	Seeing the forests and the treesâ€"innovative approaches to exploring heterogeneity in systematic reviews of complex interventions to enhance health system decision-making: a protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 88.	5.3	23
156	Interventions to decrease the risk of adverse cardiac events for patients receiving chemotherapy and serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists: a systematic review. BMC Pharmacology & Emp; Toxicology, 2015, 16, 1.	2.4	23
157	Big-5 Quasi-Experimental designs. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 89, 1-3.	5.0	23
158	Methodological quality, guidance, and tools in scoping reviews: a scoping review protocol. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 1098-1105.	1.3	23
159	Methods and characteristics of published network meta-analyses using individual patient data: protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007103-e007103.	1.9	22
160	Knowledge synthesis research: a bibliometric analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 50-57.	5.0	22
161	Public health implications of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern: a rapid scoping review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e055781.	1.9	22
162	Management of Chronic Low Back Pain in Active Individuals. Current Sports Medicine Reports, 2010, 9, 60-66.	1.2	21

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Use of cognitive enhancers for mild cognitive impairment: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 25.	5.3	21
164	Introduction: Engaging researchers on developing, using, and improving knowledge synthesis methods: a series of articles describing the results of a scoping review on emerging knowledge synthesis methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 15-18.	5.0	21
165	Text mining to support abstract screening for knowledge syntheses: a semi-automated workflow. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 156.	5.3	21
166	Study protocol for developing, piloting and disseminating the PRISMA-COSMIN guideline: a new reporting guideline for systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments. Systematic Reviews, 2022, 11, .	5.3	21
167	How can we improve the interpretation of systematic reviews?. BMC Medicine, 2011, 9, 31.	5.5	20
168	Rapid reviews and the methodological rigor of evidence synthesis: a JBI position statement. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 944-949.	1.3	20
169	Early economic evaluation of emerging health technologies: protocol of a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 81.	5.3	19
170	Will COVID-19 result in a giant step backwards for women in academic science?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 160-166.	5.0	19
171	Do reporting guidelines have an impact? Empirical assessment of changes in reporting before and after the PRISMA extension statement for network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 246.	5.3	19
172	Paroxetine Versus Placebo and Other Agents for Depressive Disorders. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 2007, 68, 1845-1859.	2.2	19
173	Quality improvement needed in quality improvement randomised trials: systematic review of interventions to improve care in diabetes. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e002727.	1.9	18
174	Improving the conduct of systematic reviews: a process mining perspective. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 103, 101-111.	5.0	18
175	Comparative safety and effectiveness of perinatal antiretroviral therapies for HIV-infected women and their children: Systematic review and network meta-analysis including different study designs. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0198447.	2.5	18
176	Inter-rater reliability and validity of risk of bias instrument for non-randomized studies of exposures: a study protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 32.	5.3	18
177	Cohort effects in dynamic models and their impact on vaccination programmes: an example from Hepatitis A. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2006, 6, 174.	2.9	17
178	Blinded versus unblinded assessments of risk of bias in studies included in a systematic review. The Cochrane Library, 2011, 2011, MR000025.	2.8	17
179	Efficacy of falls prevention interventions: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 38.	5.3	17
180	Patient safety initiatives in obstetrics: a rapid review. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e020170.	1.9	17

#	Article	lF	Citations
181	Quality improvement strategies to prevent falls in older adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Age and Ageing, 2019, 48, 337-346.	1.6	17
182	Comparative Efficacy and Safety of Ultra-Long-Acting, Long-Acting, Intermediate-Acting, and Biosimilar Insulins for Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: a Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 2414-2426.	2.6	17
183	Impact of quality improvement strategies on the quality of life and well-being of individuals with spinal cord injury: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 14.	5.3	16
184	Comparison of physical interventions, behavioral interventions, natural health products, and pharmacologics to manage hot flashes in patients with breast or prostate cancer: protocol for a systematic review incorporating network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 114.	5. 3	16
185	Comparative safety and effectiveness of cognitive enhancers for Alzheimer's dementia: protocol for a systematic review and individual patient data network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010251.	1.9	16
186	Following Cochrane review protocols to completion 10Âyears later: a retrospective cohort study and author survey. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 41-48.	5.0	16
187	Barriers, facilitators, strategies and outcomes to engaging policymakers, healthcare managers and policy analysts in knowledge synthesis: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e013929.	1.9	15
188	Inter-rater reliability and concurrent validity of ROBINS-I: protocol for a cross-sectional study. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 12.	5.3	15
189	Comparative effectiveness of monotherapies and combination therapies for patients with hypertension: protocol for a systematic review with network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 44.	5.3	14
190	Pre-analytic and analytic sources of variations in thiopurine methyltransferase activity measurement in patients prescribed thiopurine-based drugs: A systematic review. Clinical Biochemistry, 2011, 44, 751-757.	1.9	13
191	An evaluation of the comparative effectiveness of geriatrician-led comprehensive geriatric assessment for improving patient and healthcare system outcomes for older adults: a protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 65.	5.3	13
192	Methodologically rigorous risk of bias tools for nonrandomized studies had low reliability and high evaluator burden. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 128, 140-147.	5.0	13
193	Shifts in the Use of Population Health, Health Promotion, and Public Health. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 2008, 99, 466-471.	2.3	12
194	Interactions of commonly used dietary supplements with cardiovascular drugs: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 26.	5. 3	12
195	Evaluative reports on medical malpractice policies in obstetrics: a rapid scoping review. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 181.	5.3	12
196	Selective reporting bias in randomised controlled trials from two network meta-analyses: comparison of clinical trial registrations and their respective publications. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031138.	1.9	12
197	A scoping review of network meta-analyses assessing the efficacy and safety of complementary and alternative medicine interventions. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 97.	5.3	12
198	Interventions and strategies involving primary healthcare professionals to manage emergency department overcrowding: a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e048613.	1.9	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	Contacting authors to retrieve individual patient data: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2016, 17, 138.	1.6	11
200	Prevention and management of unprofessional behaviour among adults in the workplace: A scoping review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0201187.	2.5	11
201	Risk of heart failure and edema associated with the use of pregabalin: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 25.	5.3	10
202	Comparative safety of anti-epileptic drugs among infants and children exposed in utero or during breastfeeding: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 68.	5.3	10
203	Comparative safety and efficacy of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions for the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 182.	5.3	10
204	The cost of intraoperative adverse events in abdominal and pelvic surgery: A systematic review. American Journal of Surgery, 2018, 215, 163-170.	1.8	10
205	Systematic reviews in restorative dentistry: discussing relevant aspects. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 2019, 31, 222-232.	3.8	10
206	Current methods for development of rapid reviews about diagnostic tests: an international survey. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2020, 20, 115.	3.1	10
207	Recommendations for the Prescription of Opioids at Discharge After Abdominopelvic Surgery. JAMA Surgery, 2020, 155, 420.	4.3	10
208	Fall prevention interventions for older community-dwelling adults: systematic reviews on benefits, harms, and patient values and preferences. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 18.	5.3	10
209	The web-based "Right Review―tool asks reviewers simple questions to suggest methods from 41 knowledge synthesis methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 147, 42-51.	5.0	10
210	Introducing the Strategy for Patient Oriented Research (SPOR) Evidence Alliance: a partnership between researchers, patients and health system decision-makers to support rapid-learning and responsive health systems in Canada and beyond. Facets, 2022, 7, 639-653.	2.4	10
211	Health system impacts of SARS-CoV â^ 2 variants of concern: a rapid review. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 544.	2.2	10
212	EEG of asymptomatic firstâ€degree relatives of patients with juvenile myoclonic, childhood absence and rolandic epilepsy: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Epileptic Disorders, 2019, 21, 30-41.	1.3	10
213	Effect of influenza vaccines against mismatched strains: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 35.	5.3	9
214	Safety of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery and chemotherapy: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 46.	5.3	9
215	Impact of H1N1 on socially disadvantaged populations: summary of a systematic review. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses, 2013, 7, 54-58.	3.4	9
216	Individual patients are the primary source and the target of clinical research. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 76, 1-3.	5.0	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	The association between depressive symptoms or depression and health outcomes in adults with low back pain with or without radiculopathy: protocol of a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 267.	5.3	9
218	Rapid reviews of medical tests used many similar methods to systematic reviews but key items were rarely reported: a scoping review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 116, 98-105.	5.0	9
219	Examining the effects of low back pain and mental health symptoms on healthcare utilisation and costs: a protocol for a population-based cohort study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031749.	1.9	9
220	Global evidence of gender inequity in academic health research: a living scoping review protocol. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2020, 18, 2181-2193.	1.3	9
221	The effects of recreational cannabis use on glycemic outcomes and self-management behaviours in people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes: a rapid review. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 187.	5.3	9
222	Methodological review to develop a list of bias items used to assess reviews incorporating network meta-analysis: protocol and rationale. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045987.	1.9	9
223	Critical appraisal of clinical studies in Chinese herbal medicine. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 455-466.	0.7	9
224	Assessing the validity of health administrative data compared to population health survey data for the measurement of low back pain. Pain, 2021, 162, 219-226.	4.2	9
225	Characteristics of â€~mega' peer-reviewers. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2022, 7, 1.	5 . 2	9
226	Effectiveness of knowledge translation tools addressing multiple high-burden chronic diseases affecting older adults: protocol for a systematic review alongside a realist review. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007640-e007640.	1.9	8
227	Challenges of rapid reviews for diagnostic test accuracy questions: a protocol for an international survey and expert consultation. Diagnostic and Prognostic Research, 2019, 3, 7.	1.8	8
228	There is room for improvement in the use of scoping reviews in dentistry. Journal of Dentistry, 2022, 122, 104161.	4.1	8
229	Engaging knowledge users in a systematic review on the comparative effectiveness of geriatrician-led models of care is possible: A cross-sectional survey using the Patient Engagement Evaluation Tool. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 113, 58-63.	5.0	7
230	Characteristics and methods of incorporating randomized and nonrandomized evidence in network meta-analyses: a scoping review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 113, 1-10.	5.0	7
231	Identification of Evidence for Key Positive Psychological Constructs in Pediatric and Adolescent/Young Adult Patients with Cancer: A Scoping Review. Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology, 2021, 10, 247-259.	1.3	7
232	Scoping review: a relevant methodological approach for knowledge synthesis in Brazil's health literature. Revista Brasileira De Atividade FÃsica E Saúde, 0, 24, 1-6.	0.1	7
233	Practical guide to undertaking scoping reviews for pharmacy clinicians, researchers and policymakers. Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics, 2022, 47, 129-134.	1.5	7
234	Patient engagement in the SPOR Evidence Alliance: Reflection and learnings. Facets, 2022, 7, 126-138.	2.4	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	In Elimination Settings, Measles Antibodies Wane After Vaccination but Not After Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2022, 226, 1127-1139.	4.0	7
236	Impact of employing primary healthcare professionals in emergency department triage on patient flow outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e052850.	1.9	7
237	Systematic Review on the Cost-Effectiveness of Seasonal Influenza Vaccines in Older Adults. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 1439-1458.	0.3	7
238	Comparative-effectiveness research of COVID-19 treatment: a rapid scoping review. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e045115.	1.9	7
239	Safety, effectiveness, and cost of long-acting versus intermediate-acting insulin for type 1 diabetes: Protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 73.	5.3	6
240	Identifying strategies to improve diabetes care in Alberta, Canada, using the knowledge-to-action cycle. CMAJ Open, 2013, 1, E142-E150.	2.4	6
241	A modified Delphi and cross-sectional survey to facilitate selection of optimal outcomes and measures for a systematic review on geriatrician-led care models. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 109, 117-124.	5.0	6
242	Effect of back problems on healthcare utilization and costs in Ontario, Canada: a population-based matched cohort study. Pain, 2021, 162, 2521-2531.	4.2	6
243	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. HRB Open Research, 2021, 4, 80.	0.6	6
244	Efficacy and safety of hyperbaric oxygen treatment in SARS-COV-2 (COVID-19) pneumonia: a systematic review. Diving and Hyperbaric Medicine, 2021, 51, 271-281.	0.5	6
245	Establishing best practices in cancer online support groups: protocol for a realist review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e053916.	1.9	6
246	Identifying and addressing conflicting results across multiple discordant systematic reviews on the same question: protocol for a replication study of the Jadad algorithm. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e054223.	1.9	6
247	Comparative safety and efficacy of cognitive enhancers for Alzheimer's dementia: a systematic review with individual patient data network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e053012.	1.9	6
248	Use of a Catalytic Model to Estimate Hepatitis A Incidence in a Low-Endemicity Country. Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, 167-175.	2.4	5
249	Safety and effectiveness of antiretroviral therapies for HIV-infected women and their infants and children: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 51.	5.3	5
250	Safety and effectiveness of long-acting versus intermediate-acting insulin for patients with type 1 diabetes: protocol for a systematic review and individual patient data network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e010160.	1.9	5
251	Learning best-practices in journalology: course description and attendee insights into the inaugural EQUATOR Canada Publication School. BMC Proceedings, 2018, 12, 18.	1.6	5
252	Sustaining knowledge translation interventions for chronic disease management in older adults: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 140.	5.3	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	Training researchers in publication science: why, what, and how. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 117, 165-167.	5.0	5
254	Managing unmanageable loads of evidence: are living reviews the answer?. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2022, 20, 1-2.	1.3	5
255	An inclusive and diverse governance structure of the strategy for patient-oriented research (SPOR) Evidence Alliance. Facets, 2022, 7, 435-447.	2.4	5
256	Interventions for social isolation in older adults who have experienced a fall: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056540.	1.9	5
257	Checking whether there is an increased risk of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder and other cancers with specific modern immunosuppression regimens in renal transplantation: Protocol for a network meta-analysis of randomized and observational studies. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 16.	5.3	4
258	Reporting guidelines for realist evaluations seek to improve clarity and transparency. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 109.	5.5	4
259	Protocol for a scoping review of post-trial extensions of randomised controlled trials using individually linked administrative and registry data. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e013770.	1.9	4
260	Systematic Review Qualitative Methods Series reflect the increasing maturity in qualitative methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, vii-viii.	5.0	4
261	Systematic review and meta-analysis in cardiac surgery. Current Opinion in Cardiology, 2018, 33, 184-189.	1.8	4
262	Diabetes Canada Position Statement on Recreational Cannabis Use in Adults and Adolescents With Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes. Canadian Journal of Diabetes, 2019, 43, 372-376.	0.8	4
263	The causal influence of responsive parenting behaviour on academic readiness: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 207.	5.3	4
264	Teaching Parents to Be Responsive: A Network Meta-analysis. Pediatrics, 2021, 148, e2020033563.	2.1	4
265	Factors predicting completion and time to publication of Cochrane reviews. Open Medicine, 2009, 3, e210-4.	1.5	4
266	Interventions to improve early cancer diagnosis of symptomatic individuals: a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e055488.	1.9	4
267	AÂCanadian model for providing high-quality, timely and relevant evidence to meet health system decision-maker needs: the SPOR Evidence Alliance. Facets, 2022, 7, 420-434.	2.4	4
268	Safety, effectiveness, and cost of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors versus intermediate acting insulin for type 2 diabetes: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 47.	5.3	3
269	Customized guidance/training improved the psychometric properties of methodologically rigorous risk of bias instruments for non-randomized studies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 136, 157-167.	5.0	3
270	Safety and effectiveness of dose-sparing strategies for intramuscular seasonal influenza vaccine: a rapid scoping review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050596.	1.9	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
271	Validation of self-reported opioid agonist treatment among people who inject drugs using prescription dispensation records. Epidemiology, 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, .	2.7	3
272	Joint effects of back pain and mental health conditions on healthcare utilization and costs in Ontario, Canada: a population-based cohort study. Pain, 2022, 163, 1892-1904.	4.2	3
273	Incorporating dose effects in network meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2022, 376, e067003.	6.0	3
274	Interventions to decrease the risk of adverse cardiac events for post-surgery or chemotherapy patients taking serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 45.	5. 3	2
275	The International Clinical Epidemiology Network increases capacity for clinical epidemiology research in Jamaica. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 967-969.	5.0	2
276	Prevalence and Causes of Attrition Among Surgical Residents: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2016, 223, e180.	0.5	2
277	Variability in the validity and reliability of outcome measures identified in a systematic review to assess treatment efficacy of cognitive enhancers for Alzheimer's Dementia. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0215225.	2.5	2
278	Intravenous iron versus oral iron or observation for gastrointestinal malignancies: a systematic review. European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 31, 799-808.	1.6	2
279	Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0238025.	2.5	2
280	Systematic Versus Rapid Versus. Methods in Molecular Biology, 2022, 2345, 103-119.	0.9	2
281	Absorptive capacity in the adoption of innovations in health care: a scoping review protocol. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2021, 19, 1452-1471.	1.3	2
282	The Cost-Effectiveness Of Intermediate-Acting, Long-Acting, Ultralong-Acting, and Biosimilar Insulins for Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review. Value in Health, 2022, , .	0.3	2
283	Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 315.	5.3	2
284	Protocol for a scoping review of sepsis epidemiology. Systematic Reviews, 2022, 11, .	5.3	2
285	Minimal clinical data sets for spine-related musculoskeletal disorders in primary care and outpatient settings: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e057677.	1.9	2
286	Assessment of Low Back Pain. , 2012, , 21-31.		1
287	Outcomes associated with prescribed medications in older adults with multimorbidity: protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014529.	1.9	1
288	Interventions to Prevent Falls in Older Adultsâ€"Reply. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 1382.	7.4	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
289	Letter to the Editorâ€"Response. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2021, 102, 340-342.	0.9	1
290	Impact of CEA alone or as part of a high intensity surveillance strategy in detecting curative colorectal cancer recurrence: A systematic review and meta-analysis Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 566-566.	1.6	1
291	Ponseti method for idiopathic congenital clubfoot in children. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	О
292	Management of Acute Low Back Pain. , 2012, , 32-38.		0
293	From testing to diagnostic strategies and dia-prognostic research. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 92, 1-3.	5.0	0
294	Picturing ELSI+: a visual representation of ethical, legal, and social issues, and patient experiences in Health Technology Assessment in Canada. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2020, 36, 40-49.	0.5	0
295	Strategies for measuring prescription medication switching with pharmacy claims data: a scoping review protocol. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2021, 19, 2441-2456.	1.3	0
296	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. HRB Open Research, 0, 4, 80.	0.6	0
297	Providing valid evidence for decision-making: the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network Methods and Applications Group in Indirect Comparisons (DSEN MAGIC). Facets, 2022, 7, 809-821.	2.4	О