

# Adriani Nikolakopoulou

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/423282/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49  
papers

4,954  
citations

236925

25  
h-index

214800

47  
g-index

63  
all docs

63  
docs citations

63  
times ranked

6068  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral antipsychotics for the acute treatment of adults with multi-episode schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2019, 394, 939-951.	13.7	1,050
2	CINeMA: An approach for assessing confidence in the results of a network meta-analysis. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2020, 17, e1003082.	8.4	594
3	Living systematic review: 1. Introduction—the why, what, when, and how. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 23-30.	5.0	406
4	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation vs. surgical aortic valve replacement for treatment of symptomatic severe aortic stenosis: an updated meta-analysis. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2019, 40, 3143-3153.	2.2	297
5	Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 31-37.	5.0	246
6	Efficacy, Acceptability, and Tolerability of Antipsychotics in Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i> , 2016, 73, 199.	11.0	235
7	Comparison of dietary macronutrient patterns of 14 popular named dietary programmes for weight and cardiovascular risk factor reduction in adults: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 369, m696.	6.0	226
8	Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 47-53.	5.0	184
9	CINeMA: Software for semiautomated assessment of the confidence in the results of network meta-analysis. <i>Campbell Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 16, e1080.	3.0	164
10	Antipsychotic drugs for patients with schizophrenia and predominant or prominent negative symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 268, 625-639.	3.2	143
11	Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 38-46.	5.0	102
12	Characteristics of Networks of Interventions: A Description of a Database of 186 Published Networks. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e86754.	2.5	101
13	Demystifying fixed and random effects meta-analysis. <i>Evidence-Based Mental Health</i> , 2014, 17, 53-57.	4.5	100
14	Comparative efficacy and acceptability of pharmacological treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder in adults: a network meta-analysis. <i>Psychological Medicine</i> , 2018, 48, 1975-1984.	4.5	99
15	Bibliographic study showed improving statistical methodology of network meta-analyses published between 1999 and 2015. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 91, 20-28.	5.0	98
16	How to interpret meta-analysis models: fixed effect and random effects meta-analyses. <i>Evidence-Based Mental Health</i> , 2014, 17, 64-64.	4.5	88
17	Outcomes of non-invasive diagnostic modalities for the detection of coronary artery disease: network meta-analysis of diagnostic randomised controlled trials. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 360, k504.	2.3	86
18	How Many Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Respond to Antipsychotic Drugs in the Short Term? An Analysis Based on Individual Patient Data From Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Schizophrenia Bulletin</i> , 2019, 45, 639-646.	4.3	74

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Endoscopic and Open Release Similarly Safe for the Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0143683.	2.5	69
20	Living network meta-analysis compared with pairwise meta-analysis in comparative effectiveness research: empirical study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k585.	2.3	68
21	Characteristics and knowledge synthesis approach for 456 network meta-analyses: a scoping review. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 3.	5.5	65
22	Continuously updated network meta-analysis and statistical monitoring for timely decision-making. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2018, 27, 1312-1330.	1.5	32
23	ROB-MEN: a tool to assess risk of bias due to missing evidence in network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2021, 19, 304.	5.5	32
24	Using conditional power of network meta-analysis (NMA) to inform the design of future clinical trials. Biometrical Journal, 2014, 56, 973-990.	1.0	31
25	Planning future studies based on the precision of network meta-analysis results. Statistics in Medicine, 2016, 35, 978-1000.	1.6	31
26	Planning a future randomized clinical trial based on a network of relevant past trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 365.	1.6	31
27	Systematic review of interventions for treating or preventing antipsychotic-induced tardive dyskinesia. Health Technology Assessment, 2017, 21, 1-218.	2.8	31
28	Estimating the contribution of studies in network meta-analysis: paths, flows and streams. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 610.	1.6	29
29	In network meta-analysis, most of the information comes from indirect evidence: empirical study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 124, 42-49.	5.0	26
30	Extensions of the probabilistic ranking metrics of competing treatments in network meta-analysis to reflect clinically important relative differences on many outcomes. Biometrical Journal, 2020, 62, 375-385.	1.0	20
31	Do reporting guidelines have an impact? Empirical assessment of changes in reporting before and after the PRISMA extension statement for network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 246.	5.3	19
32	Comparative Efficacy and Tolerability of 32 Oral Antipsychotics for the Acute Treatment of Adults With Multi-Episode Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Focus (American Tj ETQq0 0 0 BT /Overlock 10 T		
33	Introducing the Treatment Hierarchy Question in Network Meta-Analysis. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2022, 191, 930-938.	3.4	18
34	Estimating the contribution of studies in network meta-analysis: paths, flows and streams. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 610.	1.6	17
35	Paracetamol, NSAIDS and opioid analgesics for chronic low back pain: a network meta-analysis. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	13
36	Iron homeostasis alterations and risk for akathisia in patients treated with antipsychotics: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. European Neuropsychopharmacology, 2020, 35, 1-11.	0.7	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Antidepressant treatment in patients following acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis. <i>ESC Heart Failure</i> , 2020, 7, 3610-3620.	3.1	10
38	Agreement between ranking metrics in network meta-analysis: an empirical study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037744.	1.9	10
39	A model for meta-analysis of correlated binary outcomes: The case of split-body interventions. <i>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</i> , 2019, 28, 1998-2014.	1.5	9
40	Synthesizing existing evidence to design future trials: survey of methodologists from European institutions. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 334.	1.6	7
41	An investigation of the impact of using different methods for network meta-analysis: a protocol for an empirical evaluation. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 119.	5.3	6
42	Network meta-analysis and random walks. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> , 2022, 41, 2091-2114.	1.6	4
43	Evaluation of Cumulative Meta-analysis of Rare Events as a Tool for Clinical Trials Safety Monitoring. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e2015031.	5.9	3
44	Network meta-analysis results against a fictional treatment of average performance: Treatment effects and ranking metric. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 161-175.	8.7	3
45	The statistical importance of a study for a network meta-analysis estimate. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2020, 20, 190.	3.1	2
46	More than words: Novel visualizations for evidence synthesis. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 2-3.	8.7	2
47	Meta-analysis as a system of springs. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2021, 12, 20-28.	8.7	1
48	Answering complex hierarchy questions in network meta-analysis. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 47.	3.1	1
49	Estimating the sample size of sham-controlled randomized controlled trials using existing evidence. <i>F1000Research</i> , 0, 11, 85.	1.6	0