Akihiko Okamura

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3936358/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Treatment strategies and outcomes for elderly patients with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. Surgery Today, 2022, 52, 377-384.	1.5	4
2	A Nationwide Survey on Digestive Reconstruction Following Pharyngolaryngectomy With Total Esophagectomy: A Multicenter Retrospective Study in Japan. Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2022, 6, 54-62.	2.4	2
3	Distribution of Residual Disease and Recurrence Patterns in Pathological Responders After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, 298-304.	4.2	27
4	Association Between Preoperative HbA1c Levels and Complications after Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, e393-e399.	4.2	14
5	Clinical features and risk factors for early recurrence after esophagectomy following neoadjuvant chemotherapy for esophageal cancer. Surgery Today, 2022, 52, 660-667.	1.5	5
6	Current status of robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: what is the real benefit?. Surgery Today, 2022, 52, 1246-1253.	1.5	2
7	Long-Term Insufficiency of Oral Intake after Esophagectomy: Who Needs Intense Nutritional Support after Esophagectomy?. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 2022, 78, 106-113.	1.9	0
8	Treatment Strategy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma With Endoscopic Intramural Metastasis. Cureus, 2022, 14, e23028.	0.5	1
9	C-reactive protein to prealbumin ratio: a useful inflammatory and nutritional index for predicting prognosis after curative resection in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2022, 407, 1901-1909.	1.9	4
10	Is Prophylactic Cervical Drainage Effective in Patients Undergoing McKeown Esophagectomy Reconstructed Through the Retrosternal Route with Twoâ€Field Lymphadenectomy?. World Journal of Surgery, 2022, 46, 1944-1951.	1.6	1
11	Prognostic impact of carcinoembryonic antigen in 1822 surgically treated esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: multi-institutional study of the Japan Esophageal Society. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	4
12	Short-term Outcomes of Esophageal Bypass Surgery for Patients with Unresectable Esophageal Cancer. Nihon Kikan Shokudoka Gakkai Kaiho, 2022, 73, 203-209.	0.0	0
13	Fecal Microbes Associated with the Outcomes After Esophagectomy in Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 7448-7457.	1.5	3
14	Clinical Significance of Pretherapeutic Serum Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen Level in Patients with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 1209-1216.	1.5	17
15	Digestive Reconstruction After Pharyngolaryngectomy with Total Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 695-701.	1.5	5
16	Efficacy of postoperative radiotherapy in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients with positive circumferential resection margin. Esophagus, 2021, 18, 288-295.	1.9	1
17	Validation Study of Fibrinogen and Albumin Score in Esophageal Cancer Patients Who Underwent Esophagectomy: Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 774-784.	1.5	12
18	Comparison of Outcomes Between Additional Esophagectomy After Noncurative Endoscopic Resection and Upfront Esophagectomy for T1NO Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 4859-4866.	1.5	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	ASO Author Reflections: Additional Esophagectomy After Noncurative Endoscopic Resection Versus Upfront Esophagectomy in Patients with T1N0 Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 4867-4868.	1.5	0
20	Comparison of the outcomes between total eversion and conventional triangulating stapling technique in cervical esophagogastric anastomosis after esophagectomy: a propensity score-matched analysis. Esophagus, 2021, 18, 475-481.	1.9	4
21	Significance of D-dimer-based screening for detecting pre-operative venous thromboembolism in patients with esophageal cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 26, 1083-1090.	2.2	1
22	Successful transition from open to minimally invasive approach in Ivor Lewis esophagectomy: a single-center experience in Japan. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2021, 406, 1407-1414.	1.9	1
23	Clinical Significance of Serum Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen for Patients with Recurrent Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7990-7996.	1.5	7
24	ASO Author Reflections: Serum Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen in Recurrent Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7997-7998.	1.5	0
25	Airflow Limitation Predicts Postoperative Pneumonia after Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 2492-2500.	1.6	7
26	ASO Visual Abstract: Influence of Damaged Stomach on Anastomotic Leakage After Cervical Esophagogastrostomy for Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 464-464.	1.5	0
27	ASO Author Reflections: Does Damaged Stomach Increase the Risk of Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7247-7248.	1.5	Ο
28	Influence of Damaged Stomach on Anastomotic Leakage following Cervical Esophagogastrostomy in Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7240-7246.	1.5	2
29	Author's Reply: Comparison of Outcomes Between Additional Esophagectomy After Noncurative Endoscopic Resection and Upfront Esophagectomy for T1N0 Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 839-840.	1.5	1
30	ASO Author Reflections: Esophagectomy or Chemoradiotherapy, That is the Question: Additional Treatment Following Noncurative Endoscopic Resection for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8436-8437.	1.5	0
31	ASO Visual Abstract: Additional Treatment Following Noncurative Endoscopic Resection for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma—A Comparison ofÂOutcomesÂBetween Esophagectomy and Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 477-478.	1.5	2
32	ASO Author Reflections: Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Strengthens the Prognostic Impact of Pathological Stage for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8448-8449.	1.5	2
33	Prognostic Significance of Stratification Using Pathological Stage and Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8438-8447.	1.5	12
34	Additional Treatment Following Noncurative Endoscopic Resection for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Comparison of Outcomes between Esophagectomy and Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8428-8435.	1.5	5
35	Efficacy of endoscopic filling with polyglycolic acid sheets and fibrin glue for anastomotic leak after esophageal cancer surgery: identification of an optimal technique. Esophagus, 2021, 18, 529-536.	1.9	2
36	Prognostic Impact of Pretreatment Serum CYFRA Status in 1047 Patients with Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma Who Underwent Radical Resection: A Japan Esophageal Society Promotion Research. Annals of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2021, , .	0.8	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Recent progress in multidisciplinary treatment for patients with esophageal cancer. Surgery Today, 2020, 50, 12-20.	1.5	246
38	Salvage esophagectomy for initially unresectable locally advanced T4 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Esophagus, 2020, 17, 59-66.	1.9	17
39	Clinical significance of preoperative serum concentrations of interleukin-6 as a prognostic marker in patients with esophageal cancer. Esophagus, 2020, 17, 279-288.	1.9	14
40	Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer in a Patient with Left Pulmonary Artery Sling. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1530-1530.	1.5	3
41	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy with Cisplatin Plus Fluorouracil for Borderline Resectable Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1510-1517.	1.5	15
42	Steam induced by the activation of energy devices under a wet condition may cause thermal injury. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 2295-2302.	2.4	6
43	Influence of Preoperative Oropharyngeal Microflora on the Occurrence of Postoperative Pneumonia and Survival in Patients Undergoing Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, 1035-1043.	4.2	21
44	The Optimal Feeding Enterostomy Creation During Esophagectomy to Reduce the Longâ€Term Risk of Small Bowel Obstruction. World Journal of Surgery, 2020, 44, 3845-3851.	1.6	4
45	ASO Author Reflections: Prediction of the Therapeutic Efficacy in Patients with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 795-796.	1.5	Ο
46	ASO Author Reflections: What is the Optimal Method of Digestive Reconstruction Following Pharyngolaryngectomy with Total Esophagectomy?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 824-825.	1.5	1
47	ASO Author Reflections: Cervicothoracoscopic Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1531-1532.	1.5	Ο
48	ASO Author Reflections: How Should We Approach Borderline Resectable Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1518-1519.	1.5	1
49	The Design of and Rationale for the Effect of Perioperative Inhaled Tiotropium for Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Esophageal Cancer Surgery (EPITOPE): an Open-Label, Randomized, Parallel-Group Study. European Surgical Research, 2020, 61, 123-129.	1.3	3
50	Prognostic Significance of Skeletal Muscle Loss During Early Postoperative Period in Elderly Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 3727-3735.	1.5	28
51	Author's Reply: Significance of Intramural Metastasis in Patients with Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: An Indicator of Aggressive Cancer Behavior. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 2649-2650.	1.6	Ο
52	Inflammatory response and recurrence after minimally invasive esophagectomy. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2019, 404, 761-769.	1.9	8
53	lvor–Lewis esophagectomy for patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus with a history of total pharyngolaryngectomy. Esophagus, 2019, 16, 382-385.	1.9	8
54	Significance of Intramural Metastasis in Patients with Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: An Indicator of Aggressive Cancer Behavior. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 1997-2005.	1.6	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The usefulness of three-dimensional video-assisted thoracoscopic esophagectomy in esophageal cancer patients. Esophagus, 2019, 16, 272-277.	1.9	10
56	Lateral thermal spread induced by energy devices: a porcine model to evaluate the influence on the recurrent laryngeal nerve. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 4153-4163.	2.4	19
57	Safety and efficacy of preoperative chemotherapy followed by esophagectomy versus upfront surgery for resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Surgery Today, 2019, 49, 150-157.	1.5	4
58	Prognostic Significance of Intramural Metastasis in Patients with Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Nihon Kikan Shokudoka Gakkai Kaiho, 2019, 70, 225-230.	0.0	0
59	Minimally invasive esophagectomy attenuates the postoperative inflammatory response and improves survival compared with open esophagectomy in patients with esophageal cancer: a propensity score matched analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 4443-4450.	2.4	39
60	Cervicothoracoscopic Approach for Esophageal Cancer in a Patient with Right-Sided Aortic Arch. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 1287-1287.	1.5	5
61	The impact of the Charlson comorbidity index on the prognosis of esophageal cancer patients who underwent esophagectomy with curative intent. Surgery Today, 2018, 48, 632-639.	1.5	38
62	Cervicothoracoscopic Approach in Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 333-333.	1.5	8
63	Prognostic impact of postoperative pulmonary complications following salvage esophagectomy after definitive chemoradiotherapy. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 117, 1251-1259.	1.7	25
64	RA08.02: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ABDOMINAL FAT DISTRIBUTION AND VASCULAR INVASION AMONG PATIENTS WITH EARLY ESOPHAGEAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 37-37.	0.4	0
65	Esophagectomy via upper partial sternotomy for esophageal cancer after previous right pneumonectomy: A case report. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2018, 156, e217-e220.	0.8	1
66	Supraclavicular and celiac metastases in squamous cell carcinoma of the middle thoracic esophagus. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2018, 403, 977-984.	1.9	11
67	Relationship Between Visceral Obesity and Postoperative Inflammatory Response Following Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2018, 42, 3651-3657.	1.6	15
68	Surgical team proficiency in minimally invasive esophagectomy is related to case volume and improves patient outcomes. Esophagus, 2018, 15, 115-121.	1.9	13
69	Recent progress in perioperative management of patients undergoing esophagectomy for esophageal cancer. Esophagus, 2018, 15, 160-164.	1.9	31
70	Patterns and Outcomes of Recurrent Esophageal Cancer After Curative Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 2337-2344.	1.6	51
71	Glycemic Status and Prognosis of Patients with Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Esophagus. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 2591-2597.	1.6	11
72	Superior Thoracic Aperture Size is Significantly Associated with Cervical Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 2598-2604.	1.6	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Implication of visceral obesity in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2017, 403, 245-253.	1.9	13
74	Preoperative Glycosylated Hemoglobin Levels Predict Anastomotic Leak After Esophagectomy with Cervical Esophagogastric Anastomosis. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 200-207.	1.6	29
75	Clinical Significance of the Preâ€therapeutic Nodal Size in Patients Undergoing Neoâ€Adjuvant Treatment Followed by Esophagectomy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. World Journal of Surgery, 2017, 41, 184-190.	1.6	7
76	Xanthogranulomatous gastritis of the remnant stomach mimicking a malignant tumor: A case report. Oncology Letters, 2016, 11, 1453-1456.	1.8	33
77	Improvement in short-term outcomes after esophagectomy with a multidisciplinary perioperative care team. Esophagus, 2016, 13, 337-342.	1.9	23
78	Spirometric Lung Age Predicts Postoperative Pneumonia After Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 2412-2418.	1.6	19
79	Mediastinal Adiposity Influences the Technical Difficulty of Thoracic Procedure in Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 2398-2404.	1.6	6
80	Reconstruction after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer patients with a history of gastrectomy. General Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2016, 64, 457-463.	0.9	29
81	Factors influencing difficulty of the thoracic procedure in minimally invasive esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 4279-4285.	2.4	18
82	Clinical Impact of Abdominal Fat Distribution on Prognosis After Esophagectomy for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1387-1394.	1.5	36
83	Gastroenterological Surgery: Esophagus. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2015, 8, 114-124.	0.9	3
84	Factors Affecting Cytokine Change After Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3130-3135.	1.5	73
85	Successful treatment of aortogastric fistula after esophagectomy. Esophagus, 2015, 12, 387-391.	1.9	1
86	A case of late lymph node metastasis after three endoscopic mucosal resections of intramucosal gastric cancers. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 339.	1.9	3
87	Clinical features and prognostic factors of brain metastasis from esophageal carcinoma. Esophagus, 2014, 11, 217-222.	1.9	2
88	Intra-abdominal desmoid tumor mimicking gastric cancer recurrence: a case report. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 146.	1.9	10
89	Mucous membrane pemphigoid with esophageal lesion. Progress of Digestive Endoscopy, 2014, 84, 70-71.	0.0	0
90	ASO Author Reflections: Are Fecal Microbes Associated With Outcomes After Esophageal Cancer Surgery?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 0, , .	1.5	0