

Adriani Nikolakopoulou

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/423282/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49
papers

4,954
citations

236925

25
h-index

214800

47
g-index

63
all docs

63
docs citations

63
times ranked

6068
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Introducing the Treatment Hierarchy Question in Network Meta-Analysis. <i>American Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 191, 930-938.	3.4	18
2	Answering complex hierarchy questions in network meta-analysis. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 47.	3.1	1
3	Network meta-analysis and random walks. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> , 2022, 41, 2091-2114.	1.6	4
4	Network meta-analysis results against a fictional treatment of average performance: Treatment effects and ranking metric. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 161-175.	8.7	3
5	Meta-analysis as a system of springs. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 20-28.	8.7	1
6	More than words: Novel visualizations for evidence synthesis. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 2-3.	8.7	2
7	Do reporting guidelines have an impact? Empirical assessment of changes in reporting before and after the PRISMA extension statement for network meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 246.	5.3	19
8	ROB-MEN: a tool to assess risk of bias due to missing evidence in network meta-analysis. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2021, 19, 304.	5.5	32
9	Extensions of the probabilistic ranking metrics of competing treatments in network meta-analysis to reflect clinically important relative differences on many outcomes. <i>Biometrical Journal</i> , 2020, 62, 375-385.	1.0	20
10	Antidepressant treatment in patients following acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis. <i>ESC Heart Failure</i> , 2020, 7, 3610-3620.	3.1	10
11	The statistical importance of a study for a network meta-analysis estimate. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2020, 20, 190.	3.1	2
12	Evaluation of Cumulative Meta-analysis of Rare Events as a Tool for Clinical Trials Safety Monitoring. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e2015031.	5.9	3
13	Agreement between ranking metrics in network meta-analysis: an empirical study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037744.	1.9	10
14	Comparison of dietary macronutrient patterns of 14 popular named dietary programmes for weight and cardiovascular risk factor reduction in adults: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> , 2020, 369, m696.	6.0	226
15	CINeMA: An approach for assessing confidence in the results of a network meta-analysis. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2020, 17, e1003082.	8.4	594
16	CINeMA: Software for semiautomated assessment of the confidence in the results of network meta-analysis. <i>Campbell Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 16, e1080.	3.0	164
17	In network meta-analysis, most of the information comes from indirect evidence: empirical study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 124, 42-49.	5.0	26
18	Iron homeostasis alterations and risk for akathisia in patients treated with antipsychotics: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. <i>European Neuropsychopharmacology</i> , 2020, 35, 1-11.	0.7	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Comparative Efficacy and Tolerability of 32 Oral Antipsychotics for the Acute Treatment of Adults With Multi-Episode Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Focus (American Tj ETQq1 1 @.784314 18BT /Over	10.78	4314
20	How Many Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Respond to Antipsychotic Drugs in the Short Term? An Analysis Based on Individual Patient Data From Randomized Controlled Trials. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 2019, 45, 639-646.	4.3	74
21	Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral antipsychotics for the acute treatment of adults with multi-episode schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2019, 394, 939-951.	13.7	1,050
22	Synthesizing existing evidence to design future trials: survey of methodologists from European institutions. Trials, 2019, 20, 334.	1.6	7
23	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation vs. surgical aortic valve replacement for treatment of symptomatic severe aortic stenosis: an updated meta-analysis. European Heart Journal, 2019, 40, 3143-3153.	2.2	297
24	A model for meta-analysis of correlated binary outcomes: The case of split-body interventions. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2019, 28, 1998-2014.	1.5	9
25	Antipsychotic drugs for patients with schizophrenia and predominant or prominent negative symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 2018, 268, 625-639.	3.2	143
26	Comparative efficacy and acceptability of pharmacological treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder in adults: a network meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2018, 48, 1975-1984.	4.5	99
27	Living network meta-analysis compared with pairwise meta-analysis in comparative effectiveness research: empirical study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k585.	2.3	68
28	Continuously updated network meta-analysis and statistical monitoring for timely decision-making. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2018, 27, 1312-1330.	1.5	32
29	Planning a future randomized clinical trial based on a network of relevant past trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 365.	1.6	31
30	Outcomes of non-invasive diagnostic modalities for the detection of coronary artery disease: network meta-analysis of diagnostic randomised controlled trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k504.	2.3	86
31	Estimating the contribution of studies in network meta-analysis: paths, flows and streams. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 610.	1.6	29
32	Estimating the contribution of studies in network meta-analysis: paths, flows and streams. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 610.	1.6	17
33	Characteristics and knowledge synthesis approach for 456 network meta-analyses: a scoping review. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 3.	5.5	65
34	Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 47-53.	5.0	184
35	Living systematic review: 1. Introductionâ€”the why, what, when, and how. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 23-30.	5.0	406
36	Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 31-37.	5.0	246

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 38-46.	5.0	102
38	An investigation of the impact of using different methods for network meta-analysis: a protocol for an empirical evaluation. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 119.	5.3	6
39	Bibliographic study showed improving statistical methodology of network meta-analyses published between 1999 and 2015. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 82, 20-28.	5.0	98
40	Systematic review of interventions for treating or preventing antipsychotic-induced tardive dyskinesia. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2017, 21, 1-218.	2.8	31
41	Planning future studies based on the precision of network meta-analysis results. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> , 2016, 35, 978-1000.	1.6	31
42	Efficacy, Acceptability, and Tolerability of Antipsychotics in Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i> , 2016, 73, 199.	11.0	235
43	Endoscopic and Open Release Similarly Safe for the Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0143683.	2.5	69
44	Characteristics of Networks of Interventions: A Description of a Database of 186 Published Networks. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e86754.	2.5	101
45	Demystifying fixed and random effects meta-analysis. <i>Evidence-Based Mental Health</i> , 2014, 17, 53-57.	4.5	100
46	How to interpret meta-analysis models: fixed effect and random effects meta-analyses. <i>Evidence-Based Mental Health</i> , 2014, 17, 64-64.	4.5	88
47	Using conditional power of network meta-analysis (NMA) to inform the design of future clinical trials. <i>Biometrical Journal</i> , 2014, 56, 973-990.	1.0	31
48	Paracetamol, NSAIDs and opioid analgesics for chronic low back pain: a network meta-analysis. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	2.8	13
49	Estimating the sample size of sham-controlled randomized controlled trials using existing evidence. <i>F1000Research</i> , 0, 11, 85.	1.6	0