Pieter Coenen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4327535/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

236925 233421 2,350 76 25 45 h-index citations g-index papers 76 76 76 2742 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Tailoring work participation support for cancer survivors using the stages of change: perspectives of (health care) professionals and survivors. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2023, 17, 706-719.	2.9	6
2	â€~Nobody can take the stress away from me': a qualitative study on experiences of partners of patients with cancer regarding their work and health. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2023, 45, 1696-1704.	1.8	2
3	Physical activity at work may not be health enhancing. A systematic review with meta-analysis on the association between occupational physical activity and cardiovascular disease mortality covering 23 studies with 655 892 participants. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2022, 48, 86-98.	3.4	40
4	Lifetime high occupational physical activity and total and cause-specific mortality among 320 000 adults in the NIH-AARP study: a cohort study. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2022, 79, 147-154.	2.8	16
5	Prognostic factors for return to work in breast cancer survivors. The Cochrane Library, 2022, 2022, .	2.8	0
6	An Exploratory Study on the Physical Activity Health Paradox—Musculoskeletal Pain and Cardiovascular Load during Work and Leisure in Construction and Healthcare Workers. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022, 19, 2751.	2.6	7
7	The economic burden of knee and hip osteoarthritis: absenteeism and costs in the Dutch workforce. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2022, 23, 364.	1.9	23
8	Sick Leave Due to Stress, What are the Costs for Dutch Employers?. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 2022, 32, 764-772.	2.2	5
9	Can the Borg CR-10 scale for neck and low back discomfort predict future neck and low back pain among high-risk office workers?. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2022, 95, 1881-1889.	2.3	3
10	Postpandemic hybrid work: opportunities and challenges for physical activity and public health. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2022, 56, 1203-1204.	6.7	13
11	Cancer survivors' experiences with conversations about workâ€related issues in the hospital setting. Psycho-Oncology, 2021, 30, 27-34.	2.3	15
12	Crossâ€cultural translation and adaptation of the Readiness for Return To Work questionnaire for Dutch cancer survivors. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2021, 30, e13383.	1.5	7
13	The effectiveness of workplace health promotion programs on self-perceived health of employees with a low socioeconomic position: An individual participant data meta-analysis. SSM - Population Health, 2021, 13, 100743.	2.7	11
14	Occupational physical activity and longevity in working men and women in Norway: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Public Health, The, 2021, 6, e386-e395.	10.0	49
15	The effect of leisure time physical activity and sedentary behaviour on the health of workers with different occupational physical activity demands: a systematic review. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2021, 18, 100.	4.6	58
16	The Association Between Different Trajectories of Low Back Pain and Degenerative Imaging Findings in Young Adult Participants within The Raine Study. Spine, 2021, Publish Ahead of Print, .	2.0	6
17	What work-related exposures are associated with post-traumatic stress disorder? A systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049651.	1.9	9
18	Decades of workplace health promotion research: marginal gains or a bright future ahead. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2021, 47, 561-564.	3.4	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Supporting participation in paid work of cancer survivors and their partners in the Netherlands: protocol of the SusTained Employability in cancer Patients and their partnerS (STEPS) multi-centre randomized controlled trial and cohort study. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 1844.	2.9	3
20	Knee arthroplasty: a window of opportunity to improve physical activity in daily life, sports and work. BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine, 2020, 6, e000822.	2.9	5
21	How does occupational physical activity influence health? An umbrella review of 23 health outcomes across 158 observational studies. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2020, 54, 1474-1481.	6.7	70
22	Socioâ€economic inequalities in the effectiveness of workplace health promotion programmes on body mass index: An individual participant data metaâ€analysis. Obesity Reviews, 2020, 21, e13101.	6.5	16
23	Development of a Personalized m/eHealth Algorithm for the Resumption of Activities of Daily Life Including Work and Sport after Total and Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty: A Multidisciplinary Delphi Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 4952.	2.6	13
24	Socioeconomic inequalities in effectiveness of and compliance to workplace health promotion programs: an individual participant data (IPD) meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2020, 17, 112.	4.6	17
25	Adolescent Spinal Pain-Related Absenteeism as an Antecedent for Early Adulthood Work Presenteeism. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2020, 62, 1046-1051.	1.7	2
26	Factors associated with caregiver burden among adult (19–64Âyears) informal caregivers – An analysis from Dutch Municipal Health Service data. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2020, 28, 1578-1589.	1.6	14
27	A prospective longitudinal study of mobile touch screen device use and musculoskeletal symptoms and visual health in adolescents. Applied Ergonomics, 2020, 85, 103028.	3.1	29
28	Integrated care programmes for sport and work participation, performance of physical activities and quality of life among orthopaedic surgery patients: a systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine, 2020, 6, e000664.	2.9	12
29	Towards a better understanding of the †physical activity paradox': the need for a research agenda. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2020, 54, 1055-1057.	6.7	37
30	The Paradoxical Health Effects of Occupational Versus Leisure-Time Physical Activity., 2020, , 1-27.		3
31	Correction of bias in self-reported sitting time among office workers – a study based on compositional data analysis. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2020, 46, 32-42.	3.4	14
32	The Paradoxical Health Effects of Occupational Versus Leisure-Time Physical Activity. Handbook Series in Occupational Health Sciences, 2020, , 241-267.	0.1	9
33	Calibration of Self-Reported Time Spent Sitting, Standing and Walking among Office Workers: A Compositional Data Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2019, 16, 3111.	2.6	18
34	"From the moment I wake up I will use it…every day, very hour― a qualitative study on the patterns of adolescents' mobile touch screen device use from adolescent and parent perspectives. BMC Pediatrics, 2019, 19, 30.	1.7	36
35	Factors associated with an adverse work outcome in breast cancer survivors 5–10Âyears after diagnosis: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2019, 13, 108-116.	2.9	14
36	The musculoskeletal and cognitive effects of under-desk cycling compared to sitting for office workers. Applied Ergonomics, 2019, 79, 76-85.	3.1	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Associations of screen work with neck and upper extremity symptoms: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2019, 76, 502-509.	2.8	27
38	Socioeconomic inequalities in reach, compliance and effectiveness of lifestyle interventions among workers: protocol for an individual participant data meta-analysis and equity-specific reanalysis. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025463.	1.9	5
39	Workplace interventions for increasing standing or walking for decreasing musculoskeletal symptoms in sedentary workers. The Cochrane Library, 2019, 2019, .	2.8	34
40	Mobile touch screen device use and associations with musculoskeletal symptoms and visual health in a nationally representative sample of Singaporean adolescents. Ergonomics, 2019, 62, 778-793.	2.1	47
41	Daily domain-specific time-use composition of physical behaviors and blood pressure. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2019, 16, 4.	4.6	30
42	Predicting Forearm Physical Exposures During Computer Work Using Self-Reports, Software-Recorded Computer Usage Patterns, and Anthropometric and Workstation Measurements. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 2018, 62, 124-137.	1.4	8
43	Texting with touchscreen and keypad phones - A comparison of thumb kinematics, upper limb muscle activity, exertion, discomfort, and performance. Applied Ergonomics, 2018, 70, 232-239.	3.1	38
44	Associations of office workers' objectively assessed occupational sitting, standing and stepping time with musculoskeletal symptoms. Ergonomics, 2018, 61, 1187-1195.	2.1	17
45	Differences in heart rate reserve of similar physical activities during work and in leisure time – A study among Danish blue-collar workers. Physiology and Behavior, 2018, 186, 45-51.	2.1	21
46	The effects of exit from work on health across different socioeconomic groups: A systematic literature review. Social Science and Medicine, 2018, 198, 36-45.	3.8	47
47	Associations of occupational standing with musculoskeletal symptoms: a systematic review with meta-analysis. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2018, 52, 176-183.	6.7	83
48	Use of a footrest to reduce low back discomfort development due to prolonged standing. Applied Ergonomics, 2018, 67, 218-224.	3.1	19
49	Can socioeconomic health differences be explained by physical activity at work and during leisure time? Rationale and protocol of the active worker individual participant meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e023379.	1.9	11
50	Do highly physically active workers die early? A systematic review with meta-analysis of data from 193 696 participants. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2018, 52, 1320-1326.	6.7	221
51	Musculoskeletal and Cognitive Effects of a Movement Intervention During Prolonged Standing for Office Work. Human Factors, 2018, 60, 947-961.	3.5	13
52	Trajectories of Low Back Pain From Adolescence to Young Adulthood. Arthritis Care and Research, 2017, 69, 403-412.	3.4	60
53	Abdominal bracing during lifting alters trunk muscle activity and body kinematics. Applied Ergonomics, 2017, 63, 91-98.	3.1	15
54	Pre-existing low-back symptoms impact adversely on sitting time reduction in office workers. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2017, 90, 609-618.	2.3	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	A qualitative review of existing national and international occupational safety and health policies relating to occupational sedentary behaviour. Applied Ergonomics, 2017, 60, 320-333.	3.1	33
56	Associations of prolonged standing with musculoskeletal symptomsâ€"A systematic review of laboratory studies. Gait and Posture, 2017, 58, 310-318.	1.4	89
57	0018 Do highly active workers die early? elucidating the physical activity health paradox in a systematic review with meta-analyses. , 2017, , .		1
58	The associations of mobile touch screen device use with musculoskeletal symptoms and exposures: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0181220.	2.5	79
59	A research framework for the development and implementation of interventions preventing work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2017, 43, 526-539.	3.4	65
60	Towards exposure limits for working postures and musculoskeletal symptoms – a prospective cohort study. Ergonomics, 2016, 59, 1182-1192.	2.1	26
61	Bias and Power in Group-Based Epidemiologic Studies of Low-Back Pain Exposure and Outcome – Effects of Study Size and Exposure Measurement Efforts. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2015, 59, 439-54.	1.9	4
62	A low cortisol response to stress is associated with musculoskeletal pain combined with increased pain sensitivity in young adults: a longitudinal cohort study. Arthritis Research and Therapy, 2015, 17, 355.	3.5	36
63	Detailed assessment of low-back loads may not be worth the effort: AÂcomparison of two methods for exposure-outcome assessment of low-back pain. Applied Ergonomics, 2015, 51, 322-330.	3.1	1
64	The effect of the presence and characteristics of an outlying group on exposure–outcome associations. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2015, 41, 65-74.	3.4	4
65	Cumulative mechanical low-back load at work is a determinant of low-back pain. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2014, 71, 332-337.	2.8	98
66	Predictive validity of the Hand Arm Risk assessment Method (HARM). International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 2014, 44, 328-334.	2.6	7
67	The effect of lifting during work on low back pain: a health impact assessment based on a meta-analysis. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2014, 71, 871-877.	2.8	221
68	Validity and inter-observer reliability of subjective hand-arm vibration assessments. Applied Ergonomics, 2014, 45, 1257-1262.	3.1	5
69	Cumulative Low Back Load at Work as a Risk Factor of Low Back Pain: A Prospective Cohort Study. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 2013, 23, 11-18.	2.2	141
70	Inter-rater reliability of a video-analysis method measuring low-back load inÂaÂfield situation. Applied Ergonomics, 2013, 44, 828-834.	3.1	14
71	Low back pain and postural sway during quiet standing with and without sensory manipulation: A systematic review. Gait and Posture, 2013, 37, 12-22.	1.4	123
72	Work-site musculoskeletal pain risk estimates by trained observers – a prospective cohort study. Ergonomics, 2012, 55, 1373-1381.	2.1	7

#	Article	IF	CITATION
73	The contribution of load magnitude and number of load cycles to cumulative low-back load estimations: A study based on in-vitro compression data. Clinical Biomechanics, 2012, 27, 1083-1086.	1.2	22
74	Robot-assisted walking vs overground walking in stroke patients: An evaluation of muscle activity. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2012, 44, 331-337.	1.1	31
75	Estimation of low back moments from video analysis: A validation study. Journal of Biomechanics, 2011, 44, 2369-2375.	2.1	22
76	Large variability in recommendations for return to daily life activities after knee arthroplasty among Dutch hospitals and clinics: a cross-sectional study. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 0, 93, 568-573.	3.3	9