
## Brandon L Garrett

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4309388/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Sensitizing jurors to eyewitness confidence using "reason-based―judicial instructions Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2023, 12, 141-157.	1.1	0
2	Convicting with confidence? Why we should not over-rely on eyewitness confidence. Memory, 2022, 30, 10-15.	1.7	13
3	A practical tool for information management in forensic decisions: Using Linear Sequential Unmasking-Expanded (LSU-E) in casework. Forensic Science International (Online), 2022, 4, 100216.	1.3	12
4	Spiraling CriminalÂDebt. Federal Sentencing Reporter, 2022, 34, 92-97.	0.0	0
5	Surveying practicing firearm examiners. Forensic Science International (Online), 2022, 4, 100228.	1.3	8
6	Battling to a draw: Defense expert rebuttal can neutralize prosecution fingerprint evidence. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2021, 35, 976-987.	1.6	1
7	Judges and forensic science education: A national survey. Forensic Science International, 2021, 321, 110714.	2.2	13
8	North Carolina Specialty Courts, Treatment Access, and the Substance Use Crisis: A Promising but Underfunded Model. Psychiatric Services, 2021, 72, 1471-1474.	2.0	1
9	Eyewitness identification speed: Slow identifications from highly confident eyewitnesses hurt perceptions of their testimony Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2021, 10, 259-267.	1.1	3
10	Can we get over IDs?. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2021, 10, 212-214.	1.1	0
11	Eyewitness confidence may not be ready for the courts: a reply to Wixted et al Memory, 2021, , 1-2.	1.7	0
12	Wrongful Convictions. Annual Review of Criminology, 2020, 3, 245-259.	3.5	16
13	Risk assessment in sentencing and plea bargaining: The roles of prosecutors and defense attorneys. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2020, 38, 1-11.	0.8	4
14	Valid or voodoo?ÂA qualitative study of attorney perceptions of risk assessment in sentencing and plea bargaining. Journal of Community Psychology, 2020, 48, 2053-2068.	1.8	5
15	Juror appraisals of forensic evidence: Effects of blind proficiency and cross-examination. Forensic Science International, 2020, 315, 110433.	2.2	7
16	Factoring the Role of Eyewitness Evidence in the Courtroom. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2020, 17, 556-579.	0.8	8
17	Open risk assessment. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2020, 38, 279-286.	0.8	3
18	Error Rates, Likelihood Ratios, and Jury Evaluation of Forensic Evidence. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2020, 65, 1199-1209.	1.6	15

BRANDON L GARRETT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Law on Police Use of Force in the United States. German Law Journal, 2020, 21, 1526-1540.	0.7	2
20	Mock jurors' evaluation of firearm examiner testimony Law and Human Behavior, 2020, 44, 412-423.	0.7	8
21	Risk and resources: A qualitative perspective on lowâ€level sentencing in Virginia. Journal of Community Psychology, 2019, 47, 1476-1492.	1.8	5
22	The impact of proficiency testing information and error aversions on the weight given to fingerprint evidence. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2019, 37, 195-210.	0.8	18
23	Judicial Reliance on Risk Assessment in Sentencing Drug and Property Offenders: A Test of the Treatment Resource Hypothesis. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 2019, 46, 799-810.	1.8	14
24	Do Heads Roll?: An Empirical Analysis of CEO Turnover and Pay When the Corporation is Federally Prosecuted. Journal of Law, Finance, and Accounting, 2019, 4, 137-181.	0.2	3
25	Comparing Categorical and Probabilistic Fingerprint Evidence. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2018, 63, 1712-1717.	1.6	10
26	Judging Risk. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2018, , .	0.4	1
27	Judicial appraisals of risk assessment in sentencing. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 2018, 36, 565-575.	0.8	12
28	Resolving latent conflict: What happens when latent print examiners enter the cage?. Forensic Science International, 2018, 289, 215-222.	2.2	9
29	The global evolution of corporate prosecutions. Law and Financial Markets Review, 2017, 11, 55-60.	0.1	0
30	The Crime Lab in the Age of the Genetic Panopticon. Michigan Law Review, 2017, , 979.	0.2	1
31	Forensic bitemark identification: weak foundations, exaggerated claims. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2016, 3, 538-575.	1.6	31
32	How Jurors Evaluate Fingerprint Evidence: The Relative Importance of Match Language, Method Information, and Error Acknowledgment. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2013, 10, 484-511.	0.8	26
33	THE GREAT WRIT - Justin J. Wert: Habeas Corpus in America: The Politics of Individual Rights. (Lawrence:) Tj ETQq1	1.0.7843	14 rgBT /O∨
34	Impact of trauma education and growth mindset messaging on public attitudes about the criminal	2.9	1