Elizabeth Gargon

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4238156/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28 papers 3,869 citations

471509 17 h-index 24 g-index

28 all docs

28 docs citations

times ranked

28

5196 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Developing core outcome sets for clinical trials: issues to consider. Trials, 2012, 13, 132.	1.6	1,226
2	The COMET Handbook: version 1.0. Trials, 2017, 18, 280.	1.6	1,128
3	Core Outcome Set–STAndards for Reporting: The COS-STAR Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2016, 13, e1002148.	8.4	404
4	Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e99111.	2.5	255
5	Higher number of items associated with significantly lower response rates in COS Delphi surveys. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 108, 110-120.	5.0	124
6	Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Updated Review and User Survey. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0146444.	2.5	113
7	The COMET Initiative database: progress and activities from 2011 to 2013. Trials, 2014, 15, 279.	1.6	89
8	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 5th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0225980.	2.5	82
9	COS-STAR: a reporting guideline for studies developing core outcome sets (protocol). Trials, 2015, 16, 373.	1.6	64
10	Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Updated Review and Identification of Gaps. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0168403.	2.5	57
11	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 4th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0209869.	2.5	52
12	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: An updated systematic review and involvement of low and middle income countries. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0190695.	2.5	52
13	Improving core outcome set development: qualitative interviews with developers provided pointers to inform guidance. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 86, 140-152.	5.0	41
14	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 6th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0244878.	2.5	41
15	The COMET initiative database: progress and activities update (2014). Trials, 2015, 16, 515.	1.6	32
16	Collating the knowledge base for core outcome set development: developing and appraising the search strategy for a systematic review. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015, 15, 26.	3.1	23
17	Towards core outcome set (COS) development: a follow-up descriptive survey of outcomes in Cochrane reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 73.	5.3	20
18	The COMET (Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials) Initiative. Maturitas, 2016, 91, 91-92.	2.4	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Inclusion of participants from low-income and middle-income countries in core outcome sets development: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049981.	1.9	13
20	Improvement was needed in the standards of development for cancer core outcome sets. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 112, 36-44.	5.0	11
21	Evaluation of an automatic article selection method for timelier updates of the Comet Core Outcome Set database. Database: the Journal of Biological Databases and Curation, 2019, 2019, .	3.0	8
22	Measuring the Symptoms of Pediatric Constipation and Irritable Bowel Syndrome with Constipation: Expert Commentary and Literature Review. Patient, 2014, 7, 343-364.	2.7	5
23	Methods used to assess outcome consistency in clinical studies: A literature-based evaluation. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0235485.	2.5	5
24	The value of core outcome sets in health psychology. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2020, 25, 377-389.	3.5	4
25	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225980.		0
26	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225980.		0
27	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225980.		0
28	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225980.		0