Laure Wynants

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3849950/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Risk assessment for endometrial cancer in women with abnormal vaginal bleeding: Results from the prospective IETAâ€1 cohort study. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2022, 159, 103-110.	2.3	3
2	The Risk of Endometrial Malignancy and Other Endometrial Pathology in Women with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding: An Ultrasound-Based Model Development Study by the IETA Group. Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation, 2022, 87, 54-61.	1.6	5
3	Ultrasound features of endometrial pathology in women without abnormal uterine bleeding: results from the International Endometrial Tumor Analysis study (<scp>IETA3</scp>). Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2022, 60, 243-255.	1.7	14
4	Does poor methodological quality of prediction modeling studies translate to poor model performance? An illustration in traumatic brain injury. Diagnostic and Prognostic Research, 2022, 6, 8.	1.8	7
5	Differences in postâ€traumatic stress, anxiety and depression following miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy between women and their partners: multicenter prospective cohort study. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2021, 57, 141-148.	1.7	25
6	Adherence rates to a prediction tool identifying women with an increased gestational diabetes risk: An implementation study. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2021, 154, 85-91.	2.3	2
7	External validation of models to predict the outcome of pregnancies of unknown location: a multicentre cohort study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, 128, 552-562.	2.3	17
8	Improving clinical management of COVID-19: the role of prediction models. Lancet Respiratory Medicine,the, 2021, 9, 320-321.	10.7	12
9	Methodology over metrics: current scientific standards are a disservice to patients and society. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 138, 219-226.	5.0	54
10	Prediction models: stepwise development and simultaneous validation is a step back. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, , .	5.0	3
11	Validation of ultrasound strategies to assess tumor extension and to predict highâ€risk endometrial cancer in women from the prospective IETA (International Endometrial Tumor Analysis)â€4 cohort. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 55, 115-124.	1.7	26
12	Changing predictor measurement procedures affected the performance of prediction models in clinical examples. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 119, 7-18.	5.0	31
13	Posttraumatic stress, anxiety and depression following miscarriage and ectopic pregnancy: a multicenter, prospective, cohort study. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 222, 367.e1-367.e22.	1.3	120
14	Ultrasoundâ€based risk model for preoperative prediction of lymphâ€node metastases in women with endometrial cancer: modelâ€development study. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 56, 443-452.	1.7	13
15	ROC curves for clinical prediction models part 1. ROC plots showed no added value above the AUC when evaluating the performance of clinical prediction models. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 207-216.	5.0	51
16	Validation of models to diagnose ovarian cancer in patients managed surgically or conservatively: multicentre cohort study. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2614.	6.0	54
17	Demystifying AI in healthcare. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m3505.	6.0	14
18	Correction. Statistics in Medicine, 2020, 39, 1901-1902.	1.6	0

LAURE WYNANTS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	ROC curves for clinical prediction models part 3. The ROC plot: a picture that needs a 1000 words. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 220-223.	5.0	6
20	Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19: systematic review and critical appraisal. BMJ, The, 2020, 369, m1328.	6.0	2,134
21	Developing risk models for multicenter data using standard logistic regression produced suboptimal predictions: A simulation study. Biometrical Journal, 2020, 62, 932-944.	1.0	13
22	Predictive analytics in health care: how can we know it works?. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2019, 26, 1651-1654.	4.4	110
23	Three myths about risk thresholds for prediction models. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 192.	5.5	101
24	Machine Learning in Medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 2019, 380, 2588-2590.	27.0	90
25	Untapped potential of multicenter studies: a review of cardiovascular risk prediction models revealed inappropriate analyses and wide variation in reporting. Diagnostic and Prognostic Research, 2019, 3, 6.	1.8	20
26	Risk of complications in patients with conservatively managed ovarian tumours (IOTA5): a 2-year interim analysis of a multicentre, prospective, cohort study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, 448-458.	10.7	110
27	Calibration: the Achilles heel of predictive analytics. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 230.	5.5	745
28	Randomâ€effects metaâ€enalysis of the clinical utility of tests and prediction models. Statistics in Medicine, 2018, 37, 2034-2052.	1.6	31
29	Does ignoring clustering in multicenter data influence the performance of prediction models? A simulation study. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2018, 27, 1723-1736.	1.5	26
30	Reporting and Interpreting Decision Curve Analysis: A Guide for Investigators. European Urology, 2018, 74, 796-804.	1.9	590
31	Clinical Utility of Risk Models to Refer Patients with Adnexal Masses to Specialized Oncology Care: Multicenter External Validation Using Decision Curve Analysis. Clinical Cancer Research, 2017, 23, 5082-5090.	7.0	37
32	Doctors' perception of support and the processes involved in complaints investigations and how these relate to welfare and defensive practice: a cross-sectional survey of the UK physicians. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017856.	1.9	29
33	Key steps and common pitfalls in developing and validating risk models. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2017, 124, 423-432.	2.3	70
34	Validation of the Performance of International Ovarian Tumor Analysis (IOTA) Methods in the Diagnosis of Early Stage Ovarian Cancer in a Non-Screening Population. Diagnostics, 2017, 7, 32.	2.6	34
35	Doctors' experiences and their perception of the most stressful aspects of complaints processes in the UK: an analysis of qualitative survey data. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011711.	1.9	43
36	Predicting the risk of malignancy in adnexal masses based on the Simple Rules from the International Ovarian Tumor Analysis group. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016, 214, 424-437.	1.3	212

LAURE WYNANTS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The impact of complaints procedures on the welfare, health and clinical practise of 7926 doctors in the UK: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e006687-e006687.	1.9	150
38	A simulation study of sample size demonstrated the importance of the number of events per variable to develop prediction models in clustered data. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 1406-1414.	5.0	94
39	Practical guidance for applying the ADNEX model from the IOTA group to discriminate between different subtypes of adnexal tumors. Facts, Views & Vision in ObGyn, 2015, 7, 32-41.	1.1	21
40	Strategies to diagnose ovarian cancer: new evidence from phase 3 of the multicentre international IOTA study. British Journal of Cancer, 2014, 111, 680-688.	6.4	98
41	Screening for data clustering in multicenter studies: the residual intraclass correlation. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2013, 13, 128.	3.1	19
42	Efficient use of pure component and interferent spectra in multivariate calibration. Analytica Chimica Acta, 2013, 778, 15-23.	5.4	20
43	Does the presence of a Caesarean section scar affect implantation site and early pregnancy outcome in women attending an early pregnancy assessment unit?. Human Reproduction, 2013, 28, 1489-1496.	0.9	46
44	Predicting successful vaginal birth after Cesarean section using a model based on Cesarean scar features examined by transvaginal sonography. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2013, 41, 672-678.	1.7	49
45	Multicentre external validation of IOTA prediction models and RMI by operators with varied training. British Journal of Cancer, 2013, 108, 2448-2454.	6.4	80
46	Reply. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2013, 42, 123-124.	1.7	0
47	The independent effect of tumor size in predicting ovarian malignancy. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2012, 162, 237-238.	1.1	1
48	Clinical prediction models for mortality in patients with covid-19: external validation and individual participant data meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 0, , e069881.	6.0	24