Julia Abelson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3787687/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

96 papers

5,491 citations

33 h-index 72 g-index

98 all docs 98 docs citations 98 times ranked 5051 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Priority setting and equity in COVID-19 pandemic plans: a comparative analysis of 18 African countries. Health Policy and Planning, 2022, 37 , 297 - 309 .	2.7	15
2	The impact of COVIDâ€19 on patient engagement in the health system: Results from a Pan anadian survey of patient, family and caregiver partners. Health Expectations, 2022, 25, 744-753.	2.6	11
3	Diverse Discussion in Public Deliberation on Cancer Drug Funding. Journal of Deliberative Democracy, 2022, 18, .	0.6	O
4	Health technology assessment processes: a North-South comparison of the evaluation andÂrecommendation of health technologies in Canada and Chile. International Journal of Health Governance, 2022, 27, 312-328.	1,2	2
5	Designing and Implementing Deliberative Processes for Health Technology Assessment: A Good Practices Report of a Joint HTAi/ISPOR Task Force. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2022, 38, .	0.5	8
6	Designing and Implementing Deliberative Processes for Health Technology Assessment: A Good Practices Report of a Joint HTAi/ISPOR Task Force. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 869-886.	0.3	11
7	An institutional ethnographic analysis of public and patient engagement activities at a national health technology assessment agency. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2021, 37, e37.	0.5	11
8	Developing a Canadian evaluation framework for patient and public engagement in research: study protocol. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2021, 7, 10.	2.9	14
9	Do assisted living facilities that offer a dementia care program differ from those that do not? A population-level cross-sectional study in Ontario, Canada. BMC Geriatrics, 2021, 21, 463.	2.7	7
10	Association Between Dementia Care Programs in Assisted Living Facilities and Transitions to Nursing Homes in Ontario, Canada: AÂPopulation-Based Cohort Study. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 2021, 22, 2115-2120.e6.	2.5	7
11	The Moral Foundations of Child Health and Social Policies: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis. Children, 2021, 8, 43.	1.5	6
12	Identifying approaches for synthesizing and summarizing information to support informed citizen deliberations in health policy: a scoping review. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2020, 25, 59-66.	1.7	4
13	Applying priority-setting frameworks: A review of public and vulnerable populations' participation in health-system priority setting. Health Policy, 2020, 124, 133-142.	3.0	21
14	Priority measures for publicly reporting primary care performance: Results of public engagement through deliberative dialogues in 3 Canadian provinces. Health Expectations, 2020, 23, 1213-1223.	2.6	4
15	Does moral reasoning influence public values for health care priority setting?: A population-based randomized stated preference survey. Health Policy, 2020, 124, 647-658.	3.0	11
16	â€The problem is small enough, the problem is big enough': a qualitative study of health technology assessment and public policy on drug funding decisions for children. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2020, 19, 45.	3 . 5	10
17	Policy Agenda-Setting and Causal Stories: Examining How Organized Interests redefined the Problem of Refugee Health Policy in Canada. Healthcare Policy, 2020, 15, 116-131.	0.6	2
18	Understanding the Role of Values in Health Policy Decision-Making From the Perspective of Policy-Makers and Stakeholders: A Multiple-Case Embedded Study in Chile and Colombia. International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 2020, 9, 185-197.	0.9	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Ethical and Social Values for Paediatric Health Technology Assessment and Drug Policy. International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 2020, , .	0.9	2
20	Barriers to Equitable Public Participation in Health-System Priority Setting Within the Context of Decentralization: The Case of Vulnerable Women in a Ugandan District. International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 2020, , .	0.9	2
21	Supporting the evaluation of public and patient engagement in health system organizations: Results from an implementation research study. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 1132-1143.	2.6	30
22	Evaluating community deliberations about health research priorities. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 772-784.	2.6	10
23	Ethical Challenges Related to Patient Involvement in Health Technology Assessment. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2019, 35, 253-256.	0.5	13
24	Who is in and who is out? A qualitative analysis of stakeholder participation in priority setting for health in three districts in Uganda. Health Policy and Planning, 2019, 34, 358-369.	2.7	16
25	Citizen perspectives on the use of publicly reported primary care performance information: Results from citizenâ€patient dialogues in three Canadian provinces. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 974-982.	2.6	5
26	Addressing the affordability of cancer drugs: using deliberative public engagement to inform health policy. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2019, 17, 17.	2.8	18
27	A Fresh Approach to Reform? A Policy Analysis of the Development and Implementation of Ontario's Mental Health and Addictions Strategy. Healthcare Policy, 2019, 14, 29-42.	0.6	4
28	Supporting women at average risk to make informed decisions about mammography when there is no "right―answer: a qualitative citizen deliberation study. CMAJ Open, 2019, 7, E730-E737.	2.4	2
29	Uncertain times: A survey of Canadian women's perspectives toward mammography screening. Preventive Medicine, 2018, 112, 209-215.	3.4	12
30	Members of Minority and Underserved Communities Set Priorities for Health Research. Milbank Quarterly, 2018, 96, 675-705.	4.4	12
31	Evaluating Patient, Family and Public Engagement in Health Services Improvement and System Redesign. Healthcare Quarterly, 2018, 21, 31-37.	0.7	24
32	Patient and public engagement in research and health system decision making: A systematic review of evaluation tools. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 1075-1084.	2.6	153
33	Evaluating patient and public involvement in research. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k5147.	2.3	116
34	†I just want to be able to make a choice': Results from citizen deliberations about mammography screening in Ontario, Canada. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1364-1371.	3.0	9
35	Evidence-informed policymaking and policy innovation in a low-income country: does policy network structure matter?. Evidence and Policy, 2018, 14, 381-401.	1.0	7
36	Patient engagement in health technology assessment: what constitutes â€~meaningful' and how we might get there. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2018, 23, 69-71.	1.7	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Measuring Children's Mental Health in Ontario: Policy Issues and Prospects for Change. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 2018, 27, 88-98.	0.6	17
38	Enabling the participation of marginalized populations: case studies from a health service organization in Ontario, Canada. Health Promotion International, 2017, 32, dav118.	1.8	25
39	Uncomfortable trade-offs: Canadian policy makers' perspectives on setting objectives for their health systems. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 9-16.	3.0	8
40	Ethical Challenges at the Intersection of Policy and Practice in Humanitarian Contexts. Prehospital and Disaster Medicine, 2017, 32, S48-S49.	1.3	0
41	Questioning patient engagement: research scientists' perceptions of the challenges of patient engagement in a cardiovascular research network. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2017, Volume 11, 1573-1583.	1.8	63
42	PUBLIC AND PATIENT INVOLVEMENT IN HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: A FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2016, 32, 256-264.	0.5	98
43	Why do policies change? Institutions, interests, ideas and networks in three cases of policy reform. Health Policy and Planning, 2016, 31, 1200-1211.	2.7	72
44	Supporting quality public and patient engagement in health system organizations: development and usability testing of the <scp>P</scp> ublic and <scp>P</scp> atient <scp>E</scp> ngagement <scp>E</scp> valuation <scp>T</scp> ool. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 817-827.	2.6	114
45	Primary Care Performance Measurement and Reporting at a Regional Level: Could a Matrix Approach Provide Actionable Information for Policy Makers and Clinicians?. Healthcare Policy, 2016, 12, 33-51.	0.6	10
46	Conceptualizing the use of public involvement in health policy decision-making. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 138, 14-21.	3.8	48
47	The value of frameworks as knowledge translation mechanisms to guide community participation practice in Ontario CHCs. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 142, 223-231.	3.8	11
48	Framing Incremental Expansions to Public Health Insurance Systems: The Case of Canadian Pharmacare. Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, 2014, 39, 295-330.	1.9	9
49	Ethics in Health Technology Assessment: Understanding Health Technologies as Policies. Healthcare Management Forum, 2013, 26, 72-76.	1.4	7
50	Citizens' perspectives on personalized medicine: a qualitative public deliberation study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2013, 21, 1197-1201.	2.8	38
51	Enhancing Citizen Engagement in Cancer Screening Through Deliberative Democracy. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2013, 105, 380-386.	6.3	56
52	ASSESSING THE IMPACTS OF CITIZEN DELIBERATIONS ON THE HEALTH TECHNOLOGY PROCESS. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 282-289.	0.5	35
53	How Contexts and Issues Influence the Use of Policyâ€Relevant Research Syntheses: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis. Milbank Quarterly, 2013, 91, 604-648.	4.4	92
54	Why and When Should We Use Public Deliberation?. Hastings Center Report, 2012, 42, 17-20.	1.0	75

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Deliberative dialogues as a mechanism for knowledge translation and exchange in health systems decision-making. Social Science and Medicine, 2012, 75, 1938-1945.	3.8	164
56	What Is Public Deliberation?. Hastings Center Report, 2012, 42, 14-16.	1.0	87
57	The Future of Public Deliberation on Health Issues. Hastings Center Report, 2012, 42, 27-29.	1.0	15
58	The visible politics of the privatization debate in Quebec. Healthcare Policy, 2012, 8, 67-79.	0.6	22
59	Development of a framework for effective community engagement in Ontario, Canada. Health Policy, 2011, 101, 59-69.	3.0	18
60	The integration of citizens into a science/policy network in genetics: governance arrangements and asymmetry in expertise. Health Expectations, 2011, 14, 261-271.	2.6	28
61	Eliciting ethical and social values in health technology assessment: A participatory approach. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 73, 135-144.	3.8	72
62	Moving cautiously: Public involvement and the health technology assessment community. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2011, 27, 43-49.	0.5	34
63	"lt all depends― Conceptualizing public involvement in the context of health technology assessment agencies. Social Science and Medicine, 2010, 70, 1518-1526.	3.8	101
64	Exploring the conundrum of the new knowledge production regime: an ethnographic case study on the governance and outcomes of a science/policy network in genetics. Science and Public Policy, 2010, 37, 737-750.	2.4	3
65	Opportunities and Challenges in the Use of Public Deliberation to Inform Public Health Policies. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 24-25.	0.9	3
66	What does it mean to trust a health system?. Health Policy, 2009, 91, 63-70.	3.0	70
67	Public participation in health care priority setting: A scoping review. Health Policy, 2009, 91, 219-228.	3.0	283
68	Media Hyping and the "Herceptin Access Story": An Analysis of Canadian and UK Newspaper Coverage. Healthcare Policy, 2009, 4, e113-28.	0.6	31
69	Engaging the Public Voice in Health Care Decision-Making. , 2008, , 123-138.		1
70	Mental health service delivery in Ontario, Canada: how do policy legacies shape prospects for reform?. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2007, 2, 363-389.	1.8	27
71	Knowledge into action?. Health Policy, 2007, 80, 158-171.	3.0	29
72	Bringing â€~the public' into health technology assessment and coverage policy decisions: From principles to practice. Health Policy, 2007, 82, 37-50.	3.0	203

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Alternatives to Project-specific Consent for Access to Personal Information for Health Research: What Is the Opinion of the Canadian Public?. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2007, 14, 706-712.	4.4	78
74	Examining the role of context in the implementation of a deliberative public participation experiment: Results from a Canadian comparative study. Social Science and Medicine, 2007, 64, 2115-2128.	3.8	88
75	Are we expecting too much from print media? An analysis of newspaper coverage of the 2002 Canadian healthcare reform debate. Social Science and Medicine, 2006, 63, 89-102.	3.8	64
76	From rhetoric to reality: including patient voices in supportive cancer care planning. Health Expectations, 2005, 8, 195-209.	2.6	24
77	Experiences of Novice Physiotherapists Adapting to Their Role in Acute Care Hospitals. Physiotherapy Canada Physiotherapie Canada, 2005, 57, 145.	0.6	19
78	Joining the conversation: newspaper journalists' views on working with researchers. Healthcare Policy, 2005, 1, 123-39.	0.6	16
79	Canadians Confront Health Care Reform. Health Affairs, 2004, 23, 186-193.	5. 2	13
80	Will it make a difference if I show up and share? A citizens' perspective on improving public involvement processes for health system decision-making. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2004, 9, 205-212.	1.7	45
81	What is important to continuity in home care?. Social Science and Medicine, 2004, 58, 177-192.	3.8	125
82	Managing under managed community care: the experiences of clients, providers and managers in Ontarioâ \in TM s competitive home care sector. Health Policy, 2004, 68, 359-372.	3.0	33
83	The policy analysis of â€~values talk': lessons from Canadian health reform. Health Policy, 2004, 67, 15-24.	3.0	53
84	Une expérience de consultation publique délibérative dans Charlevoix. Recherches Sociographiques, 2004, 45, 77-104.	0.1	4
85	Deliberations about deliberative methods: issues in the design and evaluation of public participation processes. Social Science and Medicine, 2003, 57, 239-251.	3.8	787
86	How Can Research Organizations More Effectively Transfer Research Knowledge to Decision Makers?. Milbank Quarterly, 2003, 81, 221-248.	4.4	860
87	Does deliberation make a difference? Results from a citizens panel study of health goals priority setting. Health Policy, 2003, 66, 95-106.	3.0	198
88	Participation in health care priority-setting through the eyes of the participants. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2002, 7, 222-229.	1.7	62
89	Obtaining public input for healthâ€systems decisionâ€making: Past experiences and future prospects. Canadian Public Administration, 2002, 45, 70-97.	0.9	38
90	†The public is too subjective': public involvement at different levels of health-care decision making. Social Science and Medicine, 2002, 54, 1825-1837.	3.8	179

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Understanding the role of contextual influences on local health-care decision making: case study results from Ontario, Canada. Social Science and Medicine, 2001, 53, 777-793.	3.8	67
92	Primary Care In Canada: So Much Innovation, So Little Change. Health Affairs, 2001, 20, 116-131.	5.2	100
93	Fielding standardized patients in primary care settings: lessons from a study using unannounced standardized patients to assess preventive care practices. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 1998, 10, 199-206.	1.8	9
94	In Search of Informed Input: A Systematic Approach to Involving the Public in Community Decision Making. Healthcare Management Forum, 1996, 9, 48-52.	1.4	12
95	Is Reasonable Access What We Want? Implications of, and Challenges to, Current Canadian Policy on Equity in Health Care. International Journal of Health Services, 1993, 23, 629-653.	2.5	38
96	Alternative funding and delivery models. Journal of Ambulatory Care Management, 1993, 16, 19-29.	1.1	3