Christian Frings

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4240462/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

172457 214800 3,802 197 29 47 citations h-index g-index papers 199 199 199 1700 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Binding and Retrieval in Action Control (BRAC). Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2020, 24, 375-387.	7.8	194
2	Stimulus–response bindings in priming. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2014, 18, 376-384.	7.8	190
3	Distractor Repetitions Retrieve Previous Responses to Targets. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2007, 60, 1367-1377.	1.1	172
4	The negative priming paradigm: An update and implications for selective attention. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2015, 22, 1577-1597.	2.8	125
5	Decomposing the emotional Stroop effect. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2010, 63, 42-49.	1.1	84
6	To be or not to be…included in an event file: Integration and retrieval of distractors in stimulus–response episodes is influenced by perceptual grouping Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2011, 37, 1209-1227.	0.9	80
7	Attention meets binding: Only attended distractors are used for the retrieval of event files. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 959-978.	1.3	63
8	Self-priorization processes in action and perception Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 1737-1740.	0.9	61
9	A case for inhibition: Visual attention suppresses the processing of irrelevant objects Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2008, 137, 116-130.	2.1	54
10	Differences in the strength of distractor inhibition do not affect distractor–response bindings. Memory and Cognition, 2012, 40, 373-387.	1.6	54
11	Offline beats online. NeuroReport, 2019, 30, 795-799.	1.2	53
12	Single session tDCS over the left DLPFC disrupts interference processing. Brain and Cognition, 2018, 120, 1-7.	1.8	51
13	On the Decay of Distractor-Response Episodes. Experimental Psychology, 2011, 58, 125-131.	0.7	50
14	Self-prioritization in vision, audition, and touch. Experimental Brain Research, 2016, 234, 2141-2150.	1.5	47
15	Pimping inhibition: Anodal tDCS enhances stop-signal reaction time Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2018, 44, 1933-1945.	0.9	44
16	Trial-by-trial effects in the affective priming paradigm. Acta Psychologica, 2008, 128, 318-323.	1.5	43
17	Retrieval of event files can be conceptually mediated. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 700-709.	1.3	41
18	Dissociation of binding and learning processes. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 2590-2605.	1.3	40

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Electrophysiological correlates of visual identity negative priming. Brain Research, 2007, 1176, 82-91.	2.2	38
20	Explaining response-repetition effects in task switching: evidence from switching cue modality suggests episodic binding and response inhibition. Psychological Research, 2018, 82, 570-579.	1.7	38
21	Cardiac cycle time effects on selection efficiency in vision. Psychophysiology, 2016, 53, 1702-1711.	2.4	37
22	Strategy effects counteract distractor inhibition: Negative priming with constantly absent probe distractors Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2006, 32, 854-864.	0.9	36
23	Crossmodal congruency effects based on stimulus identity. Brain Research, 2010, 1354, 113-122.	2.2	36
24	Integrating the Irrelevant Sound. Experimental Psychology, 2012, 59, 258-264.	0.7	36
25	A common mechanism behind distractor-response and response-effect binding?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 1074-1086.	1.3	35
26	Effects of single-session transcranial direct current stimulation on reactive response inhibition. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2021, 128, 749-765.	6.1	35
27	Effective Gamification of the Stop-Signal Task: Two Controlled Laboratory Experiments. JMIR Serious Games, 2020, 8, e17810.	3.1	35
28	Cathodal tDCS increases stop-signal reaction time. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2019, 19, 1129-1142.	2.0	34
29	From simple to complex actions: Response–response bindings as a new approach to action sequences Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2019, 148, 174-183.	2.1	33
30	On distractor-repetition benefits in the negative-priming paradigm. Visual Cognition, 2007, 15, 166-178.	1.6	32
31	The horserace between distractors and targets: Retrieval-based probe responding depends on distractor–target asynchrony. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2012, 24, 582-590.	0.9	32
32	Distinctiveness effects in self-prioritization. Visual Cognition, 2017, 25, 399-411.	1.6	32
33	Negative Priming with Masked Distractor-Only Prime Trials. Experimental Psychology, 2005, 52, 131-139.	0.7	32
34	The structure of distractor-response bindings: Conditions for configural and elemental integration Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2016, 42, 464-479.	0.9	32
35	The disintegration of event files over time: Decay or interference?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2020, 27, 751-757.	2.8	31
36	Dysphorics cannot ignore unpleasant information. Cognition and Emotion, 2007, 21, 1525-1534.	2.0	29

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Response-bound primes diminish affective priming in the naming task. Cognition and Emotion, 2008, 22, 374-384.	2.0	29
38	Remember the touch: tactile distractors retrieve previous responses to targets. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 214, 121-130.	1.5	29
39	Perturbation of the right prefrontal cortex disrupts interference control. Neurolmage, 2020, 222, 117279.	4.2	28
40	Resolving interference between body movements: Retrieval-induced forgetting of motor sequences Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2013, 39, 1152-1161.	0.9	27
41	Dissecting stimulus–response binding effects: Grouping by color separately impacts integration and retrieval processes. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 1474-1488.	1.3	27
42	Cardiac cycle time effects on mask inhibition. Biological Psychology, 2014, 100, 115-121.	2,2	26
43	Multisensory feature integration in (and out) of the focus of spatial attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 363-376.	1.3	26
44	How perception guides action: Figure-ground segmentation modulates integration of context features into S-R episodes Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2017, 43, 1720-1729.	0.9	26
45	Vision affects tactile target and distractor processing even when space is task-irrelevant. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 84.	2.1	25
46	About the composition of self-relevance: Conjunctions not features are bound to the self. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 887-892.	2.8	25
47	May I have your attention please: Binding of attended but response-irrelevant features. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2018, 80, 1143-1156.	1.3	25
48	Selection in touch: Negative priming with tactile stimuli. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 516-523.	2.3	24
49	Dancing your moves away: How memory retrieval shapes complex motor action Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2015, 21, 300-312.	1.2	24
50	Overlearned responses hinder S-R binding Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2017, 43, 1-5.	0.9	23
51	Detection versus discrimination: The limits of binding accounts in action control. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 2085-2097.	1.3	23
52	Prime display offset modulates negative priming only for easy-selection tasks. Memory and Cognition, 2007, 35, 504-513.	1.6	22
53	Increased perceptual and conceptual processing difficulty makes the immeasurable measurable: Negative priming in the absence of probe distractors Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 72-84.	0.9	22
54	Long-term response-stimulus associations can influence distractor-response bindings. Advances in Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 10, 68-80.	0.5	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Lost time: Bindings do not represent temporal order information. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 325-331.	2.8	22
56	Electrify your Game! Anodal tDCS Increases the Resistance to Head Fakes in Basketball. Journal of Cognitive Enhancement: Towards the Integration of Theory and Practice, 2020, 4, 62-70.	1.6	22
57	Negative priming is stronger for task-relevant dimensions: Evidence of flexibility in the selective ignoring of distractor information. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2006, 59, 683-693.	1.1	21
58	Binding targets' responses to distractors' locations: Distractor response bindings in a location-priming task. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2010, 72, 2176-2183.	1.3	21
59	On the fate of distractor representations Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2012, 38, 570-575.	0.9	21
60	Searching for the inner self: evidence against a direct dependence of the self-prioritization effect on the ventro-medial prefrontal cortex. Experimental Brain Research, 2019, 237, 247-256.	1.5	21
61	Shocking advantage! Improving digital game performance using non-invasive brain stimulation. International Journal of Human Computer Studies, 2021, 148, 102582.	5.6	21
62	When seeing doesn't matter: Assessing the after-effects of tactile distractor processing in the blind and the sighted Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2011, 37, 1174-1181.	0.9	20
63	Categorization by movement direction: Retrieval-induced forgetting of motor sequences grouped by motion features. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 68, 473-486.	1.1	20
64	Forgetting motor programmes: Retrieval dynamics in procedural memory. Memory, 2014, 22, 1116-1125.	1.7	19
65	What a car does to your perception: Distance evaluations differ from within and outside of a car. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 781-788.	2.8	19
66	The Forward Effect of Testing: Behavioral Evidence for the Reset-of-Encoding Hypothesis Using Serial Position Analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 1197.	2.1	19
67	Saving-enhanced performance: saving items after study boosts performance in subsequent cognitively demanding tasks. Memory, 2019, 27, 1462-1467.	1.7	19
68	Task relevance determines binding of effect features in action planning. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 3811-3831.	1.3	19
69	Center-Surround or Spreading Inhibition. Experimental Psychology, 2008, 55, 234-242.	0.7	19
70	Gestalt grouping effects on tactile information processing: when touching hands override spatial proximity. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2013, 75, 468-480.	1.3	18
71	Interference within hands: Retrieval-induced forgetting of left and right hand movements. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 148, 1-5.	1.5	18
72	Genes of the dopaminergic system selectively modulate top-down but not bottom-up attention. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2015, 15, 104-116.	2.0	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Selective binding of stimulus, response, and effect features. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 1627-1632.	2.8	18
74	When vision influences the invisible distractor: Tactile response compatibility effects require vision Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 763-774.	0.9	17
75	Five shades of grey: Generalization in distractor-based retrieval of S-R episodes. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 2307-2312.	1.3	17
76	Directed forgetting benefits motor sequence encoding. Memory and Cognition, 2016, 44, 413-419.	1.6	17
77	Creating a network of importance: The particular effects of self-relevance on stimulus processing. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 3750-3766.	1.3	17
78	It's the Other Way Around! Early Modulation of Sensory Distractor Processing Induced by Late Response Conflict. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2018, 30, 985-998.	2.3	16
79	EEG beta power increase indicates inhibition in motor memory. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 2020, 150, 92-99.	1.0	16
80	Children do show negative priming: Further evidence for early development of an intact selective control mechanism Developmental Psychology, 2007, 43, 1269-1273.	1.6	15
81	The impact of stimulus uncertainty on attentional control. Cognition, 2019, 183, 208-212.	2.2	15
82	Target Amplification and Distractor Inhibition: Theta Oscillatory Dynamics of Selective Attention in a Flanker Task. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience, 2021, 21, 355-371.	2.0	15
83	Overt spatial attention modulates multisensory selection Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2019, 45, 174-188.	0.9	15
84	Separating context and trial-by-trial effects in the negative priming paradigm. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2008, 20, 195-210.	1.3	14
85	The impact of the irrelevant: The task environment modulates the impact of irrelevant features in response selection Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 2198-2213.	0.9	14
86	Auditory distractor processing in sequential selection tasks. Psychological Research, 2014, 78, 411-422.	1.7	14
87	Competition dependence of retrieval-induced forgetting in motor memory. Memory and Cognition, 2016, 44, 671-680.	1.6	14
88	Retrieval-induced forgetting is retrieval-modality specific: Evidence from motor memory. Cognition, 2017, 162, 143-152.	2.2	14
89	Relevant distractors do not cause negative priming. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2006, 13, 322-327.	2.8	13
90	Dual-tDCS over the right prefrontal cortex does not modulate stop-signal task performance. Experimental Brain Research, 2021, 239, 811-820.	1.5	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Integrating salience and action $\hat{a} \in \text{``Increased'}$ integration strength through salience. Visual Cognition, 2021, 29, 91-104.	1.6	13
92	Shedding light on the prefrontal correlates of mental workload in simulated driving: a functional near-infrared spectroscopy study. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 705.	3.3	13
93	The Time-Course of Masked Negative Priming. Experimental Psychology, 2009, 56, 301-306.	0.7	12
94	Not all errors are created equally: specific <scp>ERN</scp> responses for errors originating from distractorâ€based response retrieval. European Journal of Neuroscience, 2013, 38, 3496-3506.	2.6	12
95	Individual response speed is modulated by variants of the gene encoding the alpha 4 sub-unit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (CHRNA4). Behavioural Brain Research, 2015, 284, 11-18.	2.2	12
96	Electrophysiological evidence for action-effect prediction Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2020, 149, 1148-1155.	2.1	12
97	Are masked-stimuli-discrimination-tests in masked priming studies measures of intelligence?—An alternative task for measuring inspection time. Personality and Individual Differences, 2005, 39, 1181-1191.	2.9	11
98	On the durability of bindings between responses and response-irrelevant stimuli. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 161, 73-78.	1.5	11
99	Doing is for feeling Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2016, 145, 1263-1268.	2.1	11
100	The contradictory influence of velocity: representational momentum in the tactile modality. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2019, 121, 2358-2363.	1.8	11
101	Perception it is: Processing level in multisensory selection. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 1391-1406.	1.3	11
102	Representational momentum in vision and touch: Visual motion information biases tactile spatial localization. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 2618-2629.	1.3	11
103	Where has all the inhibition gone? Insights from electrophysiological measures into negative priming without probe distractors. Brain and Cognition, 2009, 71, 92-98.	1.8	10
104	Don't be afraid of irrelevant words: The emotional Stroop effect is confined to attended words. Cognition and Emotion, 2012, 26, 1056-1068.	2.0	10
105	You can't ignore what you can't separate: the effect of visually induced target-distractor separation on tactile selection. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2015, 22, 728-736.	2.8	10
106	How motor practice shapes memory: retrieval but not extra study can cause forgetting. Memory, 2016, 24, 903-915.	1.7	10
107	Crossmodal attentional control sets between vision and audition. Acta Psychologica, 2017, 178, 41-47.	1.5	10
108	Response–response binding across effector-set switches. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 1974-1979.	2.8	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Evidence Against Combined Effects of Stress and Brain Stimulation on Working Memory. Open Psychology, 2020, 2, 40-56.	0.3	10
110	A mighty tool not only in perception: Figure-ground mechanisms control binding and retrieval alike. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 2255-2270.	1.3	10
111	Interference in episodic memory: retrieval-induced forgetting of unknown words. Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 795-800.	1.7	9
112	Baroreceptor activity impacts upon controlled but not automatic distractor processing. Biological Psychology, 2015, 110, 75-84.	2.2	9
113	Understanding self-prioritisation: the prioritisation of self-relevant stimuli and its relation to the individual self-esteem. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 31, 813-824.	0.9	9
114	Testing enhances motor practice. Memory and Cognition, 2019, 47, 1270-1283.	1.6	9
115	Implied tactile motion: Localizing dynamic stimulations on the skin. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 794-808.	1.3	9
116	Distractor-based retrieval in action control: the influence of encoding specificity. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 765-773.	1.7	9
117	Interference of irrelevant information in multisensory selection depends on attentional set. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 1176-1195.	1.3	9
118	The role of location in the organization of bindings within short-term episodic traces Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2020, 46, 512-524.	0.9	9
119	The Forward Testing Effect is Reliable and Independent of Learners' Working Memory Capacity. Journal of Cognition, 2019, 2, 37.	1.4	9
120	The official soundtrack to "Five shades of greyâ€; Generalization in multimodal distractor-based retrieval. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 3479-3489.	1.3	9
121	Illuminating the prefrontal neural correlates of action sequence disassembling in response–response binding. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 22856.	3.3	9
122	Goal-Based Binding of Irrelevant Stimulus Features for Action Slips. Experimental Psychology, 2021, 68, 206-213.	0.7	9
123	Same, but different: Binding effects in auditory, but not visual detection performance. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2023, 85, 438-451.	1.3	9
124	Flanker negative priming from spatially unpredictable primes: An ERP study. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 2010, 75, 339-348.	1.0	8
125	Prime retrieval of motor responses in negative priming: Evidence from lateralized readiness potentials. Brain Research, 2011, 1407, 69-78.	2.2	8
126	Stress disrupts distractor-based retrieval of SR episodes. Biological Psychology, 2013, 93, 58-64.	2,2	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Response interference in touch, vision, and crossmodally: beyond the spatial dimension. Experimental Brain Research, 2014, 232, 2325-2336.	1.5	8
128	Distractor-response bindings in dual task scenarios. Visual Cognition, 2015, 23, 516-531.	1.6	8
129	Multisensory top-down sets: Evidence for contingent crossmodal capture. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 1970-1985.	1.3	8
130	An action control perspective of evaluative conditioning. European Review of Social Psychology, 2019, 30, 271-310.	9.4	8
131	Shedding light on the frontal hemodynamics of spatial working memory using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Neuropsychologia, 2020, 146, 107570.	1.6	8
132	When irrelevant information helps: Extending the Eriksen-flanker task into a multisensory world. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 776-789.	1.3	8
133	The Forward Testing Effect is Immune to Acute Psychosocial Encoding/Retrieval Stress. Experimental Psychology, 2020, 67, 112-122.	0.7	8
134	Contextual Features of the Cue Enter Episodic Bindings in Task Switching. Journal of Cognition, 2022, 5, .	1.4	8
135	What Belongs Together Retrieves Together – The Role of Perceptual Grouping in Stimulus-Response Binding and Retrieval. Journal of Cognition, 2022, 5, .	1.4	8
136	Designers beware: Response retrieval effects influence drivers' response times to local danger warnings. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 2014, 24, 117-132.	3.7	7
137	Tactile spatial negative priming occurs without feature mismatch. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 2305-2314.	1.3	7
138	When congruence breeds preference: the influence of selective attention processes on evaluative conditioning. Cognition and Emotion, 2017, 31, 1127-1139.	2.0	7
139	Temporarily Unavailable: Memory Inhibition in Cognitive and Computer Science. Interacting With Computers, 2019, 31, 231-249.	1.5	7
140	Inhibition from blinked category labels: Combining the attentional blink and the semantic priming paradigm. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2011, 23, 514-521.	0.9	6
141	When irrelevance matters: Stimulus-response binding in decision making under uncertainty Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2015, 41, 1831-1848.	0.9	6
142	Rhythm and Attention: Does the Beat Position of a Visual or Auditory Regular Pulse Modulate T2 Detection in the Attentional Blink?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1847.	2.1	6
143	Distractor-based stimulus-response bindings retrieve decisions independent of motor programs. Acta Psychologica, 2016, 171, 57-64.	1.5	6
144	Spatial negative priming: In touch, it's all about location. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2016, 78, 464-473.	1.3	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	How the mind shapes action: Offline contexts modulate involuntary episodic retrieval. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 2449-2459.	1.3	6
146	Feedback increases benefits but not costs of retrieval practice: Retrieval-induced forgetting is strength independent. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 636-642.	2.8	6
147	Managed Forgetting to Support Information Management and Knowledge Work. KI - Kunstliche Intelligenz, 2019, 33, 45-55.	3.2	6
148	Binding abstract concepts. Psychological Research, 2019, 83, 878-884.	1.7	6
149	What Makes a Quality Journal?. Experimental Psychology, 2018, 65, 257-262.	0.7	6
150	Category priming with aliens: Analysing the influence of targets' prototypicality on the centre surround inhibition mechanism. Memory, 2011, 19, 585-596.	1.7	5
151	Top-down deactivation of interference from irrelevant spatial or verbal stimulus features. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 2360-2374.	1.3	5
152	Timeless: A Large Sample Study on the Temporal Robustness of Affective Responses. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 841.	2.1	5
153	Ignorance reflects preference: the influence of selective ignoring on evaluative conditioning. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2017, 29, 939-948.	0.9	5
154	Specifying the mechanisms behind benefits of saving-enhanced memory. Psychological Research, 2020, 85, 1633-1644.	1.7	5
155	Registered Report 2.0: The PCI RR Initiative. Experimental Psychology, 2021, 68, 1-3.	0.7	5
156	Temporal expectancy modulates stimulus–response integration. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 221-230.	1.3	5
157	Stress and Cognition in Humans. Experimental Psychology, 2020, 67, 73-76.	0.7	5
158	Short Article: Analysing the relationship between target-to-target and distractor-to-target repetitions: Evidence for a common mechanism. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 1641-1649.	1.1	4
159	Irrelevant Stimuli and Action Control: Analyzing the Influence of Ignored Stimuli via the Distractor-Response Binding Paradigm. Journal of Visualized Experiments, 2014, , .	0.3	4
160	Response-compatibility effects in children. European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 2014, 11, 90-101.	1.8	4
161	Stress and selective attention: Immediate and delayed stress effects on inhibition of return. Brain and Cognition, 2016, 108, 66-72.	1.8	4
162	Tactile stimulation disambiguates the perception of visual motion paths. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 2231-2237.	2.8	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Food for Your Mind? The Effect of Tyrosine on Selective Attention. Journal of Cognitive Enhancement: Towards the Integration of Theory and Practice, 2020, 4, 285-295.	1.6	4
164	It is more than Interference: Examining the neurohemodynamic correlates of the flanker task with functional nearâ€infrared spectroscopy. European Journal of Neuroscience, 2020, 52, 3022-3031.	2.6	4
165	A Relief from Mental Overload in a Digitalized World: How Context-Sensitive User Interfaces Can Enhance Cognitive Performance. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 0, , 1-11.	4.8	4
166	The (Gami)fictional Ego-Center: Projecting the Location of the Self Into an Avatar. Frontiers in Psychology, $0,13,.$	2.1	4
167	Inhibition is picky: Shape difference is a necessary condition for attentional inhibition of irrelevant objects. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2009, 16, 839-844.	2.8	3
168	The role of the glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) for the processing of aversive stimuli. Neuroscience Research, 2016, 107, 8-13.	1.9	3
169	Not so social after all: Video-based acquisition of observational stimulus-response bindings. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 217, 103330.	1.5	3
170	Disentangling inhibition-based and retrieval-based aftereffects of distractors: Cognitive versus motor processes Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2018, 44, 797-805.	0.9	3
171	How Automatic Is the Musical Stroop Effect?. Experimental Psychology, 2014, 61, 68-70.	0.7	3
172	All together now: Simultaneous feature integration and feature retrieval in action control. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 512-520.	2.8	3
173	The influence of visual noise in the binding of irrelevant features to responses. Visual Cognition, 2018, 26, 780-791.	1.6	2
174	Negative priming is diminished under high blood pressure in healthy subjects. Journal of Neural Transmission, 2019, 126, 1111-1114.	2.8	2
175	Separating after-effects of target and distractor processing in the tactile sensory modality. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 809-822.	1.3	2
176	Modulations of event-related potentials by tactile negative priming. NeuroReport, 2019, 30, 227-231.	1.2	2
177	Sex, ADHD symptoms, and <i>CHRNA5</i> genotype influence reaction time but not response inhibition. Journal of Neuroscience Research, 2019, 97, 215-224.	2.9	2
178	Identity-Based Crossmodal Negative Priming: Aftereffects of Ignoring in One Sensory Modality on Responding to Another Sensory Modality. Multisensory Research, 2020, 33, 703-721.	1.1	2
179	Remote binding counts: measuring distractor-response binding effects online. Psychological Research, 2021, 85, 2249-2255.	1.7	2
180	The level of representation of irrelevant stimuli—Distractor–response binding within and between the senses. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 2256-2266.	1.3	2

#	Article	lF	Citations
181	Selective directed forgetting of motor sequences. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 218, 103352.	1.5	2
182	Electrophysiological correlates of savingâ€enhanced memory: Exploring similarities to listâ€method directed forgetting. European Journal of Neuroscience, 2021, 54, 6060-6074.	2.6	2
183	Different effects of spatial separation in action and perception. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2021, 28, 845-852.	2.8	2
184	The Role of Congruency for Distractor-Response Binding: A Caveat. Advances in Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 15, 127-132.	0.5	2
185	Dual processes of false recognition in kindergarten children and elementary school pupils. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2015, 138, 135-142.	1.4	1
186	David and Goliath—size does matter: size modulates feature–response binding of irrelevant features. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 2034-2045.	1.7	1
187	Higher-Order Cognition Does Not Affect Multisensory Distractor Processing. Multisensory Research, 2020, 34, 351-364.	1.1	1
188	CS as an effect: action-based evaluative conditioning depends on temporal contiguity. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 32, 661-667.	0.9	1
189	Context-Dependent Memory of Motor Sequences. Journal of Cognition, 2021, 4, 15.	1.4	1
190	Tactile temporal offset cues reduce visual representational momentum. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 2113-2122.	1.3	1
191	Distractor inhibition: Evidence from lateralized readiness potentials. Brain and Cognition, 2015, 98, 74-81.	1.8	0
192	The influence of stress on distractor-response bindings. Stress, 2021, , 1-10.	1.8	0
193	Brightness versus darkness: The influence of stimulus intensity on the distractor-response binding effect. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 212, 103224.	1.5	0
194	Tactile stimulation disambiguates the perception of visual motion paths. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 596.	0.3	0
195	Experimental Psychology in the Year 2020. Experimental Psychology, 2020, 67, 1-4.	0.7	0
196	Pooling it all together $\hat{a} \in ``the role of distractor pool size on stimulus-response binding. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 0, , 1-12.$	0.9	0
197	Relevant to me: the integration of other people into the self-concept happens and depends on their current relevance. Discover Psychology, 2022, 2, .	0.9	0