

# Richard P Russell

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4314677/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

41  
papers

3,119  
citations

279798

23  
h-index

345221

36  
g-index

41  
all docs

41  
docs citations

41  
times ranked

2615  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Novel Human Sex Difference: Male Sclera Are Redder and Yellower than Female Sclera. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2022, , 1.	1.9	3
2	Professional Versus Self-Applied Makeup: Do Makeup Artists Add Value?. Perception, 2021, 50, 709-719.	1.2	4
3	Examining the Influence of Cosmetics on Jury Decisions. Cosmetics, 2020, 7, 64.	3.3	1
4	Differential effects of makeup on perceived age. British Journal of Psychology, 2019, 110, 87-100.	2.3	37
5	Examining the "cosmetics placebo effect". PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0210238.	2.5	13
6	Cosmetics increase skin evenness: Evidence from perceptual and physical measures. Skin Research and Technology, 2019, 25, 672-676.	1.6	13
7	A role for contrast gain control in skin appearance. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 11.	0.3	2
8	Hair color modulates skin appearance. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 227d.	0.3	0
9	Evidence that makeup is a false signal of sociosexuality. Personality and Individual Differences, 2018, 122, 148-154.	2.9	38
10	Positive facial affect looks healthy. Visual Cognition, 2018, 26, 1-12.	1.6	12
11	Evidence That the Hormonal Contraceptive Pill Is Associated With Cosmetic Habits. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 1459.	2.1	7
12	Makeup changes the apparent size of facial features.. Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 2018, 12, 359-368.	1.3	15
13	Facial Contrast Declines with Age but Remains Sexually Dimorphic Throughout Adulthood. Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology, 2017, 3, 293-303.	1.1	11
14	Facial Contrast Is a Cross-Cultural Cue for Perceiving Age. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 1208.	2.1	24
15	Skin appearance is affected by local contrast. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 49.	0.3	0
16	Facial contrast is a cue for perceiving health from the face.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2016, 42, 1354-1362.	0.9	40
17	Sex Differences in the Perceived Dominance and Prestige of Women With and Without Cosmetics. Perception, 2016, 45, 1166-1183.	1.2	51
18	Coloration in different areas of facial skin is a cue to health: The role of cheek redness and periorbital luminance in health perception. Body Image, 2016, 17, 57-66.	4.3	44

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Individual Aesthetic Preferences for Faces Are Shaped Mostly by Environments, Not Genes. <i>Current Biology</i> , 2015, 25, 2684-2689.	3.9	87
20	Cosmetics Alter Biologically-Based Factors of Beauty: Evidence from Facial Contrast. <i>Evolutionary Psychology</i> , 2015, 13, 210-229.	0.9	56
21	Cosmetics alter biologically-based factors of beauty: evidence from facial contrast. <i>Evolutionary Psychology</i> , 2015, 13, 210-29.	0.9	12
22	Sclera color changes with age and is a cue for perceiving age, health, and beauty.. <i>Psychology and Aging</i> , 2014, 29, 626-635.	1.6	43
23	Aspects of Facial Contrast Decrease with Age and Are Cues for Age Perception. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e57985.	2.5	96
24	Cosmetics Use. , 2012, , 366-371.		7
25	Developmental prosopagnosia and super-recognition: No special role for surface reflectance processing. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2012, 50, 334-340.	1.6	47
26	A Perceptually Based Comparison of Image Similarity Metrics. <i>Perception</i> , 2011, 40, 1269-1281.	1.2	27
27	Why Cosmetics Work. , 2010, , 186-203.		23
28	Crossing the "Uncanny Valley": Adaptation to Cartoon Faces Can Influence Perception of Human Faces. <i>Perception</i> , 2010, 39, 378-386.	1.2	39
29	A Sex Difference in Facial Contrast and its Exaggeration by Cosmetics. <i>Perception</i> , 2009, 38, 1211-1219.	1.2	150
30	Super-recognizers: People with extraordinary face recognition ability. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2009, 16, 252-257.	2.8	368
31	Computational Models of Facial Attractiveness Judgments. <i>Perception</i> , 2008, 37, 126-142.	1.2	20
32	Real-World Face Recognition: The Importance of Surface Reflectance Properties. <i>Perception</i> , 2007, 36, 1368-1374.	1.2	66
33	Beauty is in the "We" of the Beholder: Greater Agreement on Facial Attractiveness among Close Relations. <i>Perception</i> , 2007, 36, 1674-1681.	1.2	56
34	The utility of surface reflectance for the recognition of upright and inverted faces. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2007, 47, 157-165.	1.4	89
35	Face Recognition by Humans: Nineteen Results All Computer Vision Researchers Should Know About. <i>Proceedings of the IEEE</i> , 2006, 94, 1948-1962.	21.3	509
36	Is Pigmentation Important for Face Recognition? Evidence from Contrast Negation. <i>Perception</i> , 2006, 35, 749-759.	1.2	129

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Sex, Beauty, and the Relative Luminance of Facial Features. <i>Perception</i> , 2003, 32, 1093-1107.	1.2	145
38	Is there an anatomical basis for category-specificity? Semantic memory studies in PET and fMRI. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2002, 40, 54-75.	1.6	233
39	The neural representation of nouns and verbs: PET studies. <i>Brain</i> , 2001, 124, 1619-1634.	7.6	202
40	Efficiency, information theory, and neural representations. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2000, 23, 475-476.	0.7	0
41	Susceptibility-Induced Loss of Signal: Comparing PET and fMRI on a Semantic Task. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 2000, 11, 589-600.	4.2	400